ROUTE 7 (BERRYVILLE AVENUE/BERRYVILLE PIKE) **CORRIDOR STUDY**









Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) Corridor Study From Pleasant Valley Road to Greenwood Drive/First Woods Drive

September 2021

Prepared for:

Virginia Department of Transportation

Prepared by:



INTERNATIONAL **Michael Baker International**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction
2. Future No-Build Condition Analysis2
2.1 Operational Analysis Results2
3. Route 7 Improvements
3.1 Evaluating Recommendations6
3.2 Corridor Limitations6
4. Expected Safety Improvement
5. Conceptual Designs and Costs19
6. Public Survey Results
6.1 Scoring and Comments of Draft Improvements20
6.2 General Comments21
7. Possible Funding Sources
8. Appendices

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. SimTraffic 2030 No-Build Operational Results	4
Table 2. SimTraffic 2030 No Build Max Queue Length Results	5
Table 3. SimTraffic and HCM 2030 No-Build Operational Results	6
Table 4. Route 7 and N Pleasant Valley Road Intersection Operations	
Table 5. Fort Collier Road to I-81 Southbound Ramp Intersection Operations	
Table 6. Route 7 and Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive Intersection Operations	c
Table 7. Route 7 and First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road Intersection Operations	ç
Table 8. Crash Modification Factors	
Table 9. Crash Analysis	
Table 10. Cost Estimate Summary	

LIST OF FIGURES

 •••	•••	•••	•	•••	• •	•••	•••	• •	•	•••	• •	•	•••	• •	•	•••	•••	•••	•	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••				1
 • •		•••	•••	•••	•••	•	••		•	• •	•••	•		•••	•		•	•••	•	•••	•••	•••		•••	•	•••				•••		••						•••	.:	3
 		•••				•			•			•	•••	•••	•	•••	•	•••	•	• •		•		•••	•					•••									1(0
 		•••	•			•••	•••		•			•			•		•••		•				•••		•••	•••						•••					•••		11	1
 				•••	• •	•••			•		• •	•		• •	•	•••	•••	• •	•	•••		•••			•														12	2
 			•••						•						•		•••		•			•••			•	•••													13	3
 						•••			•			•					•		•						•														14	4
 			•						•			•			•		•••		•				•••									•••							15	5
 									•								•								•														16	6
 																																							17	7
 					•••	•											•••	•••	•						•														2(C

1. INTRODUCTION

The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), in coordination with Frederick County, the City of Winchester, and the WinFred Metropolitan Planning Organization, initiated a STARS corridor study on Route 7 (Berryville Avenue / Berryville Pike) between N Pleasant Valley Road and Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive in 2018. The 2018 Route 7 STARS effort reviewed existing traffic conditions, crashes between 2013 and 2018, and included a field review with recommendations. The findings and recommendations were summarized in the Route 7 Existing Conditions and Future Volumes Report dated August 2, 2019. The report can be found in Appendix A.

The purpose of this follow-up study is to recommend capital improvements along the Route 7 corridor that will improve identified operational and safety deficiencies, inclusive of future needs. This study utilized the data collected from the Route 7 Existing Conditions and Future Volumes Report (August 2019) to evaluate recommendations.



Figure 1. Study Area





2. FUTURE NO-BUILD CONDITION ANALYSIS

Traffic operational analyses were conducted to evaluate the overall performance of the study corridor under No-Build (2030) AM and PM peak hour conditions. The intent of the No-Build conditions analyses was to provide a general understanding of the baseline future traffic conditions as a starting point for developing future improvement strategies. The No-Build conditions were analyzed using the 2030 No-Build peak hour traffic volumes from the Route 7 Existing Conditions and Future Volumes Report (August 2019) and optimizing the signal timings in the Existing Condition Synchro and SimTraffic models.

2.1 Operational Analysis Results

The results of the 2030 No-Build operational analysis and reported queue lengths are presented in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. Figure 2 displays the overall Level of Service (LOS) for each study intersection for both peak hours. The detailed output from the SimTraffic analysis are included in Appendix B. It is important to note that the 2030 No-Build analysis results shown in Table 1, Table 2, and Figure 2 are from the SimTraffic analysis module to allow for comparison to the Existing Conditions analysis output presented in Appendix A. Later in this report, the analysis output from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) analysis module is used to better evaluate the recommended improvements. This is discussed in detail in Section 3.1

As shown in the tables and figure, traffic conditions are anticipated to worsen in the 2030 No-Build scenario, particularly the PM peak hour intersection operations along the corridor, between the intersections of Fort Collier Road and Regency Lakes Drive. These intersections are expected to operate at a LOS C or worse during the PM peak hour due to the operations and resulting queue lengths at the I-81 Exit 315 interchange. The remaining intersections outside of the interchange area are expected to operate at a LOS D or better. Additional notes from the comparison of the Existing Conditions SimTraffic analysis results to the 2030 No-Build SimTraffic analysis results are described below.

Intersection 1: N Pleasant Valley Road:

- The overall PM peak hour intersection delay degrades from 25.8 seconds/vehicle (LOS C), to 35.2 seconds/ vehicle (LOS D).
- The eastbound PM peak hour approach delay degrades from 37.1 seconds/vehicle (LOS D), to 69.6 (LOS E). The maximum queue length for the eastbound approach is expected to extend approximately 562 feet, potentially blocking access to the eastbound left-turn lane.

Intersection 8: Fort Collier Road/Elm Street:

- The overall PM peak hour intersection delay degrades from 46.9 seconds/vehicle (LOS D), to 77.3 seconds/ vehicle (LOS E).
- The maximum queue length for the eastbound approach is expected to extend approximately 741 feet, block potentially blocking access to the eastbound left-turn lane.

Intersection 12: I-81 Southbound Ramp:

The eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane will continue to backup onto eastbound Route 7 and impact upstream traffic operations back to the intersection of Fort Collier Road/Elm Street.

Intersection 13: I-81 Northbound Ramp/Valley Mill Road:

- The overall AM peak hour intersection delay increases from 37.5 seconds/vehicle (LOS D), to 63.2 seconds/ vehicle (LOS D).
- The overall PM peak hour intersection delay increases from 49.8 seconds/vehicle (LOS D), to 92.7 seconds/ vehicle (LOS F).
- The westbound PM peak hour approach will extend up to 1,341 feet impacting westbound traffic operations along the corridor back to the intersection of Regency Lakes Drive.
- The eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane will continue to backup onto eastbound Route 7.
- During the PM peak hour, the overall delay for the Valley Mill Road northbound approach will significantly increase to 131.6 seconds/vehicle (LOS F) from 67.8 (LOS D).

Intersection 16: Millbrook/Blossom Drive

Intersection operations are relatively maintained but with queue lengths on Route 7 increasing up to 35 percent.

Intersection 17: First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

Intersection operations are relatively maintained but with queue lengths on Route 7 increasing up to 41 percent.

The I-81 interchange has significant impacts on the Route 7 study area. As mentioned previously, queue lengths due to poor operations at the I-81 ramp junctions affect operations as far west as the intersection of Fort Collier Road/Elm Street in the City of Winchester, and east of the interchange to the intersection of Regency Lakes Drive in Frederick County. Based on feedback from VDOT Staunton District Planning, the evaluation of large-scale concepts to improve interstate and interchange operations was outside of the scope of this study, due to analysis year and software requirements necessary to conduct an interchange analysis. It is recommended that a standalone feasibility study be conducted to evaluate multiple interchange alternatives. The standalone interchange feasibility study should focus on the following:

- Extend Horizon Year beyond 2030 to 2045+
 - Scenario planning of development within the area based on City of Winchester Comprehensive Plan updates and the proposed development near the intersection of Gateway Drive and Route 7.
- Environmental constraints assessment and Right-of-Way analysis evaluating impacts of preferred alternatives given existing space constraints.
- Use of advanced analysis software such as VISSIM.

An interim recommendation is proposed at the southbound I-81 ramp, which will be discussed in the following section. The northbound I-81 ramp junction with Route 7 is a complex intersection due to multiple constraints, however the Frederick County Comprehensive plan includes a project to relocate Valley Mill Road and extend the existing eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane which should be pursued further.

It is important to note that under current SMART SCALE guidelines, once a project is selected for funding, the submitting agency or entity must wait for two rounds of SMART SCALE following the end date of construction before submitting a new project for the same location. For example, a project relocating Valley Mill Road at the I-81 Northbound Ramp, may preclude the intersection from multiple rounds of SMART SCALE should SMART SCALE funds be used to fund the relocation. Therefore, it is recommended to discuss with VDOT officials and study this interchange further before submitting a SMART SCALE application.









Table 1. SimTraffic 2030 No-Build Operational Results

				Eastb	ound	Westb	ound	North	bound	South	bound							East	bound	Westk	bound	North	bound	South	oound		
lin N	umber and	Type of	Lane	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	Ove	erall	li N	ntersection lumber and	Type of	Lane	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	Ove	rall
D	Description	Control	Group	Delay (s	ec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	AM	PM	E	Description	Control	Group	Delay (sec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	Delay (s	ec/veh)	AM	PM
				Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd							Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	Pouto 7 &		Left	15.6	50.6	11.6	18.2	44.9	52.6	44.3	48.6	Delay	Delay		Route 7 &		Left	17.8	92.0	8.5	77.7	47.7	55.3	51.4	87.5	Delay	Delay
1	N Pleasant		Through	23.3	72.3	6.9	9.0	51.5	52.5	51.4	52.4			10	Pharmhouse		Through	4.8	57.4	4.7	4.7	-	46.3	-	90.0		
	Valley Road	Signal	Right	18.2	63.8	3.7	5.8	6.7	18.5	33.2	37.6	17.7	35.2		Shopping	Signal	Right	0.8	35.3	2.8	2.0	8.2	46.2	9.3	13.0	6.9	36.0
			Approach	22.1	69.6	8.7	13.5	19.0	28.3	47.0	48.9				Center		Approach	5.6	57.9	4.7	9.2	24.5	48.5	39.9	70.9		
				Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd							Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	Route 7 &		Left	-	-		42.4	46.0	169.4	-	-	Delay	Delay		Route 7		Left	18.8	36.2	24.1	-	23.9	521.7	69.2	868.5	Delay	Delay
2	National	Two-Way	Through	0.8	1.7	7.5	26.2	-	-	-	-			11	and Exxon	Two-Way	Through	3.5	18.3	4.4	6.3	-	-	-	-		
	Avenue	Stop	Right	0.5	1.0	-	-	4.1	8.1	-	-	4.4	13.1		Driveway	Stop	Right	0.4	8.9	3.1	3.8	23.3	272.2	30.3	442.7	5.2	20.2
			Approach	0.8	1.7	7.5	26.3	9.4	26.0	-	-						Approach	3.6	18.2	4.5	6.2	23.3	287.3	38.7	508.2		
				Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd							Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	& Battle		Left	12.5	37.0	7.4	23.8	23.8	37.0	31.5		Delay	Delay				Left	58.7	68.7	80.2	88.1	72.4	66.9	47.8	63.1	Delay	Delay
3	Avenue/	Signal	Through	2.0	14.2	2.3	5.1	14.0	14.2	-				12	I-81 SB Ramp	Signal	Through	16.4	28.5	28.8	39.4	39.9	66.3	-	-		
	Avenue	Jightan	Right	0.9	9.3	-	-	4.9	9.3	11.3		2.8	11.6			Jighta	Right	7.0	20.9	8.7	13.2	10.2	18.2	14.5	19.0	26.2	36.0
			Approach	2.1	14.5	2.3	5.2	17.7	42.0	25.5	39.6						Approach	26.6	37.4	20.8	29.9	31.6	40.3	38.2	47.6		
				Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd						1	Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	Route 7		Left	9.9	23.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Delay	Delay		Pouto 7 8		Left	66.3	99.2	92.9	188.4	54.4	128.9	71.6	70.9	Delay	Delay
4	& Virginia	Two-Way	Through	0.6	6.0	1.4	2.5	-	-	-	-			13	I-81 NB Ramp	Signal	Through	42.3	39.2	111.1	189.0	52.0	143.0	55.6	61.7		
	Avenue	Stop	Right	-	-	0.7	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0	4.3			0.8.101	Right	11.3	17.1	6.5	17.3	42.5	122.9	-	-	63.2	92.7
			Approach	0.6	6.0	1.3	2.4	-	-	-	-						Approach	39.8	40.1	89.8	140.5	52.7	131.6	67.8	68.6		
	Route 7			Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd						1	Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	& Dunlap		Left	7.8	50.5	7.5	16.1	-	-	18.0	-	Delay	Delay		Route 7 &		Left	48.5	63.0	-	128.4	-	-	41.0	55.4	Delay	Delay
5	Street/	Two-Way	Through	0.4	16.5	1.9	3.2	24.3	81.4	22.3	-			14	Winchester	Signal	Through	12.3	12.4	18.0	66.5	-	-	-	-		
	Street	Stop	Right	0.0	12.5	1.5	1.7	4.9	122.9	11.6	9.9	1.5	11.6		Cateway	Ű	Right	-	-	13.4	59.8	-	-	13.1	27.9	17.9	43.2
			Approach	0.4	16.6	2.0	3.5	6.4	121.1	17.7	9.9						Approach	16.9	23.2	17.8	66.1	-	-	24.5	38.2		
				Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd							Natio	nal Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasan	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	Valley Rd		
	Route 7 &		Left	14.9	85.6	-	-	-	-	41.4	84.0	Delay	Delay	45	Route 7 &		Left	61.4	66.3	/1.2	85.8	-	/0.5	51.3	65.0	Delay	Delay
6	Baker Lane	Signal	Inrough	4.4	46.3	4.0	5.2	-	-	-	-		22.0	15	Lakes Drive	Signal	Inrough	1.2	11.5	28.1	55.9	-	81.3	-	58.2	24.0	207
			Right	-	-	3.3	4.3	-	-	8.2	32.6	6.4	33.8				Right	-	11.2	14.8	35.4	-	28.6	13.6	31.2	21.0	38.7
			Approach	0.1	51.7	3.9 Dorrugi	5.1	-	-	20.6	55.0						Approach	14.2	21.9	Z7.4	54.5	-	64.2	30.8	43.0		
			Loft			Berryvi	ille Ave	IN Pleasan	t valley Ru	IN Pleasan		Delay	Dolay		Route 7 &		Loft	10.7	FO 7			AZ O		IN Pleasant		Delay	Delay
7	Route 7 &		Through	10.2	75.2	-	-	-	-	09.4	203.2	Delay	Delay	16	Millbrook		Through	49.7	50.7	22.3	03.3	47.0	54.0	48.3	54.0	Delay	Delay
/	Marketplace	Signal	Dight	1./	54.5	3.9	0.1	-	-	-	-	27	44.2	10	Blossom	Signal	Dight	11.4	0.1	31.7 16 E	27.5	40.5	51.5 14.1	57.2 10.9	21.2	20.1	21.0
			Approach	- 1 0	-	2.0	4.0 5.0	-	-	5.0	228.0	5.7	44.2		Drive		Approach	25.2	0.1	21.7	20.4	/2 1	14.1	10.0	21.0	20.1	21.0
			Арргоасн	1.0 Nation		Borryvi		- N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd						Approach	ZJ.Z		Bornwi		45.1	40.0	N Ploacant	Vallov Pd		
	Route 7 and		Left	24.3	160 G	13 1	-	/19 0				Delay	Delay		Route 7 and		1.04							T2 0		Deleu	Deleve
Q	Fort Collier		Through	12.2	137/	10.3	10.8	49.0 69.4	68.5	49.9 52.8	81 g	Delay	Delay		Millbrook		Left	67.1	67.9	53./	68.5	53.5	60.0	53.9	67.6	Delay	Delay
0	Road/Elm	Signal	Right	9.0	121.8	5.8	10.1	2/1 9	53.1	24.0	64.8	173	773	17	Drive/ Blossom	Signal	Through	28.9	31.5	26.4	21.6	56.0	65.6	53.5	70.4		
	Street		Approach	13.6	138 5	9.3	19.8	36.5	56.4	44.9	84.9	17.5	77.5		Drive		Right	16.3	15.3	8.0	5.5	44.5	44.5	7.5	15.7	32.7	31.3
			, ippi ouci1	Nation	al Ave	Berryvi	ille Ave	N Pleasant	t Valley Rd	N Pleasant	t Vallev Rd						Approach	30.9	30.7	26.6	25.8	54.5	54.6	45.4	62.3		
	Route 7 & Atwell		Left	16.3	50.3	8.4	29.2	16.7	247.2	34.0	1636.9	Delav	Delay	1.5.5													
9	Avenue/	Τωρ-\λ/эν	Through	2.1	37.4	2.8	3.0	-	-	-	565.8			LEG													
	Shopping	Stop	Right	1.2	19.4	1.7	2.1	6.6	420.7	12.4	744.6	2.6	31.3		03 A/D 05 C/D												
	Center		Approach	2.1	37.3	2.8	3.6	8.6	351.3	19.6	1034.6				OS F/F												
			•••							1																	





