

RFQ QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS I-95 CITY OF RICHMOND BRIDGE SUPERSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION BUNDLING

VDOT PROJECT NOS. U000-127-023, 0064-127-022, 0250-127-050, 0004-127-051 AND 9999-127-107

January 12, 2021

1) The General Plan and Elevation sheets, included with the RFQ, show typical bridge cross sections indicating the steel plate girder web depths. Will VDOT please confirm that the depth does not include the top and bottom flange plate thicknesses?

VDOT Response: The web depths shown on the plans include the flange plate. However, the RFQ Plans are conceptual in nature. The final girder design is the responsibility of the Design-Builder.

2) Will VDOT please confirm that the organization chart may be presented in 11" x 17" format?

VDOT Response: As specified in the RFQ, Section 5.2.2, the Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared on 8.5" x 11" page size except for the Work History Forms, which shall be prepared on 11" x 17" format. The organizational chart shall be on 8.5" x 11" page size.

3) Is it permissible to use 10-point font in graphics, such as the organizational chart, and other tables and figures?

VDOT Response: As specified in the RFQ, Section 5.2.2, all fonts, except for the front cover of the Statement of Qualifications, should be Times New Roman 12-point (Times New Roman 10 point font may be used for filling out information on the Key Personnel Resume Form and the Work History Forms).

4) Will VDOT provide the existing bridge plans and the most recent bridge inspection reports to the offerors?

VDOT Response: Existing bridge plans and bridge inspection reports are deemed Critical Infrastructure Information/ Sensitive Security Information (CII/SSI) material and will be provided to shortlisted Offerors that sign a CII/SSI Non-Disclosure Agreement during the RFP phase of the procurement.

5) Will VDOT provide any available information on existing utility location and designation to the offerors?



VDOT Response: Utility related information known by the Department will be provided in the RFP.

6) Will the City of Richmond or any other stakeholders participate in design reviews and provide comments?

VDOT Response: Information regarding the review of construction submittals will be provided in the RFP.

7) Is a table of contents and pagination required for the SOQ document?

VDOT Response: A Table of Contents is not required. In lieu of a Table of Contents, Offerors shall complete the SOQ Checklist, Attachment 3.1.2, and include it in their SOQs. The purpose of the SOQ Checklist is to aid the Offeror in ensuring all submittal requirements have been included in the Offeror's SOQ and to provide a page reference indicating the location of each submittal requirement in the SOQ.

8) RFQ Conceptual Transverse Sections do not show any utilities. Please clarify whether the Departments intent is to relocate utilities off of the structures or replace utilities back on the structures. If a mix of relocation and replacement, please clarify which utilities are anticipated to be on the structures.

VDOT Response: Utility related information known by the Department will be provided in the RFP. It shall be the responsibility of the Offeror's to coordinate with each utility owner on the accommodation of their facilities with the project.

9) Section 5.2.3 reads: "Each Offeror shall upload one (1) Statement of Qualifications, with full supporting documentation in a single cohesive Adobe PDF file. The PDF file should be no more than 20 megabytes (MB) in size." Is it possible to increase the pdf file size-limit?

VDOT Response: No, VDOT will not consider increasing the pdf file size-limit for this procurement.

10) Are the Quality Assurance Manager and the Lead Utility Coordination Manager allowed to work for the same firm?

VDOT Response: Yes, the QAM and Lead Utility Coordination Manager can work for the same firm. Note the QAM shall be from an independent firm that has no involvement in construction operations for the Project.

11) The Broad Street Bridge over I-95 has a pin system and appears to be Fracture Critical; however, the number of beams and some of the other details would make this a gray area. Does the District identify this bridge as being fracture critical. In other words, is District inspecting this bridge and its pin connections with a Fracture Critical inspection plan.

VDOT Response: The bridge details will be clarified in the RFP. The bridge inspection report notes the bridge has Fracture Critical elements which are the hinge pins in Span 2. Hinge assemblies were



inspected "hands-on". Bridge inspection reports are deemed Critical Infrastructure Information/ Sensitive Security Information (CII/SSI) material and will be provided to shortlisted Offerors that sign a CII/SSI Non-Disclosure Agreement during the RFP phase of the procurement.