Table 2. SimTraffic 2030 No Build Max Queue Length Results

						Maximur	n Queue L	engths (F	eet)													Maximun	n Queue L
					Eastboun	d	V	Vestboun	d	N	orthbou	nd	S	outhbou	nd					E	astboun	d	V
In Ni D	tersection umber and escription	Control Type	Lane Group	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	In Ni D	tersection umber and escription	Control Type	Lane Group	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length
				Nat	ional Ave	enue	Berr	yville Av	enue	N Pleas	sant Valle	ey Road	N Plea	sant Valle	ey Road		D-: 1- 70			Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr
1	Route 7 & N Pleasant		Left	175	125	175	-	98	96	165	93	154	100	99	100	10	Route / & Ross Street /		Left	75	72	75	70
-	Valley Road	Signal	Through	-	200	562	-	104	02	-	144	282	-	104	201	10	Pharmhouse	Signal	Through	-	197		-
			Right	-	509	502	-	104	95	-	124	354	115	194	201			0.8.101	Right	-	153	395	_
				Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Ave	enue	Nat	ional Ave	enue								Berr	vville Av	enue	Berr
2	Route 7 &	Two-Way	Left	-	-	-	-	234	419	-	-	-	-	24	31		Route 7 & Exxon		Left	35	32	32	45
2	Avenue	Stop	Through	-	ЕЛ	07	-	273	386	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	Driveway /	Two-Way Stop	Through	-	186	239	-
		Control	Right	-	54	87	-			-	-	-	-	24	31		Driveway	Control	Right	_	141	235	
	Route 7 &			Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Av	enue	Woo	dland Av	venue	Ba	ttle Aver	nue				1.00110	Berr	vville Av	enue	Berr
2	Battle		Left	-	114	320	-	140	150	-			-				Route 7 &		Left	145	144	144	100
3	Woodland	Signal	Through	-	114	320	-	164	152	-	40	65	-	51	52	12	I-81 Southbound	Signal	Through	-	316	323	-
	Avenue		Right	-	83	288	-	-	-	-			-				Ramp	5151101	Right	_	277	314	_
				Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Av	enue				Vir	ginia Ave	nue		Route 7 &		- night	Berr	yville Av	enue	Be
4	Route 7 & Virginia	Two-Way	Left	-	51	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		I-81 Northbound		Left	240	240	240	340
	Avenue	Stop	Through	-			-	88	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	Ramp /	Signal	Through	-	417	434	-
		Control	Right	-	-	-	-		144	-	-	-	-	-	-		Valley Mill Road	-	Right	250	250	250	-
	Route 7 &			Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Ave	enue	Che	estnut Sti	reet	Du	unlap Stro	eet				/	Bo	rnville D	iko	Bo
5	Dunlap Street /	Two-Way	Left	-	52	265	-	103	238	-			-				Route 7 &			De	i i yville P		De
	Chestnut	Stop Control	Through	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	78	-	21	18	14	Winchester Gateway		Left	575	129	246	75
	Sireei	control	Right	-	19	264	-	76	189	-			-				Drive	Signal	Through	-	252	363	-
				Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Ave	enue				E	Baker Lan	e				Right	-	-	-	220
6	Route 7 &		Left	-	186	483	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	69	70		D			Be	rryville P	ike	Be
	Daker Lane	Signal	Through	-			-	170	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	Regency		Left	275	241	274	100
			Right	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	147	250		Lakes Drive	Signal	Through	-	145	502	-
				Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Av	enue				A	pple Vall Iarketpla	ey ice				Right	-	164	437	430
7	Route 7 &		Left	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	90	70	89		Route 7 &			Be	rryville P	ike	Be
	Marketplace	Signal	Through	-	87	451	_			-	-	-	-	-	-	16	Drive /		Left	605	139	98	165
		- 0	Right	-	-	-	-	166	196	-	-	-	-	33	307		Blossom Drive	Signal	Through	-	442	290	-
				Berr	yvill <u>e Av</u>	enue	Berr	yvill <u>e Av</u> e	enue		Elm <u>Stree</u>	et	For	t Collier F	Road				Right	175	174	156	250
	Route 7 &		Left	105	98	104	120	114	119	100	82	100	100	99	100		Route 7 &			Be	rryville P	ike	Be
8	Fort Collier	Signal	Through	-	256		-	303	305	-			-			17	Drive /	. ·	Left	325	265	220	335
	Road	0.14	Right	-	231	741	95	95	95	-	202	258	-	382	400		Greenwood Road	Signal	Through	-	499	523	-
										Pharm	house Sh	opping					Road		Right	260	241	260	340
	Route 7 &			Berr	yville Av	enue	Berr	yville Ave	enue		Center	~ 11	At	well Avei	nue	Red h	highlighted to	ext indicat	tes queue	exceed s	torage l	oay leng	th
9	Avenue /	Two-Wav	Left	120	22	120	60	39	53	-			115	22	320								
	Pharmhouse SC	Stop	Through	-	58	320	-	109	Q1	-	37	57	-		520								
		Control	Right	-	55	330	-	109		-			90	56	66								

STARS SEPTEMBER 2021

ieue L	engths (F	eet)						
V	Vestboun	d	N	orthbour	nd	S	outhbour	ıd
orage Bay ngth	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue	Storage Bay Length	AM Queue	PM Queue
Berr	yville Ave	enue	Pharm	house Sh Center	opping	R	loss Stree	t
70	49	59	-	50	70	-	144	221
-	247	161	-	50	12	-	144	231
-	217	164	-	44	138	-	57	53
Berr	yville Ave	enue	Sh	ell Drivew	/ay	Ехх	on Drive	way
45	34	44	-			-		
-	160	222	-	70	185	-	110	152
-	109	225	-			-		
Berr	yville Ave	enue	l	Driveway		I-81 So	uthbound	d Ramp
100	84	96	-	40	74	-	387	388
-	427	565	-	40	/1	-	-	
-	418	590	-	30	60	-	180	222
Be	rryville Pi	ike	Val	ey Mill R	oad	I-81 No	rthbound	d Ramp
340	340	335	210	417	442	-	250	352
-	928	1341	-	205	205	-	250	326
-	382	1282	295	295	295	-	-	-
Ве	rryville Pi	ike				Winch	nester Ga Drive	teway
75	11	70	-	-	-	-	130	332
-	338	1001	-	-	-	-	-	-
220	188	220	-	-	-	170	117	170
Be	rryville Pi	ike	l	Driveway		Reger	ncy Lakes	Drive
100	63	51	-			-	224	107
-	443	925	-	0	75	-	224	187
430	215	430	-			-	124	226
Ве	rryville Pi	ike	Blo	ossom Dri	ive	Mil	lbrook Di	rive
165	52	152	200	135	102	290	60	27
-	422	537	-	107	F1	-	68	27
250	44	35	-	127	51	-	95	76
Be	rryville Pi	ike	Gree	enwood D	Drive	First	Woods D	Drive
335	149	321	-			-	100	100
-	373	565	-	391	302	-	186	196
340	76	217	-			-	41	42



3. ROUTE 7 IMPROVEMENTS

Intersection and roadway improvement concepts were developed to address safety and operational deficiencies along the Route 7 corridor. Preliminary alternative concepts were presented to VDOT and at Study Work Group (SWG) meetings to evaluate alternatives and provide feedback on concepts. The preferred alternative concepts were then presented to the public via a Metroquest survey that was made available from May 14th, 2021 to May 28th, 2021. The concepts for the preferred improvements recommended as part of this study are presented at the end of this section. Cost estimates were also developed for the preferred improvements and are presented in Section 5.

3.1 Evaluating Recommendations

The recommended improvements primarily focus on increasing safety along the corridor however it is also important that traffic operations along the corridor be maintained or improved. The VDOT TOSAM states that the SimTraffic module should be used to analyze oversaturated conditions along a corridor because the SimTraffic module accounts for upstream and downstream operations. However, within the Route 7 study area, traffic operations along the corridor are greatly influenced by the operations of the I-81 interchange and using the SimTraffic module for the analysis would not properly assess the recommended improvements at each independent intersection.

Alternatively, the HCM module of the Synchro software evaluates each intersection independently without accounting for operational deficiencies at adjacent intersections. Table 3 compares the SimTraffic and HCM analysis results for the study area intersections. As shown in the table, the analysis results for the intersections adjacent to the I-81 interchange show a greater discrepancy between the two analysis modules because the operations of the interchange impact the operations of the adjacent intersections in the SimTraffic results. As shown in the table, the analysis results for the intersections adjacent to the I-81 interchange show a greater discrepancy between the two analysis modules because the operations of the interchange impact the operations of the adjacent intersections in the SimTraffic results. For example, at intersections #9 through #11, the PM peak hour intersection delay is significantly better using the HCM analysis. This is because queuing from the downstream intersection in SimTraffic at the I-81 southbound ramp termini impedes the flow of through traffic on Route 7 traveling eastbound and prevents minor street vehicles from entering the intersection. Whereas, the HCM analysis does not account for this downstream queuing.

Through conversations with VDOT Staunton District Planning staff and due to the difference in methodology between the two analysis modules, it was determined that the HCM module would be used to analyze each intersection so that the operations related to the I-81 interchange would be excluded from the analysis. As such, the HCM analysis results for intersections adjacent to the I-81 interchange may not accurately reflect future conditions. However, a comparison of the HCM analysis results for the future No-Build versus future Build scenarios does provide assurance that the traffic operations at each independent location will improve or be maintained.

The safety impacts, presented in section 4 of this report, were evaluated using Clearinghouse Crash Modification Factors from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and VDOT Crash Modification Factors used in SMART Scale.

3.2 Corridor Limitations

Route 7 within the City of Winchester has limited right-of-way due to commercial and residential structures abutting Route 7. Contextual sensitivity in an urban environment is also important to ensure drivers understand and expect the control proposed. Alternatives presented to stakeholders typically involved using existing infrastructure, devices or equipment that can be used within the existing right-of-way, or traffic control that can be expected in an urban environment.

The Route 7 corridor segment within Frederick County has more available right-of-way, however the current alignment of

Table 3. SimTraffic and HCM 2030 No-Build Operational Results

	luter section	AM PEA	K HOUR	PM PEA	K HOUR
	Intersection	SimTraffic	нсм	SimTraffic	НСМ
1	N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue	17.7 - B	32.2- C	35.2 - D	40.4 - D
2	Route 7 & National Avenue	4.4 - A	0.1 - A	13.1 - B	0.1 - A
3	Route 7 & Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue	2.8 - A	6.7 - A	11.6 - B	9.7 - A
4	Route 7 & Virginia Avenue	1.0 - A	0.0 - A	4.3 - A	0.0 - A
5	Route 7 & Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street	1.5 - A	0.7 - A	11.6 - A	0.5 - A
6	Route 7 & Baker Lane	6.4 - A	8.7 - A	33.8 - C	15.6 - B
7	Route 7 & Apple Valley Marketplace	3.7 - A	3.7 - A	44.2 - D	10.6 - B
8	Route 7 & Fort Collier Rd/Elm Street	17.3 - B	21.3 - C	77.3 - E	22.8 - C
9	Route 7 & Atwell Avenue/Pharmhouse Shopping Center	2.6 - A	0.2 - A	31.3 - C	0.5 - A
10	Route 7 & Ross Street/Pharmhouse Shopping Center	6.9 - A	9.7 - A	36.0 - D	8.8 - A
11	Route 7 & Exxon Driveway/Shell Driveway	5.2 - A	0.6 - A	20.2 - C	0.2 - A
12	Route 7 & I-81 SB Ramp	26.2 - C	37.3 - D	36.0 - D	34.4 - C
13	Route 7 & I-81 NB Ramp	63.2 - E	64.9 - E	92.7 - E	62.7 - E
14	Route 7 & Winchester Gateway	17.9 - B	20.4 - C	43.2 - D	22.5 - C
15	Route 7 & Regency Lakes Drive	21.0 - C	22.5 - C	38.7 - D	23.9 - C
16	Route 7 & Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive	28.1 - C	39.8 - D	21.8 - C	20.5 - C
17	Route 7 & Greenwood Road/First Wood Drive	32.7 - C	46.4 - D	31.3 - C	45.2 - D

this segment is complex. Travel lanes are bifurcated, or at different elevations, and the median reduces in width as vehicles travel westbound towards the northbound I-81 ramp. Although few recommendations are made within Frederick County as the focus should be on the interchange, any future recommendations will need to overcome these challenges. Therefore, the recommendations presented along this portion of the Route 7 corridor make use of existing infrastructure and roadway networks.

3.3 Recommendation Overview

Intersection 1: N Pleasant Valley Road:

The evaluation for the intersection of N Pleasant Valley Road and Route 7 revealed subpar intersection operations, particularly on eastbound Route 7, and poor safety conditions, with high angle crashes and pedestrian incidents. Preliminary alternatives were targeted towards reducing delay and improving vehicular and pedestrian safety. The preliminary alternatives presented to the SWG included relocating the northbound and southbound Pleasant Valley Road left-turns through the network, a multi-lane roundabout, and a single lane roundabout with a dedicated westbound Route 7 left-turn lane. Based on feedback from the City of Winchester, the relocated left-turn option was determined to not be contextually appropriate. Additionally, the standard multi-lane roundabout required more right-of-way compared to the alternative roundabout and was therefore eliminated. The single lane roundabout that was advanced as the preferred alternative is expected to reduce crashes up to 40%. The operational results are presented in Table 4. The conceptual drawing is presented in Figure 3.

Overall, the intersection improves significantly both in the AM and PM peak hours. The eastbound Route 7 approach improves from 20.8 seconds/vehicle (LOS C) in the AM peak hour to 11.5 seconds/vehicle (LOS B), and from 46.5 seconds/vehicle (LOS D) to 37.2 seconds/vehicle (LOS D). Another improvement is the northbound right-turn on Pleasant Valley Road. This improves operations from 40.9 seconds/vehicle (LOS D) in the AM peak hour to 6.7 seconds/vehicle (LOS A), and from 33.5 seconds/vehicle (LOS C) to 13.9 seconds/vehicle (LOS B).



		Overall				Del	ay per Lai	ne Group (Level of	by Appro Service)	ach (sec/\	/eh)			
Intersection	Scenario	Delay		Eastbound	k	V	Vestboun	d	N	orthbour	ıd	S	outhboun	d
		(103)	ιτ	TH	RT	ιτ	тн	RT	ιτ	TH	RT	ιτ	тн	RT
							AM Peak	Hour						
		10.2	16.5	20.4	15.3	11.5	7.2	4.5	50.6	54.0	5.6	54.3	56.0	34.3
	Existing	19.2	В	С	В	В	А	А	D	D	А	D	E	С
		В		19.4 - B			8.9 - A			22.1 - C			52.2 - D	
		22.2	14.2	21.3	21.3	21.2	24.7	24.7	52.6	61.7	40.9	50.6	67.7	67.7
	Future No Build	32.2	В	С	С	С	С	С	D	E	D	D	E	Е
	No Build	С		20.8 - C			23.2 - C			46.2 - D			64.2 - E	
		70	11.2	11.5	11.6	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.1	6.7	9.9	10.5	10.5
1. N Pleasant	Future Build	7.8	В	В	В	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	В	В
& National	Dana	А		11.5 - B			6.2 - A			6.6 - A			10.3 - B	
Avenue/							PM Peak	Hour						
Avenue		25.0	25.6	39.0	33.8	16.4	9.0	4.1	49.3	51.2	9.1	49.4	53.9	38.8
	Existing	25.0	С	D	С	В	А	А	D	D	А	D	D	D
		С		37.1 - D			12.6 - B			23.4 - C			51.5 - D	
		40.4	24.1	48.4	48.4	39.2	20.3	20.3	57.0	67.8	33.5	50.3	64.9	64.9
	Future No Build	40.4	С	D	D	D	С	С	E	E	С	D	E	E
		D		46.5 - D			29.8 - C			42.5 - D			62.0 - E	
		17.0	37.2	37.2	37.2	6.8	8.0	7.9	11.1	11.2	13.9	12.2	12.1	12.3
	Future Build	17.0	D	D	D	А	Α	А	В	В	В	В	В	В
	20.110	В		37.2 - D			7.4 - A			13.1 - B			12.2 - B	

Table 4. Route 7 and N Pleasant Valley Road Intersection Operations

Table 5. Fort Collier Road to I-81 Southbound Ramp Intersection Operations

		Overall				Dela	ay per Lar	ne Group (Level of	by Appro Service)	ach (sec/v	veh)			
Intersection	Scenario	Delay (LOS)	E	astbound	k	v	Vestboun	d	N	orthboun	d	S	outhboun	d
		(100)	LT	тн	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	тн	RT
						AN	И Peak Ho	our						
		173	17.7	11.2	6.8	11.2	9.6	5.6	57.4	63.3	23.7	54.2	53.7	26.3
	Existing	-	В	В	A	В	A	A	E	E	С	D	D	С
		В	45.4	11.4 - B	20.0	0.1	8.6 - A	4.5	56.2	35.7 - D	64.7	F1 0	48.5 -D	45.7
	Future No	21.3	15.1 B	20.9	20.9	8.1	11.5 B	1.5	56.3	61./	61./	51.0	45.7	45.7
	Build	6	В		L	A	88-A	A	E	610-E	E	U	195-D	D
		ر ر	14.8	20.0 - C	20.8	7.6	13.7	16.6	55.8	55.8	68.7	63.9	61.9	61.9
8 Route 7 &	Future	26.1	B	C	C	B	C	C	D	E	E	D	D	D
Fort Collier	Bulla	С		20.5 - C			14.1 - B			66.7 - E			62.9 - E	
Road/Elm						PN	И Peak Ho	bur						
Street		46.0	56.4	42.0	31.6	32.8	17.3	8.3	47.5	61.4	36.3	134.9	141.7	122.3
	Existing	46.9	E	D	С	С	В	А	D	E	D	F	F	F
		D		42.8 - D			16.9 - B			46.0 - D			134.6 - F	
	Future No	22.8	11.0	22.2	22.2	16.1	9.9	1.7	55.6	63.2	63.2	55.0	46.8	46.8
	Build		В	<u> </u>	C	В	A	A	E	E	E	E		D
		L	19.0	21.6 - C	20 E	22.2	8.8 - A	20	E0.2	60.9 - E	60.9	675	52.7 - D	66.2
	Future	27.3	18.U B	29.5 C	29.5	 	0.5	<u> </u>	 	09.0 F	09.8 F	07.5 D	D	00.2
	Build	С		28.8 - C			7.6 - A			66.5 - E			66.9 - E	
						AN	V Peak Ho	our						
			8.6	1.3	1.1	7.0	2.3	1.8	21.5		4.8	23.2	18.5	12.3
	Existing	2.0	A	A	А	A	A	A	С	N/A	A	С	В	A
		А		1.3 - A			2.4 - A			6.1 - A			16.2 - C	
	Euture No	0.2	11.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	12.1	12.1	12.1	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Build	0.2	В	A	A	A	A	A	В	B	В	C	C	C
		A	117	0.0 - A	0.0		0.1 - A	0.0		12.1 - B	0.0		18.0 - C	10.2
9. Route 7	Future	0.1	11./ P	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	9.8	N/A	N/A	10.2 P
& Atwell	Build	Δ	D		A		00-A	A		98-0	A		10 2 - B	D
Pharmhouse		A		0.0 A		PN	<u>о.огд</u> И Peak Ho	our		<u>J.0 A</u>			10.2 D	
Shopping			29.8	21.0	10.4	21.3	3.5	2.9	570.5		433.4	267.6	57.4	30.4
Center	Existing	19.7	D	C	В	C	A	A	F	N/A	F	F	F	C
		С		20.6 - C			4.0 - A			451.6 - F			110.9 - F	
	Euturo No	0.5	11.4	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	26.5	26.5	26.5	21.8	21.8	21.8
	Build	0.5	В	A	А	В	A	A	D	D	D	С	С	С
		A		0.3 - A			0.3 - A			26.5 - D			21.8 - C	• •
	Future	0.2	11.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	9.6	N/A	N/A	9.9
	Build	Δ	В	0.3 - A	A		A 0.0 - A	A		9.6 - A	A		9.9 - A	A
		A		0.5 A			0.0 A			J.0 A			J.J A	

Intersection 6: Baker Lane Area:

Angle and rear-end crashes were observed on the Route 7 mainline between the adjacent commercial driveways on the north side of Route 7. It is recommended to perform access management by constructing right-in/right-out islands and installing flex posts along Route 7. These improvements are presented in Figure 4 and will reduce rear-ends and angle crashes by up to 20%.

Route 7 segment between Intersection 8: Fort Collier Road/Elm Street and Intersection 12: the I-81 Southbound Ramp:

A majority of operational deficiencies along Route 7 in the study area are a result of the poor operations at the intersection with the I-81 southbound ramps. Recommendations were focused on improving safety, increasing turn lane storage where possible to reduce encroachments into the Route 7 mainline, and reducing conflicting movements from side streets. The following options were presented to the SWG but not pursued based on stakeholder feedback:

- Relocating all left-turns from Atwell Avenue and Ross Street to Fort Collier Road/Elm Street via a new extension of Conway Street.
- Extending the eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane at the I-81 Southbound Ramp by removing the median break that allows access to the gas stations and removing the westbound Route 7 left-turn lane at Ross Street.



		Overall				Dela	ay per Lan	e Group (Level of	by Appro f Service)	ach (sec/v	veh)			
Intersection	Scenario	Delay (LOS)		Eastboun	ł	v	Vestboun	d	N	orthboun	d	So	outhbour	nd
		(203)	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
						AN	V Peak Ho	bur						
		5.8	12.3	3.2	0.5	8.0	4.4	2.8	38.8	Ν/Δ	6.1	40.8	Ν/Δ	9.8
	Existing	5.0	В	A	А	A	A	А	D	19/5	А	D		A
		A		3.8 - A			4.4 - A			21.6 - C			32.0 - C	
	Future No	9.7	2.5	4.5	4.5	3.9	7.7	7.7	60.1	60.1	59.1	75.6	75.6	59.2
	Build		A	A	A	A		A	E	E	E	E	E	E
		A	2.0	4.4 - A	4.2	47	7.6 - A	0.2	FC 7	59.6 - E	FF 0	<u> </u>	/1.4 - E	FF 0
10. Route 7 &	Future	10.7	2.8	4.3	4.3	4./	9.3	9.3	50.7	56.7	55.8	69.6 E	69.6 E	55.9
Ross Street/	Build	B	A	<u>Α</u>	A	A		A		562-E		E	66.6-E	
Pharmhouse		D		4.2 ⁻ A		PN	J.Z - A	bur		JU.2 - L			00.0-L	
Center			679	/15 1	20.6	64.1	87	50	60 /	82.8	61.0	112 /	86.0	22.5
	Evisting	33.8	- 07.9 F	45.1 D	20.0	04.1 F	Δ	Δ	69.4 F	02.0 F	01.9 F	F	60.0 F	
	EXISTING	C		454-D			119-B			63.8-E		•	90 0 - F	
			4.0	6.8	6.8	5.0	2.0	2.0	56.3	56.3	55.3	70.1	70.1	55.1
	Future No	8.8	A	A	A	A	A	A	E	E	E	E	E	E
	Bulla	А		6.7 - A			2.2 - A			55.5 - E			66.6 - E	
	Future	0.7	4.2	6.2	6.2	5.5	4.4	4.4	55.4	55.4	54.2	71.7	71.1	54.0
	Build	9.7	A	A	А	В	A	А	D	D	D	E	E	D
	Balla	А		6.1 - A			4.4 - A			54.5 - D			67.5 - E	
						AN	И Peak Ho	bur						
		2.2	11.9	1.5	0.5	9.0	3.9	2.9	21.0		6.5	24.8		12.4
	Existing	3.2	В	A	А	А	А	А	C	N/A	А	С	N/A	В
		А		1.6 - A			3.9 - A			7.1 - A			15.2 - C	
	Future No	0.6	9.8	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	11.4	11.4	14.3	14.3	14.3
	Build	0.0	A	A	A	В	A	A	В	В	В	В	В	В
		A		0.1 - A			0.1 - A			11.4 - B			14.3 - B	
11 Route	Future	0.3	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	10.4	N/A	N/A	9.8
7 & Exxon	Build	Δ			A			A		10 / D	В	-		A
Driveway/		A		0.0 - A		DN				10.4 - B			9.8-A	
Snell Driveway			44.0	10.4	4.0				1501 4		1745 0	12247		1254.2
Driveway	Evicting	48.5	44.0	16.4	4.0	226.3	14./ D	8.0	1561.4	N/A	1/45.6	1224.7	N/A	1354.2
	Existing	C	<u> </u>		A	F		A	F	17540 5	Г	Г	12270 E	F
		Ľ	10.9	0.1-0	0.0	0.0	0.0-0	0.0	12.7	12 7 12 7	12 7	12 5	12 5	12 5
	Future No	0.2	B	Δ	Δ	Δ	Δ	Δ	12.7 R	12.7 B	12.7 R	12.5 R	12.5 R	12.5 R
	Build	А		0.0 - A			0.0 - A			12.7 - R	5		12.5 - B	
				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			10.9		D	9.9
	Future	0.2	N/A	A	A	N/A	A	A	N/A	N/A	В	N/A	N/A	A
	Build	А		0.0 - A			0.0 - A			10.9 - B			9.9 - A	

Table 5. Fort Collier Road to I-81 Southbound Ramp Intersection Operations (Continued)

- Extending the westbound Route 7 left-turn lane at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street to improve capacity by reducing back-ups onto westbound Route 7.
- Restricting the minor approach through and left-turn movements at Atwell Avenue onto Route 7 to reduce angle crashes.
- Extending the eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane at Ross Street to improve left-turn lane capacity and reduce back-ups onto Route 7 eastbound.
- Extending the eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane at the I-81 southbound ramp by eliminating only the access between the gas stations to improve left-turn lane capacity and reduce back-ups onto Route 7 eastbound. This will also eliminate the angle crash hot-spot at this access point.
- Extend Conway Street and provide parallel parking to maintain safety and parking capacity.

Overall, the intersections along the Route 7 segment between Fort Collier Road/Elm Street and the I-81 southbound ramp are expected to maintain similar operations when comparing the future No-Build and Build analysis results. Improvements in delay will mostly be realized on minor approaches where vehicles will be required to make a right-in/ right-out from unsignalized intersections such as at Atwell Avenue or the gas stations just west of the I-81 interchange. The extended left-turn lane improvements will also provide a much-needed refuge for vehicles to turn without queues extending into the Route 7 mainline where they increase the risk of rear-end crashes.

Through discussions with the SWG, it was determined that some access management improvements along this portion of the corridor could be beneficial. As such, the following improvements are recommended for this segment (also presented in Figures 5-8).

- Changing the signal operation at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street on the side streets from concurrent phasing to split phasing to reduce confusion and conflicting movements.
- Restricting Right Turns on Red at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street and Ross Street to improve pedestrian safety and _ conflicts with Route 7 through vehicles.
- Reconfiguring the southbound Fort Collier Road approach from a single left-turn lane to one left-turns lane and ____ one thru-left-right lane. This improves capacity on Fort Collier Road.





Intersection 16: Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive

This location is anticipated to see longer queues by 2030 on Route 7. Accommodating dedicated U-turn areas for the intersection proved to be difficult because of the bifurcated travel lanes, slopes abutting the Route 7 shoulders, and horizontal and vertical curves along Route 7. In addition to increased construction costs, the geometric features makes it challenging to meet minimum sight distance requirements for U-turn areas. Therefore, improvements focused on using the existing roadway network with minimal adjustment and relocating low-volume movements in order to reduce the number of traffic signal phases. The recommended improvement at this intersection focuses on reducing delay on Route 7 by eliminating the westbound Route 7 left-turn lane and relocating the movement, as well as relocating the side street through-movement to the First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road intersection. This concept can be found in Figure 9. Table 6 presents the results of the HCM analysis module for the existing condition, future No-Build, and future Build scenarios for this location.

It is anticipated that the intersection is expected to improve from a LOS D to LOS C in the AM peak hour and from a LOS C to LOS A in the PM peak hour. The LOS for the Route 7 through movements are expected to improve from LOS D to LOS C in the AM peak hour, and LOS C to LOS A in the PM peak hour. Relocating the left and through movements from the minor approaches also has the benefit to reduce crashes by up to 20%.

Intersection 17: First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

As with Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive, First Woods Drive/Greenwood is expected to have increased delay on all approaches when comparing the future No-Build scenario to the existing conditions. Similar to Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive, accommodating dedicated U-turn areas for the intersection proved to be difficult because of the bifurcated travel lanes, slopes abutting the Route 7 shoulders, and horizontal and vertical curves along Route 7. Therefore, improvements focused on using the existing roadway network with minimal adjustment and relocating low-volume movements in order to reduce the number of traffic signal phases. The recommended improvement at this intersection focuses on improving the capacity of the westbound Route 7 left-turn lane and reducing delay and rear-ends on Route 7 by eliminating the eastbound Route 7 left-turn lane and relocating the movement to Millbrook/Blossom Drive. The concept can be found in Figure 10. Table 7 presents the results of the HCM analysis module for the existing condition, future No-Build, and future Build scenarios for this location.

It is anticipated that the overall intersection delay will decrease from 46.4 seconds/vehicle (LOS D) to 33.0 seconds/ vehicle (LOS C) in the AM peak hour and 45.2 seconds/vehicle (LOS D) to 36.8 seconds/vehicles (LOS D), in the PM peak hour as a result of the recommended improvement. The LOS for the westbound Route 7 through movement is also expected to improve from LOS D to B in the AM peak hour, and LOS D to C in the PM peak hour. Relocating the noted movements and increasing storage capacity also has the benefit to potentially reduce crashes by up to 20%.

Table 6. Route 7 and Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive Intersection Operations

		Overall				"De	lay per La	ne Group (Level of	by Appro Service)"	ach (sec/	veh)			
Intersection	Scenario	Delay		Eastbound	Ł	V	Vestboun	d	N	orthboun	ıd	S	outhboun	d
		(103)	ιτ	TH	RT	ιτ	TH	RT	ιτ	TH	RT	ιτ	TH	RT
							AM Peak	Hour						
		26.0	47.7	20.7	9.6	64.4	29.6	8.6	50.8	43.2	20.9	51.7	48.4	10.1
	Existing	20.9	D	C	А	E	С	А	D	D	С	D	D	В
		D		24.2 - C			29.7 - C			44.1 - D			15.1 - B	
		20.0	60.9	32.0	11.7	42.0	43.1	14.8	62.7	51.6	51.6	51.3	51.3	50.0
	Future No Build	59.0	E	С	В	D	D	В	E	D	D	D	D	D
		D		35.1 - D			43.1 - D			57.5 - E			50.2 - D	
		21 5	47.8	6.2	3.7	N/A	27.5	9.3	51.5	N/A	47.4	48.6	N/A	47.8
16. Route 7	Future Build	21.5	D	А	А		С	А	D		D	D		D
& Millbrook		С		13.6 - B			26.8 - C			50.6 - D			47.9 - D	
Blossom							PM Peak	Hour						
Drive		176	39.8	10.7	7.0	52.7	20.1	8.8	49.3	46.2	8.7	50.3	53.6	15.5
	Existing	17.0	D	В	А	D	С	А	D	D	А	D	D	В
		С		12.9 - B			20.7 - C			37.8 - D			21.9 - C	
		20 5	57.6	9.8	8.9	72.6	21.6	11.4	67.9	65.1	65.1	65.9	65.9	64.8
	Future No Build	20.5	E	А	А	E	С	В	E	E	E	E	E	E
		С		13.4 - B			22.5 - C			66.9 - E			65.0 - E	
	E. t	90	63.6	2.7	2.9	N/A	3.8	5.6	66.1	N/A	63.8	64.6	N/A	64.0
	Build	5.0	E	А	А		А	А	E		E	E		E
		А		8.4 - A			3.8 - A			65.5 - E			64.1 - E	

Table 7. Route 7 and First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road Intersection Operations

		Overall	"Delay per Lane Group by Approach (sec/veh) (Level of Service)"												
Intersection	Scenario	Delay	Eastbound		١	Vestboun	d	N		lorthbound		Southbound			
		(103)	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	тн	RT	LT	TH	RT	
							AM Peak	Hour							
		2E 1	68.7	29.3	14.9	69.0	18.7	6.7	53.6	57.9	49.1	54.5	53.9	7.5	
	Existing	35.1	E	C	В	E	В	А	D	E	D	D	D	А	
		D		31.9 - C			24.2 - C			56.1 - E			43.8 - D		
		16 A	65.4	45.0	21.9	60.8	35.1	22.3	75.6	75.6	75.6	71.6	71.6	46.2	
	Future No Build	e 40.4 Id	E	D	С	E	D	С	E	E	E	E	E	D	
		D		45.6 - D			35.9 - D			75.6 - E			66.3 - E		
	Future Build	uture 33.0 Build	N/A	31.5	16.9	61.6	15.9	11.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	68.1	68.1	45.9	
17 Pouto 7 9				С	В	E	В	В	E	E	E	E	E	D	
Greenwood		С		31.0 - C			18.7 - B			70.0 - E			63.5 - E		
Road/First	PM Peak Hour														
	Existing		20.4	65.4	27.0	11.4	69.0	18.7	6.7	63.9	61.6	43.3	68.5	68.4	11.2
		29.4	E	C	В	E	В	А	E	E	D	E	E	В	
		С		26.6 - C			24.2 - C			53.2 - D			61.1 - D		
		45.2	69.3	41.7	26.1	79.7	41.3	19.8	61.0	61.0	61.0	66.3	66.3	57.2	
	Future No Build	45.2	E	D	С	E	D	В	E	E	E	E	E	E	
		D		40.8 - D			44.6 - D			61.0 - E			65.1 - E		
		36.8	N/A	39.1	24.8	76.9	20.3	11.1	77.6	77.6	77.6	77.3	77.3	57.9	
	Future Build	50.8		D	С	E	C	В	E	E	E	E	E	E	
	Balla	D		37.8 - D			26.6 - C			77.6 - E			75.0 - E		



Figure 3. Route 7 & Pleasant Valley Road Roundabout



Winchester, VA

Project Location North Arrow & Scale Legend EXISTING PAVEMENT PROPOSED PAVEMENT TRUCK APRON ROPOSED RAISED MEDIAN PEDESTRIAN FACILITY GRASS / VEGETATION DEMOLISH EXISTING PAVEMENT ROPOSED PAVEMENT









Figure 4. Baker Lane



North Arrow & Scale Legend EXISTING PAVEMENT PROPOSED PAVEMENT EXISTING PAVEMENT PROPOSED MEDIAN MARKING PROPOSED PAVEMEN PAVEMENT DEMOLITION

Project Location







Figure 5. Fort Collier Road Exhibit



Project Location



th of Virginia copyright









Figure 6. Fort Collier Road



Project Location







Figure 7. Conway Street Extension













Figure 8. Ross Street









Figure 9. Millbrook Drive Lane



Milbrook Drive Lane Frederick County: Route 7 STARS Improvements



Project Location

LEFT TURN ONLY-LEFT TURN LANE 11 6886 TIE INTO EXISTING/ PAVEMENT MARKINGS PROPOSED SIGN: "NO LEFT TURN" Ø MOUNTABLE OR PAINT MEDIAN **RIGHT TURN**









Figure 10. First Woods Drive















4. EXPECTED SAFETY IMPROVEMENT

The crash modification factors (CMF) from Clearinghouse and SMART SCALE were used to evaluate the safety performance of each improvement. Table 8 summarizes the CMF used.

Treatment	Crash Modification Factor Range				
Roundabout	0.60				
Access Management	0.40 to 0.70				
Extended Turn Lane	0.97				
Improved Signal Timing	0.91				
Displaced Left-turn	0.80				

Table 8. Crash Modification Factors

The CMFs were applied to the intersection total crashes. Table 9 summarizes the expected range of crash reduction.

Intersection	Total Crashes	Applied CMF Range	Estimated Crash Reduction
RT 7/North Pleasant Valley Rd	34	0.60	14
RT 7/Baker Lane	26	0.40-0.70	8 - 16
RT 7/Elm St/Fort Collier Rd	17	0.91-0.97	1-2
RT 7/Atwell Ave	8	0.40-0.70	2 - 5
RT 7/Ross St	34	0.97	2
RT 7/Median Opening	17	0.40-0.70	5 - 10
RT 7/I-81 South Off ramp	25	0.97	2
RT 7/Millbrook Drive/Blossom Rd	15	0.8	3
RT 7/Greenwood Rd/First Woods Dr	24	0.8-0.97	1-4

Table 9. Crash Analysis

This crash reductions presented in Table 9 are estimated based on available data and may take two to three years following project completion to fully realize the benefits. Therefore, when assessing improvements post-project completion, especially at innovative intersections, there will be a learning period for drivers to become familiar with the new traffic patterns.



5. CONCEPTUAL DESIGNS AND COSTS

Conceptual designs and construction estimates were developed for each preferred improvement. The conceptual designs are shown in Figure 3 through Figure 11. Table 10 presents a summary of the construction costs for the improvements. Detailed cost estimates are included in Appendix C.

All concepts were designed using guidelines and standards from the following documents:

- VDOT Road Design Manual
- VDOT Road and Bridge Standards
- Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devoices
- 2011 Virginia Supplement to the MUTCD
- AASHTO 2011: A Policy of Geometric Design on Highways and Streets
- NCHRP Report 672: Roundabouts An Informational Guide

Where applicable, Auto-Turn analyses were conducted to confirm acceptable turning radii for heavy vehicles and school buses.

All cost estimates were developed assuming 2021 dollars and using the VDOT Statewide Planning Tool (SPLCE) and district and statewide averages. All estimates include a 20% contingency for unaccounted items, 25% construction contingency, 5% contract contingency, and 20% for construction engineering and inspection (CEI). Preliminary engineering estimates were developed using the following scale which includes a 10% contingency:

- Construction Cost < \$2.4M: Not to Exceed \$600K
- \$2.4M < Construction Cost < \$5M: 25% of Construction Cost
- \$5M < Construction Cost < \$8M: 20% of Construction Cost
- **Construction Cost > \$8M:** 15% of Construction Cost

No right-of-way costs are anticipated except for the Conway Street Extension and N Pleasant Valley Roundabout. The VDOT R/W estimate process and VDOT R/W estimating worksheet were used to estimate right-of-way and utility costs for these improvements.

Table 10 summarizes the anticipated costs associated with each proposed improvement.

Intersection Number	Location	Recommendation	Estimated Construction Cost	Estimated R/W Cost	Estimated Preliminary Engineering Cost	Total Estimated Cost
1	Pleasant Valley Road and Route 7	Roundabout	\$4,485,000	\$551,400	\$1,121,250	\$6,157,650
6	Baker Lane and Route 7	Access Management	\$200,000	-	\$100,000	\$300,000
8-11	Fort Collier Road to Atwell Ave Route 7 Segment	Turn Lane extension and Access Management	\$636,000	-	\$318,000	\$954,000
10	Ross Street and Route 7	Turn Lane extension	\$462,000		\$231,000	\$693,000
12	I-81 SB Ramp and Route 7	Turn Lane extension and Access Management	\$337,000	-	\$168,500	\$505,500
-	Conway Street Extension	Access Improvement	\$1,783,000	\$379,800	\$891,500	\$3,054,300
16	Millbrook Drive and Route 7	Thru Cut	\$200,000	-	\$100,000	\$300,000
17	First Woods Drive and Route 7	Turn Lane Extension and Turn Relocation	\$260,000	-	\$130,000	\$390,000



Table 10. Cost Estimate Summary



6. PUBLIC SURVEY RESULTS

A public MetroQuest survey was conducted to obtain public feedback for the Route 7 STARS (Berryville Avenue/ Berryville Pike) recommendations. The survey collected public input from May 14, 2021 to May 31, 2021. During this period, 1,879 participants completed the survey, with 85% of the participants (1,602 people) accessing the survey via Facebook. Fifty-six percent of participants lived within five miles of the study area, 23% worked within five miles of the study area, 12% both lived and worked within five miles of the study area, and 10% did not live or work within five miles of the study area.

6.1 Scoring and Comments of Draft Improvements

The MetroQuest survey asked participants to give a 1-to-5-star rating for the draft improvements, with 1 being the least favorable and 5 being the most favorable opinion. The average ratings and general comments are presented in the following discussion.

Intersection 1: N Pleasant Valley Road:

The Pleasant Valley Road concept received an average rating of 3.2 out of 5. Participants were divided on the concept, with 525 participants rating it five stars and 432 participants rating it one star. There were 110 comments submitted on this concept. Almost half of these comments were in opposition to roundabouts, which commenters felt were too confusing and dangerous for drivers in the area. A few positive comments noted a roundabout could be effective. Other commenter concerns regarded closing National Avenue.

It is important to note that the recommended roundabout at this location is a hybrid-style roundabout with only one true circulating lane as opposed to multiple circulating lanes. This hybrid roundabout concept will reduce driver confusion and reduce vehicle conflict points while increasing safety. Additionally, National Avenue will be closed due to access management requirements for a roundabout.

Near Baker Lane

The Baker Lane area concept received an average rating of 3.1 out of 5. The most common rating was three stars. There were 40 comments submitted on this concept. Some commenters believed that concrete islands would be beneficial by restricting turns. Other commenters believed this would not solve an existing issue, with some specifying a concern for the passage of emergency vehicles.

Concrete islands are effective at restricting movements and provide refuge for pedestrians. These islands can also be constructed with mountable curbing to permit emergency vehicle ingress/egress.

Fort Collier Road Area

The Fort Collier Road Area concept received an average rating of 3.9 out of 5 and was the highest-rated concept. The most common rating was five stars. There were 24 comments submitted on this concept. These comments did not express a consensus and instead offered specific, participant-held ideas for improving the area. A few commenters noted signal timing as a solution, other commenters reiterated that they did not want to see any turn lanes removed, and additional commenters noted potential difficulties for truck traffic.

The proposed improvements will improve the turning radius for all vehicle types. Restricting movements at Atwell Ave will also reduce the likelihood of an angle crash and improve traffic flow along the corridor.



Ross Street: The Ross Street concept received an average score of 3.9 out of 5 and was the second highest-rated concept. The most common rating was five stars. There were 36 comments submitted on this concept. Many commenters agreed that removing the two-way median left turn lane would solve congestion issues. Many commenters expressed the need for a continuous right turn lane on westbound Route 7, while some commenters noted disagreement in closing the median access to the gas stations.

Although a right-turn lane would be beneficial, the available land and topography found the solution too costly for the benefit. Additionally, closing the median access to the gas stations targeted a primary safety hot spot on the corridor.

Conway Street: The Conway Street concept received an average score of 3.6 out of 5 and was the third highestrated concept. The most common rating was five stars. There were 21 comments submitted on this concept. Many commenters expressed support for this concept, including a self-identified bus driver who stated this.

Figure 11. MetroQuest Survey Results

STARS SEPTEMBER 2021



Intersection 16: Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive

The Millbrook Drive concept received an average rating of 3.1 out of 5. The most common rating was three stars. There were 62 comments submitted on this concept. Several commenters believed the left-turn lane should be preserved or extended while other commenters expressed a desire for a traffic signal.

The current intersection is signalized and is proposed to remain signalized in the future.

Intersection 17: First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

The First Woods Drive concept received an average rating of 3.5 out of 5. The most common rating was five stars. There were 68 comments submitted on this concept. Many commenters supported the extension of the westbound left-turn lane, while others expressed the desire to preserve or extend the eastbound left-turn lane.

The left-turn lane at the intersection of Millbrook Drive and Route 7 is under capacity. Relocating left-turning vehicles to the Millbrook Drive intersection will help traffic move more efficiently and more safely through the First Woods Drive and Route 7 intersection. This improvement will also reduce stop-and-go traffic that results in rear-end crashes.

6.2 General Comments

Participants left 202 general comments at the end of the MetroQuest survey. Most of these comments reiterated comments on specific improvements. The most common areas of concern were:

- The need to preserve or increase number of lanes
- The need to retime traffic signals
- The need to complete improvements along VA 37





7. POSSIBLE FUNDING SOURCES

Implementation of the recommended improvements will require funding sources. The VDOT SMART SCALE Program is a process which invests in projects that meet the most critical transportation needs in the state. Projects are evaluated based on improvements in certain categories such as congestion and safety. At the corridor level, more specific strategies and operational improvements can be assessed in studies and implemented using a variety of funding sources, including Federal funding streams such as the Surface Transportation Program (STP), National Highway System (NHS) funds, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program, Revenue Sharing, Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), as well as through state or local funding or other discretionary funding sources. The Northern Shenandoah Valley Regional Commission did submit a Fiscal Year 2022 SMART SCALE application for the Route 7 improvements at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive and First Woods Drive / Greenwood Road recommendations. The recommendations were selected to be funded and is anticipated to begin by August 2028 and be completed by September 2029.





8. APPENDICES

Appendix A.	STARS Route 7 Corridor Existing Conditions Report	1
Appendix B.	AM and PM Results4	7
Appendix C.	Detailed Construction Cost Estimates15	0



APPENDIX A. STARS ROUTE 7 CORRIDOR EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT



Michael Baker



ROUTE 7 (BERRYVILLE AVENUE/BERRYVILLE PIKE) CORRIDOR STUDY

EXISTING CONDITIONS AND FUTURE VOLUMES REPORT





Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) Corridor Study

From Pleasant Valley Road to Greenwood Drive/First Woods Drive Existing Conditions and Future Volumes Report

August 2, 2019

Prepared for



Prepared by



WSP USA 277 Bendix Road, Suite 300 Virginia Beach, VA 23452

CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Purpose of Study	1
	1.3	Study Work Group	1
	1.4	Study Area	1
2	Exis	ting Conditions	3
	2.1	Existing Zoning	3
	2.2	Existing Roadway Network	3
	2.2.	1 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) Corridor	3
	2.2.	2 Intersection 1: Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road	4
	2.2.	3 Intersection 2: Route 7 at National Avenue	4
	2.2.	4 Intersection 3: Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue	5
	2.2.	5 Intersection 4: Route 7 at Virginia Avenue	5
	2.2.	6 Intersection 5: Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street	6
	2.2.	7 Intersection 6: Route 7 at Baker Lane	6
	2.2.	8 Intersection 7: Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace	7
	2.2.	9 Intersection 8: Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street	7
	2.2.	10 Intersection 9: Route 7 at Atwell Avenue	8
	2.2.	11 Intersection 10: Route 7 at Ross Street	8
	2.2.	12 Intersection 11: Route 7 at Median Opening at Exxon/Shell	9
	2.2.	13 Intersection 12: Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramps	9
	2.2.	14 Intersection 13: Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramps/Valley Mill Road 1	0
	2.2.	15 Intersections 14: Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive 1	0
	2.2.	16 Intersection 15: Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive 1	1
	2.2.	17 Intersection 16: Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive 1	1
	2.2.	18 Intersection 17: Route 7 at Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive	2
	2.3	Traffic Data 1	2
	2.3.	1 2018 Existing Traffic Volumes	2
	2.3	2 Additional Data 1	2
	2.3.	3 Existing Access Management	4
3	Traf	fic Operational Analysis1	4

	3.1	Analysis Peak Periods	
	3.2	Analysis Tools	
	3.3	Measure of Effectiveness	
	3.4	Base Model Development and Calibration	
	3.4.1	Volume Calibration	
	3.4.2	2 Queue Length Calibration	
	3.4.3	3 Microsimulation Sample Size	
	3.5	Intersection Operations: 2019 Existing Conditions	
	3.6	Future Traffic Volumes	
	3.6.	1 Traffic Forecasting Methodology	
4	Safe	ty Analysis	26
	4.1	Crash Data Analysis	
	4.1.1	Crashes by Year	
	4.1.2	2 Crashes by Time of Day	
	4.1.3	3 Crashes by Type	
	4.1.4	4 Crashes by Roadway and Weather Conditions	
	4.1.5	5 Crash Density by ¼-mile	
	4.1.0	6 Intersection Crash Rate	
	4.1.2	7 Roadway Departure Crash Rate	
	4.1.8	3 Crash Data Summary	
	4.2	Field Review	
	4.2.1	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at North Pleasant Valley Road	
	4.2.2	2 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at National Avenue	
	4.2.3	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue	
	4.2.4	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Virginia Avenue	
	4.2.5	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street	
	4.2.0	6 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Baker Lane	
	4.2.2	7 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Maple Street	
	4.2.8	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Apple Valley Marketplace	
	4.2.9	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Elm Street/Fort Collier Road	
	4.2.	10 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Atwell Avenue	
	4.2.	11 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Ross Street	
	4.2.	12 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Median opening	





4.	.2.13	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 South Off-Ramp	
4.	.2.14	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 North On-Ramp/Valley Mill Road	
4.	.2.15	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Winchester Gateway Drive	
4.	.2.16	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Cole Lane	
4.	.2.17	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Regency Lakes Drive	
4.	.2.18	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive	
4.	.2.19	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road	
4.	.2.20	Overall Corridor	
4.3	Rec	ommendations	
4.	3.1	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at North Pleasant Valley Road	
4.	3.2	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at National Avenue	
4.	3.3	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue	
4.	3.4	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Virginia Avenue	
4.	3.5	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street	
4.	3.6	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Baker Lane	
4.	3.7	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Maple Street	
4.	3.8	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Apple Valley Marketplace	
4.	3.9	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Elm Street/Fort Collier Road	
4.	3.10	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Atwell Avenue	
4.	3.11	Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Ross Street	
4.	3.12	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Median opening (mid-block)	
4.	3.13	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 South	40
4.	3.14	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 North/Valley Mill Road	40
4.	3.15	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Winchester Gateway Drive	40
4.	3.16	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Cole Lane	40
4.	3.17	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Regency Lakes Drive	40
4.	.3.18	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive	40
4.	.3.19	Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road	40
4	3.20	Overall Corridor	40

Figures

Figure 1. Study Area Map	
Figure 2. Winchester Transit 'Berryville Avenue' Route and Bus Stop Locations	
Figure 3: Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road	
Figure 4: Route 7 at National Avenue	4
Figure 5: Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue	5
Figure 6: Route 7 at Virginia Avenue	5
Figure 7: Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street	6
Figure 8: Route 7 at Baker Lane	6
Figure 9: Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace	7
Figure 10: Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street	7
Figure 11: Route 7 at Atwell Avenue	
Figure 12: Route 7 at Ross Street	
Figure 13: Route 7 at Median Opening at Exxon/Shell	9
Figure 14: Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramps	9
Figure 15: Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramps/Valley Mill Road	10
Figure 16: Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive	10
Figure 17: Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive	11
Figure 18: Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive	11
Figure 19: Route 7 at Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive	12
Figure 20. Existing (2018) Peak Hour Volumes and Average Daily Traffic	13
Figure 21. Existing (2018) AM(PM) Peak Intersection Operations Results	20
Figure 22. Future (2030) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	25
Figure 23. Number of crashes per year for the project study area (Includes PDO)	
Figure 24. Number of crashes per year for the project study area(Does not include PDO)	
Figure 25. Severity of crashes for the project study area	
Figure 26. Crash heat map (2013-2018) (Includes PDO)	
Figure 27. Number of crashes by time of day.	
Figure 28. Number of crashes by type of crash.	
Figure 29. Number of crashes by type of crash.	28
Figure 30. Number of crashes by roadway surface condition for the project study area.	
Figure 31. Number of crashes by weather condition for the project study area	29
Figure 32. Crash density histograms per ¼-mile (Route 7)	31
Figure 33. Eastbound Crash Type Histogram.	32
Figure 34. Eastbound Crash Severity Histogram	32
Figure 35. Eastbound Time of Day Histogram	32
Figure 36. Eastbound Road Surface Condition Histogram	32
Figure 37. Westbound Crash Type Histogram.	
Figure 38. Westbound Crash Severity Histogram.	33
Figure 39. Westbound Time of Day Histogram	33
Figure 40. Westbound Road Surface Condition Histogram.	33
Figure 41. Westbound Route 7 at North Pleasant Valley Road	35
Figure 42. Westbound approach Route 7 at Battle Avenue	36





Figure 43. Route 7 at Baker Lane	. 36
Figure 44. Eastbound Route 7 at Apple Valley Market Place	. 36
Figure 45. Route 7 at Elm street /Fort Collier Road	. 36
Figure 46. Route 7 at Ross Street	. 37
Figure 47. Median Opening	. 37
Figure 48. Eastbound Route 7 at I-81 South off Ramp	. 37
Figure 49. Westbound Route 7 at North On Ramp	. 37
Figure 50. Route 7 Westbound at Gateway Drive	. 38
Figure 51. North bound approach Route 7 at Blossom Drive	. 38

Tables

Table 1. Route 7 Bus Stop – Annual Total Passenger Data (July 1, 2016 - March 28, 2019)	3
Table 2. Minimum Spacing Standards for Commercial Entrances, Intersections, and Median Crossovers	14
Table 3. Access Points Analysis for Route 7	14
Table 4: Intersection Color Coding based on Intersection Delay	15
Table 5: Calibration Summary	15
Table 6. Existing (2019) SimTraffic AM and PM Peak Hour Delay (veh/sec)	17
Table 7. 2018 Existing Conditions: Summary of Maximum Queues (feet)	
Table 8. VDOT Historic Traffic Volumes (veh/day)	
Table 9. Historic Traffic Growth Rates	24
Table 10. SPS Recommended Growth Rates	24
Table 11. Crash patterns along the project study area	
Table 12. Crash rates (Intersections)	
Table 13. Crash Rates (Segments)	
Table 14. Common Field Observations/Recommendations and the Associated Standards	35





1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Transportation Mobility and Planning Division (TMPD), VDOT Staunton District Office, City of Winchester and Frederick County identified the need to study safety and operational challenges along the Route 7 corridor, also known as Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike in the Winchester area. Currently, Route 7 is a critical east-west corridor in the City of Winchester and Frederick County, which functions as an important route for access to retail centers, schools, commercial centers, and residential neighborhoods along the corridor. Significant congestion, high number of crashes and access management issues are noted along this corridor. Between I-81 Southbound Ramp and Regency Lake Drive, Route 7 is characterized by severe/chronic congestion lasting over two hours per day. The corridor includes one Potential for Safety Improvements (PSI) intersection and six PSI segments. This STARS corridor study focuses on evaluating the Route 7 corridor between Pleasant Valley Road and Greenwood Road (Route 656)/First Wood Drive, assessing measures to reduce congestion, and recommending possible spot improvements to address congestion, safety and access management issues.

The year 2017 daily traffic volume along this corridor were 25,000 vehicles per day (veh/day) west of Ross Street, 35,000 veh/day between Ross Street and I-81 Southbound Ramp, and 27,000 vehicles per day (veh/day) east of the I-81 Southbound Ramp.

1.2 Purpose of Study

The primary goal of this study is to determine and assess measures to reduce congestion, recommend possible adjustments to signal phasing and/or spot improvements to alleviate congestion and address safety as well as access management issues. This study is intended to develop short-term and long-term improvement projects, with a goal of identifying improvements that can be programmed into the VDOT Six-Year Improvement Program (SYIP).

The *operational* issues intended to be addressed by this study include existing and future projected congestion within the corridor. This congestion is centered at the major intersections within the corridor, which are currently heavily utilized by passenger cars and some truck traffic. Reduction in intersection delays would mitigate congestion, improve mobility and reduce travel time.

This study also intends to address existing and future safety concerns within the study corridor. During the recent five-year period (2013-2018), 544 crashes resulting in 1 death and 99 injuries were reported within this corridor. The types of crashes frequently reported include rear-end, and angle. These crash types are typically associated with recurring congestion for a corridor.

Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) serves a mix of commercial, retail and residential uses. This study also intends to address access deficiencies within the limits of the study corridor by identifying and documenting driveway locations and their spacing, with the objective of recommending access management improvements in the context of the VDOT Access Management Standards for Entrances and Intersections.

1.3 Study Work Group

The Study Work Group (SWG) includes local stakeholders, who provide local and institutional knowledge of the corridor, review study goals and methodologies, provide input on key assumptions, and review and approve proposed improvement concepts developed through the study process. The key members included in the SWG represent the following Agencies:

- VDOT Staunton District Office and TMPD
- 8 Frederick County
- WinFred Metropolitan Planning Organization Project Steering Committee Ş
- 8 **City of Winchester**
- 8 WSP Team

1.4 Study Area

Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) is in the City of Winchester within Frederick County, Virginia. The eastwest study corridor of Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) is approximately 2.3 miles in length and includes seventeen (17) study intersections. These study intersections are listed below and shown in Figure 1.

Study Area Intersections

- 1. Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road
- 2. Route 7 at National Avenue
- 3. Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue
- 4. Route 7 at Virginia Avenue
- 5. Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street
- 6. Route 7 at Baker Lane
- 7. Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace
- 8. Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street
- 9. Route 7 at Atwell Avenue
- 10. Route 7 at Ross Street
- 11. Route 7 at Median opening at Exxon/Shell
- 12. Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramp
- 13. Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramp/Valley Mill Road
- 14. Route 7 at Winchester Gateway
- 15. Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive
- 16. Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Road
- 17. Route 7 at First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road (Route 656)





Figure 1. Study Area Map







2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 Existing Zoning

A review of existing zoning and future land use plans was conducted for the areas adjacent to the Route 7 corridor. The existing zoning classification includes a range of zones including primarily, B-2 (General Business District), MR (Medium Density Residential District), RP (Residential Performance District); and to a lower extent, B-3 (Industrial Transition District), M-1 (Light Industrial District), MH-1 (Mobile Home Community), and HR-1 (Limited High Density Residential District).

2.2 Existing Roadway Network

An inventory of the existing roadway condition was prepared along Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) based on field reviews. Traffic, crash and Geographic Information System (GIS) data was used to document existing conditions. During the field review, the following data was collected and documented:

- Digital photographs, videos, and observation to capture: §
 - Roadway geometry to include lane configuration, lane/shoulder widths
 - Signs and pavement markings
 - Posted speed limits
 - Sight distance issues
 - Safety concerns
 - Existing driveway locations, their spacing and potential impact on crashes
 - Observation of traffic operations (traffic mix, congestion, driver behavior)
 - Inventory of existing roadway conditions to determine potential for safety improvements
 - Inventory of intersection operations (signal phasing, queuing)

The study corridor includes twelve (12) signalized and five (5) unsignalized intersections as discussed in Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.18 below:

2.2.1 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) Corridor

Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) between Pleasant Valley Road and Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive is classified as Other Principal Arterial per the VDOT Functional Classification. Within the study area, Route 7 is a 4-lane divided roadway. The posted speed limit is 25 mph west of Pleasant Valley Road, transitions to 35 mph west of Winchester Gateway, and to 45 miles per hour along the corridor east of Winchester Gateway.

Pedestrian facilities such as sidewalks, pedestrian crossing signals with ADA ramps are currently present west of the I-81 Southbound Ramps along the corridor in the City of Winchester. The sidewalk present west of the I-81 Southbound Ramps in the City of Winchester does not meet the standards for minimum clear zone. No pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present east of the I-81 Southbound Ramps along the corridor. A shared use path is present between Valley Mill Road and Martin Drive south of Route 7. There is no connectivity for the shared use path on Route 7. No designated bike facilities are present within the study corridor.

The Winchester Public Transit System (WinTran) 'Berryville Avenue' route operates along Berryville Avenue in the study area. The eastbound Berryville Avenue Route begins west of the study area in Old Town Winchester, with intermediate stops within the study area at Woodland Avenue, Apple Valley Marketplace and Elm Street. The route and stops that are located within the study area are shown in Figure 2. The 'Berryville Avenue' transit route, schedule, and passenger data are included in the Appendix.

Figure 2. Winchester Transit 'Berryville Avenue' Route and Bus Stop Locations



Information regarding the total number of passenger for Berryville Avenue route was provided by City of Winchester and are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Route 7 Bus Stop – Annual Total Passenger Data (July 1, 2016 - March 28, 2019)

Stop Name	
BERRYVILLE AVE @ WOODLAND AVE	
BERRYVILLE AVE @ ELM ST	





Bus Shelter	Total Passengers		
	FY17	FY18	FY19
No	279	149	39
No	2,062	1,324	307



2.2.2 Intersection 1: Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road

The northbound approach of Pleasant Valley Road is classified as Minor Arterial per the *VDOT Functional Classification.* The intersection of Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road is a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit along Pleasant Valley Road is 25 mph north of Route 7 and 40mph south of Route 7. The northbound approach of Pleasant Valley Road has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one right-turn lane. The southbound approach has one left-turn lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, and one shared thruright lane. The signal operations include protected-permissive left turns for all approaches and an overlap phase for northbound right turn. The intersection operates as an adaptive system. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on all the approaches at this intersection. Figure 3 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 3: Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road

Route T Boute T Boute

Source: Google Imagery

2.2.3 Intersection 2: Route 7 at National Avenue

The intersection of Route 7 at National Avenue is currently a 3-leg unsignalized intersection. The northbound approach of National Avenue is stop-controlled, while the eastbound and westbound approaches of Route 7 are free-flow. The speed limit along National Avenue is 25mph. The northbound approach of National Avenue has one shared left-thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one through lane and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach has one shared left-thru lane and one through lane. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks) is currently present on the northbound approach at this intersection. Figure 4 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 4: Route 7 at National Avenue



Source: Google Imagery




2.2.4 Intersection 3: Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue

The intersection of Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue is a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit along Battle Avenue and Woodland Avenue is 25mph. The northbound approach of Woodland Avenue has one shared left-thru-right lane. The southbound approach of Battle Avenue has one shared left-thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one through lane. The westbound right turns are prohibited onto Battle Avenue from Route 7. The signal operations include permissive left turn for all approaches. The intersection is controlled by adaptive system technology. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on the eastbound, northbound and southbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 4 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 5: Route 7 at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue

Source: Google Imagery

2.2.5 Intersection 4: Route 7 at Virginia Avenue

The intersection of Route 7 at Virginia Avenue is a 3-leg unsignalized intersection. The posted speed limit along Virginia Avenue is 25mph. The southbound approach of Virginia Avenue is one-way northbound, while the eastbound and westbound approaches of Route 7 are free-flow. The southbound approach of Virginia Avenue has one receiving lane and is one-way northbound. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one through lane. The westbound approach has one through lane and one shared thru-right lane. Pedestrian facility (crosswalks) is currently provided only on the Virginia Avenue at this intersection. Figure 6 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 6: Route 7 at Virginia Avenue



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.6 Intersection 5: Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street

The intersection of Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street is currently a 4-leg unsignalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit along the northbound approach of Chestnut Street or the southbound approach of Dunlap Street. The northbound approach of Chestnut Street and southbound approach of Dunlap Street are stop-controlled, while the eastbound and westbound approaches of Route 7 are free-flow. The northbound approach of Chestnut Street has one shared left-thru-right lane. The southbound approach of Dunlap Street has one shared left-thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one shared thru-right lane. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks) are currently provided for the southbound and northbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 7 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 7: Route 7 at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street

2.2.7 Intersection 6: Route 7 at Baker Lane

The intersection of Route 7 at Baker Lane is a 3-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit for the southbound approach along Baker Lane is 25mph. The southbound approach of Baker Lane has one left-turn lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-thru lane and one through lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one through lane and one shared thru-right lane. The signal operations include a protected-permissive left turn for the eastbound approach. The intersection is controlled by adaptive system technology. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on the westbound and southbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 8 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 8: Route 7 at Baker Lane



Source: Google Imagery



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.8 Intersection 7: Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace

The intersection of Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace is a 3-leg signalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit along Apple Valley Marketplace. The southbound approach of Apple Valley Marketplace has one left-turn lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one shared left-through lane and one through lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one through lane and one shared thru-right lane. The signal operations include a protected-permissive left turn for the eastbound approach. The intersection is controlled by adaptive system technology. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on the southbound approach at this intersection. Figure 9 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 9: Route 7 at Apple Valley Marketplace



Source: Google Imagery

2.2.9 Intersection 8: Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street

The southbound approach of Fort Collier Road is classified as Major Collector per the *VDOT Functional Classification*. The intersection of Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street is a 4-leg signalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit along the northbound approach of Elm Street. The posted speed limit along the southbound approach of Fort Collier Road is 25mph. The northbound approach of Elm Street has one left-turn lane and one shared thru-right lane. The southbound approach of Fort Collier Road has one left-turn lane and one shared thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, one through lane, one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected-permissive left turn for all the approaches and an overlap phase for the westbound right-turn. The intersection is controlled by adaptive system technology. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on the eastbound, northbound and southbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 10 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 10: Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.10 Intersection 9: Route 7 at Atwell Avenue

The intersection of Route 7 at Atwell Avenue is a 4-leg unsignalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit along Atwell Avenue. The northbound and southbound approach of Atwell Avenue is stop-controlled, while the eastbound and westbound approaches of Route 7 are free-flow. The southbound approach of Atwell Avenue has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The northbound approach of Atwell Avenue has one shared leftthru-right lane. The eastbound has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks) are currently present on the northbound and southbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 11 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 11: Route 7 at Atwell Avenue



The intersection of Route 7 at Ross Street is a 4-leg signalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit along Ross Street. The northbound approach of Ross Street has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The southbound approach of Ross Street has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The signal operations include protected-permissive left turns for eastbound and westbound approaches, and permissive left turns for northbound and southbound approaches. The intersection is controlled by adaptive system technology. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently present on the eastbound, northbound and southbound approaches at this intersection. Figure 12 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 12: Route 7 at Ross Street



Source: Google Imagery



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.12 Intersection 11: Route 7 at Median Opening at Exxon/Shell

The median opening on Route 7 at the Exxon/Shell is currently a 4-leg unsignalized intersection. The northbound approach of Shell Entrance and southbound approach of Exxon Entrance has one shared left-thru-right lane. The eastbound and westbound approach of Route 7 has one left turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are not currently provided at this intersection. Figure 13 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 13: Route 7 at Median Opening at Exxon/Shell



Source: Google Imagery

2.2.13 Intersection 12: Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramps

The intersection of Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramps is currently a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit for I-81 Southbound off ramp is 40mph. There is no posted speed limit for the northbound approach. The southbound approach of I-81 Southbound off ramp has two left-turn lanes and one channelized right-turn lane. The northbound approach has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared thru-right lane. The westbound approach has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one channelized right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound approaches and split phasing for the northbound and southbound approaches. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently not provided at this intersection. Figure 14 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 14: Route 7 at I-81 Southbound Ramps



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.14 Intersection 13: Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramps/Valley Mill Road

The northbound approach of Valley Mill Road is classified as Major Collector per *VDOT Functional Classification*. The intersection of Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramps/Valley Mill Road is currently a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit for I-81 Northbound off ramp is 40mph. The posted speed limit for the northbound approach of Valley Mill Road is 35mph. The southbound approach of I-81 Northbound off ramp has one left-turn lane, one shared left-thru lane, and one channelized right-turn lane. The northbound approach of Valley Mill Road has two left-turn lanes and one shared thru-right lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound and westbound approaches and split phasing for the northbound and southbound. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently not provided at this intersection. Figure 15 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 15: Route 7 at I-81 Northbound Ramps/Valley Mill Road



Source: Google Imagery

2.2.15 Intersections 14: Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive

The intersection of Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive is currently a 3-leg signalized intersection. There is no posted speed limit for the southbound approach of Winchester Gateway Drive. The southbound approach of Winchester Gateway Drive has two left-turn lanes and two right-turn lanes. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has two left-turn lanes and two through lanes. The westbound approach has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound and westbound approaches. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are not currently provided at this intersection. Figure 16 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 16: Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive



Source: Google Imagery





2.2.16 Intersection 15: Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive

The intersection of Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive is currently a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit for Regency Lakes Drive is 25mph. The northbound approach of Regency Lakes Drive has one shared left-thru-right lane. The southbound approach of Regency Lakes Drive has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound and westbound approaches and split phasing for the northbound and southbound approaches. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are not currently provided at this intersection. Figure 17 shows an aerial of the intersection.



Figure 17: Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive

2.2.17 Intersection 16: Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive

The intersection of Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive is currently a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit for Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive is 25mph. The northbound approach of Blossom Drive has one left-turn lane and one shared thru-right lane. The southbound approach of Millbrook Drive has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has two left-turn lanes, two through lanes, and one channelized right-turn lane. The westbound approach has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound and westbound approaches and split phasing for the northbound and southbound approaches. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently not provided at this intersection. Figure 18 shows an aerial of the intersection.

Figure 18: Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive



Source: Google Imagery

Source: Google Imagery





2.2.18 Intersection 17: Route 7 at Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive

The northbound approach of Greenwood Road is classified as Major Collector per VDOT Functional Classification. The intersection of Route 7 at Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive is currently a 4-leg signalized intersection. The posted speed limit along the northbound approach of Greenwood Drive is 35mph. There is no posted speed limit along the southbound approach of First Woods Drive. The northbound approach of Greenwood Drive has one shared left-thru-right lane. The southbound approach of First Woods Drive has one shared left-thru lane and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The westbound approach of Route 7 has one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The signal operations include protected left turns for the eastbound and westbound approaches and split phasing for the northbound and southbound approaches. The eastbound/westbound through movements are coordinated with adjacent signalized intersections. Pedestrian facilities (crosswalks, pedestrian signals) are currently not provided at this intersection. Figure 19 shows an aerial of the intersection.





Source: Google Imagery

2.3 Traffic Data

2.3.1 2018 Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing traffic volume data along the study corridor was collected in November 2018 while school was in session:

- § 24-hour classification counts were collected on November 8, 2018 at the following locations:
 - Route 7 at Virginia Avenue
 - Route 7 at Regency Lakes Drive
- § AM and PM peak period turning movement counts were collected on November 8, 2018 from 6:00 am 10:00 am and 3:00 – 7:00 pm at the previously mentioned locations in study area, section 1.4. The field counts are enclosed with this report in the Appendix. The existing (2018) peak hour volumes and Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes are summarized in Figure 20.

2.3.2 Additional Data

In addition to traffic volumes, following supplemental data was collected to support this study, as needed:

- § collected on November 8, 2018 during AM and PM peak periods.
- Queue length measurements for all approaches at each of the study area intersections to be used in the §
- Crash Data from the last five years to perform the crash analysis. Ş
- Signal timing data from Frederick County and the City of Winchester for input into the Synchro analysis Ş model



Travel time runs to be used in the calibration of the existing network Synchro models. Travel time data was

calibration of the existing Synchro models. Data was collected on March 7, 2019 during both peak periods.





Figure 20. Existing (2018) Peak Hour Volumes and Average Daily Traffic





2.3.3 Existing Access Management

An evaluation of the existing driveways and access points along the study area corridor was completed to assess their compliance with VDOT Access Management Design Standards for Entrances and Intersections, which is included as Appendix F of the VDOT Roadway Design Manual. The assessment involved analysis of existing spacing of driveways and intersections, and compliance with VDOT minimum spacing standards for commercial entrances, intersections and median crossovers. Table 2 provides a summary of the minimum spacing requirements for a posted speed limit of 35 mph to 45 mph for a Principal Arterial.

Table 2. Minimum Spacing Standards for Commercial Entrances, Intersections, and Median Crossovers

		Minimum Centerline to	Centerline Spacing (Fe	eet)
		Spacing between	Spacing between	Spacing between
Highway Functional	Spacing between	Intersections and	Entrances and	Entrances (one or
Classification	Signalized	Full/Directional Median	Other Full Access	two-way) and
		Crossovers and Other	Entrances,	Other Entrances,
		Intersections or Median	Intersections, or	Intersections, or
		Crossovers	Median Crossovers	Median Crossovers
Principal Arterial	1,320	1,050	565	305

Source: VDOT Roadway Design Manual, Appendix F (Table 2-2)

A total of 92 access points are located within the study corridor of Route 7 (Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike) between Pleasant Valley Road and Greenwood Drive/First Woods Drive. Most of these access points are closely spaced and serve residential parcels, retail parcels and commercial parcels. The commercial driveways are typically wide and typically experience a relatively high number of vehicle trips in and out of the parcels. These access points are shown graphically in the Appendix and identified as AP1 through AP92. The spacing of these points were analyzed to assess their compliance with the VDOT minimum spacing standards shown in Table 2. Table 3 below identifies the access points that do not meet the minimum spacing standard; as well as those that are compliant with the spacing standard.

Table 3. Access Points Analysis for Route 7

		Per VDOT Spacing Guidelines							
Roadway	Number of Access Points	Compliant	Non-Compliant						
Route 7	92	<u>5 Total:</u> AP62, AP64-AP65, AP91 – AP92	<u>87 Total:</u> AP1 – AP61, AP63, AP66 – AP90						

Notes: Refer to the Appendix for graphical presentation of access points.

Initial analysis of existing access points and their spacing reveals that, the spacing standards are not satisfied for 87 out of the 92 access point locations involving full/partial access driveways, entrances, median crossovers and intersections. The area serves urban / suburban land uses, with significant development along both sides of the roadway. Application of access management practices would benefit corridor operations by reducing conflict points

along the corridor. Further detailed analyses of these access points will be conducted to prioritize the locations which will have greater impact on improving the overall operations and safety of the corridor.

3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

3.1 Analysis Peak Periods

Weekday peak periods were identified from the count data for the arterial segments and for each study intersection. The common AM and PM peak hours for the overall network were determined based on the hourly variations in traffic volumes at each intersection, travel patterns along the study corridor and percentage of traffic during the highest hour. Based upon a review of the traffic count data, the following peak hours were identified for this study:

AM Peak: 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM PM Peak: 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM

Peak Hour Factors (PHFs) were calculated at each intersection for the AM and PM peak hours using the turning movement count data. Similarly, heavy vehicle percentages were calculated for the AM and PM peak hours per movement at each study intersection.

The raw traffic counts were balanced throughout the network. Traffic volume balancing was required considering individual intersection peak hours and the resulting volume variations observed throughout the corridor. The peak hour traffic volumes were balanced using an iterative process of adjusting intersection approach and departure volumes until intersection volumes were within 10% for most movements. This 10% threshold was allowed to exceed for links with significant number of access points (traffic generators or sinks) between the intersections.

3.2 Analysis Tools

Traffic operations analysis for the corridor was conducted using analysis tools Synchro 10 as well as SimTraffic, which is a companion microsimulation tool for Synchro. The operational analysis was based on guidance provided in VDOT Traffic Operations and Safety Analysis Manual (TOSAM), Version 1.0, November 2015 update. Synchro is based on methodologies presented in 2010 Highway Capacity Manual. SimTraffic was used to assess the traffic operations at the signalized and unsignalized intersections within the study area, as well as to evaluate arterial segments between the intersections. Section 3.3 below presents a summary of Measures of Effectiveness (MOE) that were evaluated for this study.

3.3 Measure of Effectiveness

The Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) in traffic operations analysis is a factor that quantifies operational and safety objectives and provides a basis for evaluating the performance of a transportation network. Several MOEs for a corridor can be reported from Synchro and SimTraffic. For the purposes of this study, guidance for reporting MOEs for a corridor involving intersections and arterial segments as provided in VDOT TOSAM, Chapter 4 was utilized. A summary of the MOEs evaluated for the study corridor is presented below:

SimTraffic:

- Maximum Queue Lengths
- Microsimulation Delay for each movement at intersections ο



Total Delay (hours), Delay/Vehicle (seconds), Travel Time (hours), Average Speed (miles/hour)



Table 5: Calibration Summary

Per the *TOSAM* guidance under *Section 8.6*, Level of Service (LOS) is not reported for intersections with *SimTraffic* as an analysis tool. Instead, the microsimulation delay is reported for individual intersection movements as well as the overall delay for the intersection. The overall intersection delay can be presented graphically by assigning color coding for ranges of microsimulation delay. This color coding as shown in Table 4 is based on *2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)* delay thresholds and the associated LOS. Green, yellow and red colors were assigned to delay thresholds for each study intersection.

Table 4: Intersection Color Coding based on Intersection Delay

Signalized Intersection Delay Thresholds (sec/veh)	Unsignalized Intersection Delay Thresholds (sec/veh)	Color
< 10	< 10	
>10-20	>10-15	
>20-35	>15-25	
>35-55	>25-35	
>55-80	>35-50	
>80	>50	

Source of Delay Thresholds: Highway Capacity Manual 2010

3.4 Base Model Development and Calibration

AM and PM peak hour base *Synchro* models were developed using the data discussed under *Section 2.3.1* and following the guidance in *TOSAM*. The *SimTraffic* input parameters were in accordance with *Section 7.6.1* of *VDOT TOSAM* and included 1-60-minute seed interval and 4-15-minute recording intervals. To account for simulation variance, 10 simulation runs were conducted and averaged together. The simulation settings generally remained at the default settings.

To provide a more accurate representation of field conditions, the existing conditions *SimTraffic* models were calibrated to reasonably replicate balanced field observed traffic volumes and intersection queue lengths. This calibration process is an essential part of the model development as it ensures that the simulation reasonably replicates existing field conditions and can be used as the base for the evaluation of future scenarios.

A summary of the volume, queue, and travel time calibration is provided in Table 5, with supporting documentation in the Appendix.

Peak Period	Calibration Measure	Evaluation	Criteria	Total Number Evaluated	Total Number Met	Percent Met	Target Criteria	Target Met
AM	Volume (vph)	All Movements	Within $\pm 20\%$ for < 100 vph Within $\pm 15\%$ for \ge 100 vph to < 300 vph Within $\pm 10\%$ for ≥ 300 vph to < 1000 vph Within $\pm 5\%$ for ≥ 1000 vph	119	113	95%	85%	Yes
	Queue Turning Length Movements		Within ± 20% on oversaturated arterials	91	89	98%	85%	Yes
	• • • •							
PM	Volume (vph)	All Movements	Within \pm 20% for < 100 vph Within \pm 15% for \geq 100 vph to < 300 vph Within \pm 10% for \geq 300 vph to < 1000 vph Within \pm 5% for \geq 1000 vph	161	154	96%	85%	Yes
	Queue Length	Turning Movements	Within ± 20% on oversaturated arterials	91	79	87%	85%	Yes

3.4.1 Volume Calibration

The volume calibration results summary in Table 5 shows that the calibration parameters are met for both AM and PM models. The full *SimTraffic* volume calibration results table is shown in the Appendix. The volume calibration includes a comparison between simulated volumes (the average of 10 runs) and balanced field counts modeled in *Synchro* for the AM and PM Peak Hours. The tables show the difference and percentage difference between field counts and the average volumes from the simulation runs.

3.4.2 Queue Length Calibration

The queue calibration results summary in Table 5 shows that the calibration parameters are met for both AM and PM models. The *SimTraffic* average queue calibration results table is show in the Appendix. The average queue length calibration includes a comparison between theoretical (simulated) average intersection queues obtained from an average of 10 simulation runs and the field measured queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

3.4.3 Microsimulation Sample Size

In addition to conducting proper model calibration, determining and applying an appropriate number of microsimulation runs is an important step in developing accurate microsimulation results. WSP followed the guidelines provided in *Section 5.4 of the VDOT TOSAM* and utilized the macro-enabled *VDOT Sample Size Determination Tool* to finalize the number of *SimTraffic* runs necessary for correctly reporting arterial and intersection MOEs. Ten *SimTraffic* microsimulation runs were initially recorded following the guidelines for *SimTraffic* Input Parameters found in *Section 7.6 of the VDOT TOSAM*. The MOE, Average Travel Speed obtained from each of these ten runs was then input into the VDOT Sample Size Determination Tool to verify that MOEs from these runs meet the required tolerance error and confidence interval. Appendix shows a screen capture of the *VDOT Sample Size Determination Tool*.





3.5 Intersection Operations: 2019 Existing Conditions

Traffic operations analyses was conducted using *SimTraffic* to evaluate overall performance of the study intersections and arterial segments within the corridor. *SimTraffic* run outputs were also used to report the maximum queues formed for each intersection approach. Operational analyses were performed at each of the study intersections for the Existing 2019 Conditions scenario.

Microsimulation Delay in sec/veh were reported from *SimTraffic* for all the signalized and unsignalized intersections. Table 6 summarizes the AM and PM peak hour delay for each movement for the study intersections along the study corridor. Figure 21 presents the overall intersection delay graphically with color coding. SimTraffic output sheets are provided in Appendix.

A delay of 35 sec/veh is used as the threshold for the existing conditions evaluation due to the fact that these delays have the potential to increase to unacceptable delays in the future year conditions. The results from Table 6 suggest that the following intersections operate with an overall delay value that exceeds 35 sec/veh:

Intersection 8 - Route 7 and Fort Collier Road/Elm Street

Microsimulation delay of 46.9 sec/veh during the PM peak hour

Intersection 11 – Route 7 and Exxon Driveway

• Microsimulation delay of 48.5 sec/veh during the PM peak hour

Intersection 12 – Route 7 and I-81 Southbound Ramp

• Microsimulation delay of 52.4 sec/veh during the PM peak hour

Intersection 13 – Route 7 and I-81 Northbound Ramp

• Microsimulation delay of 37.5 sec/veh during the AM peak hour and 49.8 sec/veh during the PM peak hour

Intersection 17 – Route 7 and First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

Microsimulation delay of 35.1 sec/veh during the AM peak hour





			Eastk	ound	West	bound	North	bound	South	bound	0	roll
Intersection Number and Description	Type of Control	Lane Group	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	- Uve	
			Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	AM	PM
1 Route 7 and N Pleasant Valley Road			Nationa	I Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue	N Pleasant	Valley Road	N Pleasant	Valley Road		
		Left	16.5	25.6	11.5	16.6	50.6	52.4	54.3	53.2	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	20.4	39.0	7.2	9.1	54.0	51.6	56.0	54.3		
	Jighai	Right	15.3	33.8	4.5	4.3	5.8	9.5	34.3	39.2	19.2	25.8
		Approach	19.4	37.1	8.9	12.6	22.1	23.4	52.2	51.5		
2 Route 7 and National Avenue		1	Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue	Nationa	Avenue		1		1
		Left			0.0	14.7	0.0	41.1			Delay	Delay
	Two-Way Stop	Through	0.8	1.1	5.7	11.1						
		Right	0.6	0.8			3.5	8.7			3.5	5.7
		Approach	0.8	1.1	5.7	11.2	4.2	15.2				
3 Route 7 and Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue		I	Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue	Woodlan	d Avenue	Battle	Avenue		
		Left	8.7	11.5	4.4	12.4	22.0	33.3	27.7	35.3	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	1.8	3.8	1.8	3.2	13.0	22.9		43.0		
		Right	0.9	1.6			7.0	10.4	7.3	17.1	2.4	5.1
		Approach	1.9	3.9	1.8	3.3	18.4	23.6	21.4	30.2		
4 Route 7 and Virginia Avenue		T	Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue		1	Virginia	Avenue		
		Left	4.6	6.1							Delay	Delay
	Two-Way Stop	Through	0.5	0.9	0.9	1.5						
	, ,	Right			0.4	0.7					0.7	1.1
		Approach	0.5	0.9	0.9	1.4						
5 Route 7 and Duniap Street/Chestnut Street		1	Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue	Chestnu	it Street	Dunlap	Street		
		Left	6.5	9.1	5.3	9.2	0.0	0.0	20.5	0.0	Delay	Delay
	Two-Way Stop	Through	0.3	0.6	1.5	2.3	15.7	17.6	20.4	0.0		
	ine najetop	Right	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.6	4.0	6.5	6.7	7.6	1.3	1.6
		Approach	0.4	0.6	1.6	2.5	5.2	6.9	15.5	7.6		
6 Route 7 and Baker Lane				e Avenue	Berryville	e Avenue			Baker	r Lane		
		Left	11.4	23.0					40.0	51.2	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	3.8	9.7	3.5	6.3						
	0.9	Right			2.7	5.1			7.5	14.4	5.9	12.3
		Approach	5.1	11.8	3.4	6.1			19.8	30.7		

Table 6. Existing (2019) SimTraffic AM and PM Peak Hour Delay (veh/sec)





				Eastb	ound	Westb	ound	North	bound	South	bound		
Int	tersection Number and Description	Type of Control	Lane Group	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	UVE	erall
				Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	AM	PM
7 Route 7 a	and Apple Valley Marketplace			Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	Avenue			Apple Marke	Valley etplace		
			Left	10.1	18.7					67.5	52.3	Delay	Delay
		Signal	Through	1.5	8.4	3.1	5.7						
		Signal	Right			3.2	4.5			5.6	14.5	3.1	10.3
			Approach	1.6	8.9	3.1	5.6			52.0	40.0		
8 Route 7 a	and Fort Collier Road/Elm Street			Berryvill	e Avenue	Berryville	Avenue	Elm S	Street	Fort Col	lier Road		
			Left	17.7	56.7	11.2	32.8	57.4	51.4	54.2	134.9	Delay	Delay
		Signal	Through	11.2	42.2	9.6	17.3	63.3	61.7	53.7	141.7		
			Right	6.8	31.6	5.6	8.6	23.7	36.6	26.3	122.3	17.3	46.9
			Approach	11.4	43.0	8.6	17.0	35.7	47.4	48.5	134.6		
9 Route 7 a	and Atwell Avenue/Pharmhouse Shopping							Pharmhous	Pharmhouse Shopping				
Center	Center				e Avenue	Berryville	Avenue	Cei	nter	Atwell	Avenue		
			Left	8.6	29.8	7.0	21.3	21.5	570.5	23.3	267.7	Delay	Delay
			Through	1.3	21.0	2.3	3.5			18.5	57.4		
			Right	1.1	10.4	1.8	2.9	4.8	433.4	12.3	30.4	2.0	19.7
			Approach	1.3	21.0	2.4	4.0	6.1	451.6	16.2	110.9		
10 Route / a	and Ross Street/Pharmhouse Shopping Center			Denny ille Avenue		Porruvillo Avonuo		Pharmhouse Shopping Center		Ross Street			
			Loft	12 2	67 Q	8 0	Avenue 64.1		60 /	10 8		Delay	Delay
			Through	3.0	45 1	0.0	8.7	0.0	82.8	40.0	86.0	Delay	Delay
		Signal	Right	0.5	20.6	2.8	5.9	6.0	61.0	0.0	22.5	5.8	33 <u>8</u>
			Approach	3.8	20.0 15.4	2.0	11 0	21.6	63.8	32 D	<u> </u>	5.0	55.0
11 Route 7 a	and Exxon Driveway		Арргоасн	Borryvill		Borrwil		Shell D	riveway	52.0 Εγγοη Γ			
			Left	11 9	44 0	9.0	226.3	21.1	1561.4	24.8	1224 7	Delay	Delay
			Through	15	16.4	3.9	14 7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Delay	Delay
		Two-Way Stop	Right	0.5	4 0	2.9	8.0	6.6	1745.6	12.4	1354.2	3.2	48.5
			Approach	1.6	16.2	3.9	18.0	71	1754.0	15.2	1337.8	0.2	1010
12 Route 7 a	and I-81 SB Ramp		, ippi ouoir	Berrw	ille Pike	Berrwil	le Pike	Driv	eway	I-81 SF	Ramp		
			Left	49.1	100.5	63.1	106.8	69.9	84 7	45.4	109.1	Delay	Delay
		–	Through	12.5	21.2	23.3	63.2	68.3	97.9			Delay	Delay
		Signal	Right	11.0	11.7	7.2	12.3	8.7	15.2	12.6	84.3	22.2	52.4
			Approach	20.6	37.7	17.1	44.5	41.5	54.3	35.8	100.7		

Table 6. Existing (2019) SimTraffic AM and PM Peak Hour Delay (veh/sec) (Continued)





	Turneraf		East	oound	Westb	ound	North	bound	South	bound	0	aroll
Intersection Number and Description	Type of	Lane Group	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	. Ove	erall
	CONTO		Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	Delay	AM	PM
13 Route 7 and I-81 NB Ramp			Berryv	ille Pike	Berryville Pike		Valley Mill Road		I-81 NB Ramp			
		Left	51.7	75.6	64.7	79.1	46.9	70.4	49.1	56.5	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	35.3	36.4	39.7	75.7	48.2	71.0	31.3	52.5		
	Signal	Right	7.9	13.1	5.3	7.8	34.7	48.2			37.5	49.8
		Approach	32.4	34.4	34.2	56.9	46.1	67.8	44.9	55.6		
14 Route 7 and Winchester Gateway			Berryv	ille Pike	Berryvill	e Pike			Wincheste	er Gateway		
		Left	37.8	52.8	0.0	73.0			35.9	46.7	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	10.2	11.0	13.9	23.1						
	Signal	Right			10.1	18.1			14.8	21.0	14.6	23.2
		Approach	13.9	20.8	13.7	22.8			23.2	30.4		
15 Route 7 and Regency Lakes Drive			Berryv	erryville Pike Berryville Pike		e Pike	Drive	eway	Regency L	akes Drive		
		Left	58.9	62.3	69.5	64.9	0.0	73.1	50.7	63.3	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	6.8	8.6	24.7	25.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	70.3		
	Signal	Right	0.0	7.4	12.8	13.5	0.0	13.8	11.7	19.7	19.6	23.5
		Approach	14.5	20.1	24.1	24.1	0.0	55.6	28.0	35.4		
16 Route 7 and Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive			Berryv	ille Pike	Berryville Pike		Blossom Drive		Millbro	ok Drive		
		Left	47.7	39.8	64.4	52.7	50.8	49.3	51.7	50.3	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	20.7	10.7	29.6	20.1	43.2	46.2	48.4	53.6		
	Signal	Right	9.6	7.0	8.6	8.8	20.9	8.7	10.1	15.5	26.9	17.6
		Approach	24.2	12.9	29.7	20.7	44.1	37.8	15.1	21.9		
17 Route 7 and First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road			Berryv	ille Pike	Berryvill	e Pike	Greenwo	od Drive	First Wo	ods Drive		
		Left	68.7	65.4	58.3	69.0	54.2	63.9	54.5	68.5	Delay	Delay
	Signal	Through	29.3	27.0	28.4	18.7	58.3	61.6	53.9	68.4		
	Signal	Right	14.9	11.4	10.5	6.7	49.6	43.3	7.5	11.2	35.1	29.4
		Approach	31.9	26.6	29.1	24.2	56.1	53.2	43.8	61.1		

Table 6. Existing (2019) SimTraffic AM and PM Peak Hour Delay (veh/sec) (Continued)

NOTE: Microsimulation Delay (sec/veh) results shown represent an average of 10 SimTraffic runs.

'—' represents a movement that is not applicable





Figure 21. Existing (2018) AM(PM) Peak Intersection Operations Results







Queue length, or the distance to which stopped vehicles accumulate in a lane at an intersection, is another performance measure of intersection operations. Lengthy queues may be indicative of intersection capacity or operational issues, such as absence of or insufficient dedicated turn lanes, inefficient signal timings or phasing. A queuing analysis was completed for the study intersections during the AM and PM peak hours. SimTraffic Maximum Queue Lengths in feet were reported for each lane. These queue lengths are based on an average of 10 simulation runs. Table 7 provides a summary of the maximum queue lengths during the AM and PM peak hours as compared to the available storage bay lengths. The highlighted queue lengths in Table 7 are the movements where the reported maximum queue lengths value exceeds the storage length available for that turning movement. The SimTraffic output sheets including the maximum queue lengths are included in Appendix.

The results presented in Table 7 indicate the number of intersection movements experiencing heavy demand and queuing.





	T (Eastbound			Westbound			Vorthbound			Southbound	
Intersection Number and Description	Type of	Lane	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM
	CONTO	Group	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)
1 Route 7 and N Pleasant Valley Road			Na	itional Avenue	e	Bei	rryville Avenu	е	N Plea	asant Valley R	oad	N Ple	asant Valley R	oad
		Left	175	134	174		97	98	165	108	150	100	100	100
	Signal	Through		207	F 2 7		00	0.2		152	236		107	242
		Right		307	537		99	93		119	193	115	197	202
2 Route 7 and National Avenue			Bei	ryville Avenu	e	Bei	rryville Avenu	e	Na	itional Avenue	9			
		Left					191	322					25	27
	Two-way Stop	Through		23	72		244	221						
	Stop	Right		30	73								25	27
3 Route 7 and Battle		•	Ber	ryville Avenu	e	Bei	rryville Avenu	e	Wo	odland Avenu	ie	E	Battle Avenue	
Avenue/Woodland Avenue		Left		103	181		116	140						
	Signal	Through					141	0		47	58		46	48
	-	Right		89	174		0	137					-	
4 Route 7 and Virginia Avenue			Bei	ryville Avenu	e	Bei	rryville Avenu	e				V	irginia Avenue	
		Left		26	34									
	Two-way Stop	Through		9	9		20	63						
	5100	Right					30	62						
5 Route 7 and Dunlap Street/Chestnut			Bei	rryville Avenu	е	Bei	rryville Avenu	е	Cł	nestnut Street		[Dunlap Street	
Street		Left		42	67		78	124						
	Two-way Ston	Through								45	46		19	16
	Stop	Right		8	14		19	77						
6 Route 7 and Baker Lane			Bei	ryville Avenu	e	Berryville Avenue				Baker Lane				
		Left		161	318							70	70	69
	Signal	Through		102	240		113	190						
		Right					141	214					177	238
7 Route 7 and Apple Valley			Bei	ryville Avenu	e	Bei	rryville Avenu	е				Apple	Valley Market	place
Marketplace		Left		95	242							90	71	87
	Signal	Through		74	229		141	134						
		Right					173	173					23	233
8 Route 7 and Elm Street/Fort Collier			Bei	rryville Avenu	е	Bei	rryville Avenu	е		Elm Street		Fc	ort Collier Road	b
Road		Left	105	98	104	120	96	120	100	84	99	100	99	100
	Signal	Through		212	393		295	326		104	າງ⊑		250	204
		Right		204	355	95	95	95		190	220		302	304
9 Route 7 and Atwell			Bei	rryville Avenu	е	Bei	rryville Avenu	е	Pharmho	use Shopping	Center	A	twell Avenue	
Avenue/Pharmhouse Shopping		Left	120	21	97	60	45	52				115	27	61
Center	TWO-Way Stop	Through		14	247		59	145		35	114		37	01
	JUP	Right		7	229		116	182		1		90	58	44

Table 7. 2018 Existing Conditions: Summary of Maximum Queues (feet)





	Turner	1		Eastbound		١	Westbound			Northbound			Southbound	
Intersection Number and Description	Type of Control	Lane	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM	Storage Bay	AM	PM
	Control	Group	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)	Length	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.)
10 Route 7 and Ross			Be	rryville Avenue	9	Ber	ryville Avenu	е	Pharmho	use Shopping	Center		Ross Street	
Street/Pharmhouse Shopping		Left	75	66	74	70	51	59		50	69		114	246
Center	Signal	Through		129	362		193	157			07			210
		Right		102	375		206	162		44	165		57	66
11 Route 7 and Exxon Driveway		1	Be	rryville Avenue	9	В	erryville Pike	1	S	hell Driveway		Ex	xon Driveway	
	Two-Way	Left	35	32	32	45	37	44		-				
	Stop	Through		68	222		92	233		55	190		72	160
		Right		53	221		145	241						
12 Route 7 and I-81 SB Ramp		1	E	Berryville Pike		B	erryville Pike			Driveway		ļ	-81 SB Ramp	
		Left	145	144	145	100	84	99		38	62		349	332
	Signal	Through		291	322		394	480						
		Right		240	306		322	506		33	65		149	329
13 Route 7 and I-81 NB Ramp/Valley		1	E	Berryville Pike		B	erryville Pike		Va	alley Mill Road		ŀ	-81 NB Ramp	
		Left	240	239	240	340	290	334	210	380	378		232	234
	Signal	Through		386	428		618	930		293	289		231	241
		Right	250	250	250		50	524	295					
14 Route 7 and Winchester Gateway			Berryville Pike		В	erryville Pike	1		I		Winche	ster Gateway	Drive	
Drive		Left	575	115	216	75	9	50					107	248
	Signal	Through		178	268		357	660						
		Right				220	185	220				170	109	169
15 Route 7 and Regency Lakes Drive			E	Berryville Pike		B	erryville Pike			Driveway		Rege	ency Lakes Dri	ve
		Left	275	263	274	100	46	47					200	200
	Signal	Through		292	507		355	590		0	70			
		Right		244	440	430	66	430					116	165
16 Route / and Millbrook			E	Berryville Pike		B	erryville Pike		В	lossom Drive		M	illbrook Drive	
Drive/ Biossoni Drive	.	Left	605	141	95	165	25	100	200	132	89	290	58	20
	Signal	Ihrough		337	271		366	398		138	43			
		Right	175	157	175	250	43	8					71	59
1/ Koute / and First Woods			E	Berryville Pike	100	B	erryville Pike	0.00	Gro	eenwood Driv	e	Firs	st Woods Driv	e
Drive/Greenwood Road	<u>.</u>	Left	325	200	123	335	183	293		525 321		203	192	
	Signal	Through		414	372		391	402			525	- 525 321		
		Right	260	201	213	340	95	177					52	33

Table 7. 2018 Existing Conditions: Summary of Maximum Queues (feet) (Continued)

NOTE: The maximum queues in feet are obtained from 10 SimTraffic simulation runs averaged together.

'--' Storage Bay Length not provided or the movements do not exist.

Red and bold text indicates queue lengths that reach or exceed the available storage lengths OR indicates turn lane storage blockage.





3.6 Future Traffic Volumes

The existing traffic volumes were forecasted to the Future Year 2030, which was determined by the SWG as the design year for the improvements suggested by this study. Projecting the traffic volumes at the study intersections to the design year with an appropriate growth rate was the first step in developing future conditions analysis. The methodology that was followed for development of growth rate is discussed below.

3.6.1 Traffic Forecasting Methodology

To validate the growth rate, historic AADT volumes published by VDOT were reviewed from year 2005 to 2017 for the study corridor for segments listed below:

- Route 7: From Pleasant Valley Road to Ross Street §
- Route 7: From Ross Street to I-81 ECL Winchester Ş
- Route 7: From I-81 ECL Winchester to Clarke County §

Table 8 summarizes the AADT volumes per year from 2005 through 2017 along the three segments.

	Ro	adway Segment/AADT Volur	ne
Year	Pleasant Valley Road to	Ross Street to I-81 ECL	I-81 ECL Winchester to
	Ross Street	Winchester	Clarke County
2005	24,000	29,000	32,000
2006	24,000	28,000	32,000
2007	25,000	30,000	32,000
2008	22,000	25,000	26,000
2009	22,000	25,000	27,000
2010	22,000	25,000	28,000
2011	22,000	25,000	25,000
2012	22,000	25,000	25,000
2013	22,000	25,000	25,000
2014	25,000	36,000	25,000
2015	26,000	37,000	25,000
2016	27,000	39,000	26,000
2017	25,000	35,000	27,000

Table 8. VDOT Historic Traffic Volumes (veh/day)

Linear growth rates were calculated for these segments three periods: 3-year, 9-year and 12-year and are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9. Historic Traffic Growth Rates

	Linear Growth Rates						
Roadway Segment	3-Year	9-Year	12-Year				
Roadway Segment	(2014-	(2008-	(2005-				
	2017)	2017)	2017)				
Pleasant Valley Road to Ross Street	0.00%	4.35%	1.37%				
Ross Street to I-81 ECL Winchester	-0.93%	11.87%	6.47%				
I-81 ECL Winchester to Clarke County	2.60%	1.27%	-5.51%				

The calculated linear historic growth rates show primarily positive growth in the study area.

In addition to the VDOT historic AADT volumes, Statewide Planning System (SPS) data, which is an internal VDOT database maintained by TMPD was obtained from Staunton District for Route 7 corridor. Table 10 summarizes the segments of Route 7 along with SPS recommended growth rates.

Table 10. SPS Recommended Growth Rates

County	Route	From	То	2015 AADT Volume	2040 AADT Volume	SPS Annualized Simple Growth Rate
Staunton	7	Pleasant Valley Road	Baker Lane	22,936	34,678	2.0%
Staunton	7	Fort Collier Road	I-81 Southbound Ramps	27,876	41,637	2.0%
Staunton	7	I-81 Northbound Ramps	Regency Lakes Drive	35,266	47,732	1.4%
Staunton	7	Regency Lakes Drive	Greenwood Road	27,927	40,623	1.8%

Based on the historic AADT data and review of SPS recommended growth forecasts, the suggested linear growth rate of 2.0% was applied to the Existing 2018 traffic volumes to generate projected 2030 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. These volumes are presented in Figure 22.







Figure 22. Future (2030) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Updated by Michael Baker Intl in coordination with VDOT Staunton District Planning





4 SAFETY ANALYSIS

Crash data for the most recent five (5) years (November, 2013 through October, 2018) was obtained from VDOT's *Crashtools* database. The crash data and collision diagrams were examined to identify high-crash locations within the study limits. These locations, along with the rest of the corridor were evaluated during field investigations. These investigations were conducted with attention to the analyzed crash patterns and to evaluate field conditions that could be potentially contributing to the crash patterns as observed in the collision diagrams. These peak hours fell in the AM Peak period (7:15AM-8:15AM), and a PM Peak period (4:30PM-5:30PM), which correlate with 2 (two) of the top 3 (three) time periods experiencing the highest crash frequencies. Field reviews were conducted during both these AM and PM peak periods in order to examine factors such as traffic conditions, human-vehicle interaction, geometric layout, and the presence and condition of signing, pavement markings, and delineation.

The crash data analysis and field review data were used to identify factors that could potentially contribute to crashes and to make short-term maintenance type project recommendations regarding safety improvements that could mitigate future crashes.

The findings for the project area are separated by Crash Data Analysis findings and Field Review findings. The Crash Data Analysis findings describe trends in the data regarding the year, time of day, type of crash, and roadway condition. The Field Review findings describe the field observations and discuss how those observations may relate to trends identified in the crash data. The findings and recommendations are provided in the following sections.

4.1 Crash Data Analysis

4.1.1 Crashes by Year

A total of 544 crashes occurred from Battle Park Drive to Renaissance Drive between November, 2013 through October, 2018, as shown in Figure 23 & 24. It should be noted that the crashes for years 2013 and 2018 are for partial years, as only partial year data was available for year 2018. To combine the data for total analysis period of 5years, the partial crash data from years 2013 and 2018 were selected. The AADT values were used to associate the traffic volume with crashes per year, as shown in Figure 23 (orange line). The AADT values increased from 2013 to 2016, The total number of crashes decreased slightly in 2015 and then peaked in 2017.

Figure 23. Number of crashes per year for the project study area including PDO



Additionally, Figure 25 shows that 1 fatal injury (0.2%), 12 non-visible injuries (2.2%), 14 severe injuries (2.6%) and 99 visible injuries (18.2%) occurred in the study area within the six-year period. The majority of crashes that occurred were property damage, which accounted for 76.8% of all crashes. Figure 26 provides a crash heat map of the overall corridor.

Figure 24. Number of crashes per year for the project study area excluding PDO.



Figure 25. Severity of crashes for the project study area.









Figure 26. Crash heat map (2013-2018) (Includes PDO)





4.1.2 Crashes by Time of Day

Figure 27 displays the number of crashes that occurred by time of day, presented in 3-hour increments. The highest frequency of crashes occurred from 3PM- 6PM (32%), from 12PM- 3PM (20%), from 6PM-9PM (15%), and from 9AM-12PM (13%). Nine (12%) of the total crashes occurred during AM peak hour from 7:15AM to 8:15AM.



Figure 27. Number of crashes by time of day.

4.1.3 Crashes by Type

As shown in Figures 28 & 29, the majority of crashes that occurred were rear-end crashes (50%), followed by angle crashes (28%), sideswipe same-direction crashes (10%), and fixed object off-road crashes (5%); the remaining crash types each accounted for less than 7% of the overall crashes. Three (6) pedestrian related crashes occurred between five-year period. It should be noted that 16 crashes were incorrectly categorized within the Crashtools database; these crash classifications were corrected and updated to ensure the accuracy of the crash type analysis.



Figure 29. Number of crashes by type of crash.





Figure 28. Number of crashes by type of crash.



Based on the *historical crash data* that were reviewed, Table 11 includes the most prominent crash types along the route. Note that for the purposes of analyzing the most frequent crashes, not all crashes are included in the crash pattern analysis.

Location (Intersection)	Approach / Intersection	Most Prominent Crash Type(s)	Total Crashes (Approach / Intersection)	Year(s)	Prominent Crash (Highest Crash Type %)
Route 7 at North Pleasant Valley	NB Approach	Rear-end	4	2013-2018	3 Total (75% rear-end)
	EB Approach	Rear-end	4	2013-2018	3 Total (75% rear-end)
	WB Approach	Rear-end	9	2013-2018	9 Total (100% rear-end)
	Intersection	Angle	14	2013-2018	10 Total (71% angle)
	EB approach	Rear-end	4	2013-2018	3 Total (75% rear-end)
Route 7 at	WB approach	Rear-end	5	2014-2018	4 Total (80% rear-end)
Baker Lane	Intersection	Angle; Rear-end	20	2014-2018	13 Total (65% angle) 7 Total (35% rear-end)
Route 7 at Ross Street	EB approach	Rear-end	9	2013-2018	8 Total (89% rear-end)
	WB approach	Angle	1	2014-2018	1 Total (100% angle)
	Intersection	Angle	17	2014-2018	13 Total (76% angle)
Route 7 at I-81 South Off ramp	EB approach	Rear-end	7	2013-2018	4 Total (57% rear-end)
	WB approach	Rear-end	6	2014-2018	6 Total (100% rear-end)
	Intersection	Angle; Rear-end	5	2014-2018	2 Total (40% angle) 2 Total (40% rear-end)
	EB approach	Rear-end	10	2013-2018	8 Total (80% rear-end)
Route 7 at I-81	WB approach	Rear-end	8	2014-2018	6 Total (75% rear-end)
North On	Intersection	Angle	13	2014-2018	10 Total (77% angle)
ramp	NB approach	Rear-end	5	2013-2018	2 Total (40% rear-end)
	SB approach	Rear-end	6	2014-2018	3 Total (50% rear-end)
Douto 7 ot	EB approach	Rear-end	3	2013-2018	3 Total (100% rear-end)
Catoway Drivo	WB approach	Rear-end	5	2014-2018	5 Total (100% rear-end)
Galeway Drive	Intersection	Angle	6	2014-2018	4 Total (67% angle)

Table 11. Crash patterns al	long the project study area.
-----------------------------	------------------------------

4.1.4 Crashes by Roadway and Weather Conditions

Figure 30 indicates the number of crashes by roadway surface condition. The majority (85%) of crashes occurred during dry roadway conditions. Wet conditions accounted for 14% of crashes. Remaining 1% of the crashes occurred on snowy or icy or slushy roadway conditions. Additionally, Figure 31 shows that most of the collisions occurred under clear/cloudy weather conditions (86%), followed by rainy weather conditions (12%). Snowy weather conditions accounted for less than 2% of the total accidents.

Figure 30. Number of crashes by roadway surface condition for the project study area.



Figure 31. Number of crashes by weather condition for the project study area.





e (2013-20	18)		
1	1	1	_
lcy	Muddy	Other	-
f Crashes			

ns (2013-2	018)	
}	4	1
WC	Mist	Other
Crashes		



4.1.5 Crash Density by ¼-mile

Crash density histograms were developed in ¼-mile increments to provide a visual representation of crashes along the corridor based on crash type, crash severity, time-of-day, and roadway conditions. Crash hot spots were identified along the corridor as locations with the highest crash density. As shown in Figure 32, three (3) crash hotspots were identified along Route 7: 1) North Pleasant Valley-Dunlap Street (Milepost 0.85-1.10) and 2) Ross Street-Middle of Bridge Deck above I-81 (Milepost 1.60-1.85) 3) Middle of Bridge Deck-Martin Drive (Milepost 1.85-2.10). A discussion of the crash hotspots is provided below.

4.1.5.1 Route 11 Eastbound

HOTSPOT 1: NORTH PLEASANT VALLEY-DUNLAP STREET (MILEPOST 0.85-1.10)

A total of 37 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (30%) and angle (41%) crashes, with most crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 3:00-6:00PM (43%) and 12:00PM-3:00PM (14%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.

HOTSPOT 2: ROSS STREET-MIDDLE OF BRIDGE DECK ABOVE I-81 (MILEPOST 1.60-1.85)

A total of 53 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (40%) and angle (34%), with almost all crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 3:00-6:00PM (38%) and 6:00AM-9:00AM (17%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.

HOTSPOT 3: MIDDLE OF BRIDGE DECK-MARTIN DRIVE ABOVE I-81 (MILEPOST 1.85-2.10)

A total of 37 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (59%) and angle (19%), with almost all crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 6:00AM-6:00PM (19%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.

4.1.5.2 Route 11 Westbound

HOTSPOT 1: NORTH PLEASANT VALLEY-DUNLAP STREET (MILEPOST 0.85-1.10)

A total of 61 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (56%) and angle (34%) crashes, with most crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 3:00-6:00PM (36%) and 12:00PM-3:00PM (28%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.

HOTSPOT 2: ROSS STREET-MIDDLE OF BRIDGE DECK ABOVE I-81 (MILEPOST 1.60-1.85)

A total of 41 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (56%) and angle (32%), with almost all crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 3:00-6:00PM (39%) and 6:00AM-9:00AM (17%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.

HOTSPOT 3: MIDDLE OF BRIDGE DECK ABOVE I-81 - MARTIN DRIVE (MILEPOST 1.85-2.10)

A total of 37 crashes occurred at this hotspot. The majority of crashes were rear-end (72%) and Sideswipe-same direction (11%), with almost all crashes resulting in property damage and visible injuries. In addition, the crashes predominately occurred from 3:00-6:00PM (30%) and 12:00PM-3:00PM (28%) and primarily under dry pavement conditions.







Figure 32. Crash density histograms per ¼-mile (Route 7).







Figure 35. Eastbound Time of Day Histogram.





Figure 34. Eastbound Crash Severity Histogram.



Figure 36. Eastbound Road Surface Condition Histogram.







Figure 37. Westbound Crash Type Histogram.

Figure 39. Westbound Time of Day Histogram.





Figure 38. Westbound Crash Severity Histogram.



Figure 40. Westbound Road Surface Condition Histogram.







4.1.6 Intersection Crash Rate

The crash rates were calculated utilizing the crash rate calculations described in the FHWA Roadway Safety Information Analysis. The intersection crash rates per million entering vehicles were calculated for the study intersections using the formula,

$$R = \frac{C \times 1,000,000}{365 \times N \times V}$$

Where, R = Crash Rate expressed as crashes per 1 million entering vehicles

N = Number of years of data = 5 years

V = Total traffic volume entering the intersection

The Fatal, Injury and PDO crash rates were similarly calculated by using the total fatal, injury and PDO crashes at each study location. Intersection crash rate results are provided in Table 12.

Intersection	Total Crash Rate (R)	Injury Crash Rate (Ri)	Fatal Crash Rate (Rf)	PDO Crash Rate (Rpdo)
RT 7/North Pleasant Valley Rd	0.444	0.13	0	0.31
RT 7/Battle Ave/Woodland Ave	0.701	0.20	0	0.50
RT 7/Dunlap St/Chestnut St	0.219	0.07	0	0.15
RT 7/Baker Lane	0.570	0.04	0	0.53
RT 7/Apple valley Marketplace	0.153	0.02	0	0.13
RT 7/Elm St/fort Collier Rd	0.291	0.03	0	0.26
RT 7/Atwell Ave	0.175	0.02	0	0.15
RT 7/Ross St	0.532	0.11	0	0.42
RT 7/Median Opening	0.266	0.05	0	0.22
RT 7/I-81 South Off ramp	0.391	0.05	0	0.34
RT 7/I-81 North On ramp	0.934	0.12	0	0.81
RT 7/Winchester Gateway Dr	0.507	0.10	0	0.41
RT 7/Regency Lakes Drive	0.507	0.10	0	0.41
RT 7/Millbrook Drive/Blossom Rd	0.304	0.10	0	0.20
RT 7/Greenwood Rd/First Woods Dr	0.487	0.10	0.02	0.37

Table 12. Crash rates (Intersections)

4.1.7 Roadway Departure Crash Rate

The roadway departure crash rates were calculated by following the methodology described in FHWA Safety Information Analysis and using the formula,





N = Number of years of data = 5 years

V = Total traffic volume entering the intersection

L = Length of segment (mile)

The Fatal, Injury and PDO crash rates were similarly calculated by using the total fatal, injury and PDO crashes at each study location. Roadway segment crash rate results are provided in Table 13. The calculated crash rates (total, injury and fatal) were compared with 2016 Statewide Summary of Crash Data, which VDOT developed for all the roadway system under their jurisdiction. The Crash Summary by Facility Type/Primary Roads 2016 and Divided, no control of access type was selected for comparison, since this facility type is like Route 7 corridor.

Table 13. Crash Rates (Segments)

Road Segment	Total Crash Rate (R)	Injury Crash Rate (Ri)	Fatal Crash Rate (Rf)	PDO Crash Rate (Rpdo)
National Avenue to Battle Ave/Woodland Ave	219.18	21.92	0.00	197.26
Battle Ave/Woodland Ave to Dunlap St/Chestnut St	48.71	24.35	0.00	24.35
Dunlap St to Baker Ln	146.12	48.71	0.00	97.41
Baker Ln to Apple Valley Marketplace	301.37	164.38	0.00	136.99
Apple Valley Rd to Elm St/Fort Collier Rd	134.88	16.86	0.00	118.02
Elm St/Fort Collier Rd to Atwell Ave	73.06	0.00	0.00	73.06
Atwell Ave to Ross St	27.40	0.00	0.00	27.40
I-81 South Off ramp to I-81 North On ramp	211.01	61.26	0.00	149.75
I-81 North On ramp to Winchester Gateway Dr	175.34	31.31	0.00	144.03
Gateway Dr to Regency lakes Dr	87.62	35.05	0.00	52.57
Regency Lakes Dr to Millbrook Dr/Blossom Rd	164.89	31.71	0.00	133.18
Millbrook Dr to Firstwoods Dr/Greenwood Rd	60.33	5.48	0.00	54.85

Notes:

1. Highlighted values indicate the crash rates that exceed statewide average for similar facility (Primary Roads) 2. The statewide averages were obtained from Commonwealth of Virginia 2017 Virginia Traffic Crash Facts 3. The following statewide average crash rates were obtained:

a. Total Crashes - 138.51

b. Fatal Crashes – 0.99

c. Injury Crashes – 72.69

4. The statewide data does not provide average crash rates for PDO crashes.

```
Where, R = Crash Rate expressed as crashes per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT)
```



4.1.8 Crash Data Summary

The following observations were made for crashes that occurred during the five (5) year period from North Pleasant Valley Road to Greenwood Road:

- § One (1) fatal pedestrian crash occurred in 2016 during the 9AM to 12PM time period. The collision occurred under dry roadway conditions and in clear weather. The driver had a medical emergency and ran off the road striking the right guard rail at 150' west of Greenwood Road.
- § 23 percent (23%) of crashes resulted in non-fatal injuries (e.g., ambulatory, visible, and non-visible injuries) (125 crashes).
- 85 percent (85%) of crashes occurred under dry pavement conditions (461 crashes). §
- 14 percent (14%) of crashes occurred under wet pavement conditions (75 crashes). §
- 50 percent (50%) of crashes that occurred over the five (5) year period were rear-end crashes (273 crashes). §
- 28 percent (28%) of crashes that occurred over the five (5) year period were angle crashes (154 crashes) and § another 10 percent (10%) of crashes were side-swipe – same direction crashes (55 crashes).
- § 22 percent (22%) of crashes occurred during dark lighting conditions, which includes the following time periods: 9PM-12AM, 12AM-3AM, and 3AM-6AM (122 crashes).
- § 12 percent (12%) of crashes (64 crashes) occurred during the AM peak period (6AM–9AM). 32 percent (32%) of crashes (173 crashes) occurred during the PM peak period (3PM-6PM).

4.2 Field Review

Field observations were conducted at the project study area on Thursday, March 9, 2019 and Tuesday, March 12, 2019 during the AM and PM peak periods to assess traffic operations, roadway geometrics, safety, gueuing, vehicle interaction conflicts, and existing signage. In order to evaluate these conditions within the field, various engineering manuals (e.g., Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), Virginia Supplement to MUTCD, 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design (ADA)) were used to guide the recommendations. It should be noted, that while historical crash data were utilized to determine crash patterns and areas of focus within the field, other recommendations and/or observations were noted that may not be directly related to crash patterns.

Table 14 lists common observations/recommendations from the field and the respective standards. Note that existing standards will be cited within the Field Review and Recommendations sections for any unique observations/recommendations that are not listed within Table 14.

Table 14. Common Field Observations/Recommendations and the Associated Standards

Observation/Recommendation	Associated Standard
actile domes do not comply with standards and should be updated	VDOT RBS; ADA Section 705.1
Pedestrian crossing pavement markings are faded and should be refurbished	MUTCD Section 3B.18
Stop bar/yield lines are faded and should be refurbished	MUTCD Section 3B.16
Pavement marking arrows	MUTCD Section 3B.24
Pavement and Curb markings	MUTCD Section 3B
Pavement marking line extensions through intersections	MUTCD Section 3B.08
Stop sign is not present and should be installed	MUTCD Section 2B.10
Pedestrian facilities are not provided and should be	MUTCD Section 3B.18 and
	MUTCD Chapter 4E
Distance buffer between the stop bar and crosswalk at an intersection approach	MUTCD Section 3B.16
Novement prohibition sign at an intersection	MUTCD Section 2B.18
Street name sign letter height appears smaller than recommended	MUTCD Section 2D.43

4.2.1 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at North **Pleasant Valley Road**

- This is a signalized intersection, left-turns are 8 controlled by protected permissive phasing on all approaches. During the PM peak hours, extensive vehicle queues were observed along the eastbound and westbound lanes. Westbound gueues backed up until the Battle Avenue. At the time of field observations, pedestrians were observed crossing in the middle of east leg of the intersection. Based on the crash data, two pedestrian crashes occurred in the vicinity of the intersection (Figure 41).
- All signal heads have backplates; however, the backplates do not have yellow retroreflective borders installed. Five-section protected/permitted left-turn signal heads are provided on all approaches. (See Recommendation A1)
- the stop bar is faded on the eastbound approach. (See Recommendation A2)
- 4.2.2 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at National Avenue
 - is no stop bar. (See Recommendation A3)



Figure 41. Westbound Route 7 at North Pleasant Valley Road



The High visibility crosswalk markings on the north and south legs of the intersection are faded. Additionally,

National Avenue is provided with a High visibility crosswalk and is controlled by a stop sign; However, there



National Avenue provides the access to a Gas station which generates significant pedestrian trips. National § Avenue is less than 100 feet from North pleasant valley Road. Two (2) Pedestrian crashes were associated with this location. (See Recommendation A4)

4.2.3 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue

- This is a signalized intersection with pedestrian facilities on north, § south and west legs of the intersection. (See Recommendation A5)
- Currently "No Right Turn" (R3-1) sign panel is located on the mast § arm restricting westbound traffic from turning right onto Battle Avenue. However, vehicles were recorded violating right turn restrictions (Figure 42). (See Recommendation A6)
- § All signal heads have backplates; however, the backplates do not have yellow retroreflective borders installed. (See Recommendation A7)
- Roadway lighting is provided on northeast corner of the § intersection; However, the existing roadway lighting does not seem adequate per IESNA standards. (See Recommendation A8)

4.2.4 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Virginia Avenue

- § Virginia Avenue is a one-way street. Vehicular movements from Virginia Avenue onto Route 7 are restricted.
- S Currently, a street name sign is provided on the northeast corner of the intersection; However, it is difficult to read the sign from eastbound and westbound directions. (See Recommendation A9, A10)

4.2.5 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street

- § This is an unsignalized intersection with a two-way stop control on northbound Chestnut Street and southbound Dunlap Street.
- Pavement striping along the north and south legs of the intersection are faded. (See Recommendation A10) §
- Horizontal curvature along route 7 restricts the line of § sight of the westbound traffic.

4.2.6 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Baker Lane

- § This is a signalized T-intersection with pedestrian facilities on south and east legs. Based on the crash data, one pedestrian crash occurred on the west leg of the intersection. (See Recommendation A11)
- § The High visibility crosswalk markings on south and east legs of the intersection are faded (Figure 43). (See *Recommendation A12*)
- All signal heads have backplates; however, the § backplates do not have yellow retroreflective borders

Figure 42. Westbound approach Route 7 at Battle Avenue



Recommendation A13)

4.2.7 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Maple Street

- Maple Street is located 100 feet east of Baker lane. It is controlled by a stop sign in the northbound direction.
- The stop bar and high visibility crosswalks on south leg ß are faded. (See Recommendation A14)

4.2.8 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Apple Valley Marketplace

- This is a signalized T-intersection with pedestrian § facilities on the south leg (Figure 44). (See Recommendation A15)
- All signal heads have backplates; however, the ß backplates do not have yellow retroreflective borders installed. Five-section protected/permitted left-turn signal head is provided on eastbound approach (See *Recommendation* A16)

4.2.9 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Elm Street/Fort Collier Road

- This is a signalized intersection with protected permissive left-turn phasing on all approaches. Pedestrian facilities are provided on all approaches except east leg of the intersection (Figure 45). (See *Recommendation* A17)
- The High visibility crosswalk markings on north and south legs of the intersection are faded (See Ş *Recommendation A18)*
- All signal heads have backplates; however, the backplates do not have yellow retroreflective borders installed. Five-section protected/permitted left-turn signal heads are provided on all approaches. (See Recommendation A19)
- During the AM peak hour, extensive vehicle queues were § observed along the southbound left turn lane. Queues on the southbound left-turn lane spilled over thru lane blocking private driveways. Due to very heavy traffic, the southbound approach frequently experiences frequent cycle failures. During AM and PM peak periods, large semi-tractor trailers were observed making the right turns from the westbound thru lane. Additionally, the stop bars on southbound left-turn and westbound approaches are pulled back from the intersection to improve the turning radius. (See Recommendation A20)



Figure 43. Route 7 at Baker Lane

installed. Five-section protected/permitted left-turn signal heads is provided on eastbound approach (See

Figure 44. Eastbound Route 7 at Apple Valley Market Place



Figure 45. Route 7 at Elm street /Fort Collier Road





4.2.10 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Atwell Avenue

- This is an unsignalized intersection with a two-way stop control on northbound Atwell Avenue and southbound Atwell Avenue.
- Crosswalk Markings along the north and south legs of the intersection are faded. (See Recommendation A21)

4.2.11 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Ross Street

- § This is a signalized intersection with protected permissive left-turn phasing on main line. Pedestrian facilities are provided on all approaches except east leg of the intersection. (See Recommendation A22)
- Pavement markings along the north and south legs of § the intersection are faded. (See Recommendation A23)
- § Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads do not have yellow retroreflective borders. Five-section protected/permitted left-turn signal heads are provided on eastbound and westbound approaches (See *Recommendation A24)*
- During the AM and PM peak hours, extensive vehicle 8

queues were observed along the eastbound approach due to vehicular backups from the intersections of Route 7 at I-81 South off Ramp (Figure 46). Eastbound queued vehicles caused the blockages at this intersection, making it difficult for vehicles to enter eastbound approach from north or south legs of the intersection. Near-miss incidents were recorded during the field visits. Based on the historical crash data, rear-end crashes were prominent and could be attributed to queueing issues at the intersection. (See Recommendation A55)

4.2.12 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Median opening

S During AM and PM peak hours, extensive vehicle queues were observed along the eastbound lanes due to vehicle backups from the intersection of Route 7 at I-81 South Off Ramp. Mid-block median opening shortened the eastbound left turn storage bay at Route 7 at I-81 South Off Ramp. Conflicts were observed between vehicles entering and exiting from the gas station and queued vehicles on eastbound approach which sometimes resulted in near-miss incidents (Figure 47). Based on the historical crash data, angle crashes were prominent and could be attributed to the median opening and gueueing issues along this stretch of corridor. (See Recommendation A25)

Figure 47. Median Opening



4.2.13 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 South Off-Ramp

- Recommendation A26)
- 8 Pavement markings on eastbound, westbound and Figure 48. Eastbound Route 7 at I-81 South off southbound approaches of the intersection are faded. Ramp Additionally, southbound dual left turn puppy tracks were faded. (See Recommendation A27)
- Gore were faded on the eastbound channelized right § turn towards I-81 south. (See Recommendation A28)
- During the AM and PM peak hours, extensive vehicle queues were observed along the eastbound approach due to left turning vehicular queues exceeding the storage bay. Large semi-tractor trailer vehicles were primarily observed occupying the left turn storage bay. Left turning vehicular queues spilled into thru lanes which reduced the capacity from two thru lanes to one thru lane which in turn caused congestion until Ross resulted in near-miss incidents. Additionally, vehicles were seen exiting the Liberty gas station driveway which is located very close to the eastbound stop bar (Figure 48). (See Recommendations A25, A29)

4.2.14 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 North On-Ramp/Valley Mill Road

- Ş (See Recommendation A30)
- do not have yellow retroreflective borders. (See Recommendation A31)
- Overhead street name signs on the mast arms are not provided for any approaches. A damaged street sign post is present on the southeast corner of the intersection with no street name signs. (See Recommendation A32)
- During the AM and PM peak hours, extensive vehicle queues were observed along the westbound lanes which extended till the intersection of Route 7 at Winchester Gateway Drive (Figure 49). Additionally, vehicle queues were observed on eastbound and northbound approaches which frequently experienced cycle failures. Based on the historical crash data, rear-end crashes were prominent and could be attributed to queueing issues at the intersection. (See Recommendations A52, A54)





Figure 46. Route 7 at Ross Street

This is a signalized intersection with no pedestrian facilities. Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads do not have yellow retroreflective borders. (See

Street (Figure 48). Vehicles were observed making lane changes with inadequate spacing, which sometimes Queues were recorded on southbound right turn and westbound thru approaches due to vehicles entering

and existing the Exxon gas station in the northwest corner of the intersection. (See Recommendation A29)

This is a signalized intersection with no pedestrian facilities. Pavement markings are faded along northbound and westbound directions of the intersection. The northbound approach does not have a stop bar marking.

Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads







A worn footpath is present along the eastbound approach to Valley Mill Road. (See Recommendations A48)

4.2.15 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Winchester Gateway

Drive

- § Intersection is signalized with no pedestrian facilities. Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads do not have yellow retroreflective borders. (See Recommendation A33)
- Pedestrian facilities are not provided at this intersection. § During the observation, four (4) pedestrians were found crossing Route 7 at 400' to the west of the intersection (Figure 50). (See Recommendations A34)
- During the PM peak hour, extensive vehicle queues were § observed along the westbound lanes due to vehicle backups from the intersection of Route 7 at I-81 North On Ramp. (See Recommendations A52, A53, A54)
- A worn footpath is present along the westbound approach to Winchester Gateway Drive. (See § Recommendations A48)

4.2.16 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Cole Lane

- Cole Lane is provided with stop sign; However, there is no stop bar. (See Recommendation A35) 8
- Cole lane is less than 140 feet from the intersection of Route 7 at Regency Lake Drive. (See Recommendation § A36)

4.2.17 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Regency Lakes Drive

- § Intersection is signalized with no pedestrian facilities. Eastbound edge line stripe is missing. (See Recommendation A37)
- Overhead street name signs on the mast arms are not provided for any approaches. A damaged street name S sign post is present on the southeast corner of the intersection with no street name sign. (See RecommendationA38)
- § Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads do not have yellow retroreflective borders. (See Recommendation A39)

Figure 50. Route 7 Westbound at Gateway Drive



4.2.18 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive

- Intersection is signalized without any pedestrian facilities. Pavement markings and arrows are faded along S the northbound and westbound approaches of the intersection. (See Recommendation A40)
- Overhead street name signs on the mast arms are not provided for eastbound and westbound approaches. A small street sign post is provided in the northwest and southeast corners of the intersection. (See Recommendation A41, A41)
- Currently, the signal heads for all approaches of the § intersection have backplates; however, the signal heads do not have yellow retroreflective borders. (See Recommendation A42)
- Currently, the eastbound channelized right turn does not have a yield sign (Figure 51). (See Recommendation A43)

4.2.19 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

- § Intersection is signalized without any pedestrian facilities. Pavement markings and arrows are faded along the northbound and southbound approaches of the intersection. (See Recommendation A44)
- S Overhead street name signs on the mast arms are not provided for any approaches. A small street sign post is provided on the northwest and southeast corners of the intersection. (See Recommendation A45, A45)
- Northbound approach has a steep downhill grade, coupled with horizontal curvature, which affects sight 8 to sight distance issues at the intersection. (See Recommendation A46)

4.2.20 Overall Corridor

- § A number of private driveways are present along the Route 7 corridor, and in most cases, these driveways provide little to no pavement markings and/or signage. While neither the City of Winchester nor VDOT is responsible for the maintenance of these private driveways, lack of these improvements could be contributing to driving maneuvers not suitable for the conditions and crashes along the corridor. A combination of considerable heavy vehicle traffic and frequent maneuvers to and from these driveways resulted in slower speeds and queues on Route 7. (See Recommendation A47)
- compliant with ADA standards and/or are discontinuous. During the field visit, a significant amount of the corridor are insufficient. Transit operations on Route 7 between Pleasant Valley Road and Elm Street this corridor and the lack of these facilities could be contributing to these crash rates. (See Recommendations A48, A52)
- The corridor provides little to no overhead lighting along the sides of the road for this stretch of roadway. Businesses provide commercial lighting along the corridor which helps light the corridor; however, the



Figure 51. North bound approach Route 7 at

Blossom Drive



distance. Based on the historical crash data, rear-end crashes were prominent, and could be attributed due

Pedestrian facilities are provided inconsistently along the length of the corridor and in most cases, are nonpedestrian and bicycle activities were observed. (Figure 48) Generally, pedestrian and bicycle facilities along were observed during the field visit. Based on historical crash data, pedestrian related crashes occurred in



existing roadway lighting does not seem adequate per IESNA standards. Intersection lighting is provided at Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road, Route 7 at Fort Collier Road/Elm Street, Route 7 at Ross Street, and Route 7 at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive. (See Recommendation A49)

- § There are numerous private driveways and cross streets which serve industrial facilities, and ultimately subjects Route 7 to increased volumes of semi-tractor trailer trucks. As a result, trucks entering and exiting Route 7 were observed throughout the day. Vehicles entering onto Route 7 at the unsignalized intersections created additional conflict points. Based on historical crash data, semi-tractor trailer truck related crashes occurred along the corridor which could be due to no advanced warning of trucks entering the roadway. (See Recommendation A51)
- A number of short length left-turn lanes are present along the corridor. Although they provide access to the § cross streets or businesses, these short left-turn lanes increase the number of conflict points and reduce the length of the adjacent left-turn bays. (See Recommendation A55)

4.3 Recommendations

The following recommendations are strictly based on field observations during the peak hours. These recommendations should be treated as low cost, short-term maintenance type projects which can be implemented using maintenance funds. The more detailed medium and high-cost improvement alternative recommendations will be made after the full operations and safety analysis and upon discussion with the SWG.

The City of Winchester and/or VDOT may implement some or none the following recommendations at their discretion.

4.3.1 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at North Pleasant Valley Road

- A1. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders will improve signal visibility and potentially mitigate crashes. Consider converting five-section protected/permissive left-turn signal heads to the flashing yellow arrow indications.
- A2. Refurbish pavement markings on north and south legs of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.2 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at National Avenue

- A3. Consider installing a stop bar for the northbound approach of National Avenue, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A4. Consider converting the National Avenue as right-in and right-out only approach.

4.3.3 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Battle Avenue/Woodland Avenue

- A5. Consider installing pedestrian facilities on the east leg of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A6. Consider installing a "No Right Turn" sign panel (R3-1) for the westbound approach.
- A7. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate future rear-end crashes.
- A8. Evaluate the existing lighting at this intersection and install new lighting if warranted.

4.3.4 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Virginia Avenue

A9. Consider relocating the street sign panel further east of Virginia Avenue.

4.3.5 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Dunlap Street/Chestnut Street A10. Refurbish pavement markings on the north leg and south legs of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.6 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Baker Lane

- A11. Consider installing pedestrian facilities on west leg of the intersection, per standards in Table 14
- A12. Refurbish high visibility crosswalks on south leg, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A13. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes. Consider converting five-section protected/permissive left-turn signal heads to the flashing yellow arrow indications.

4.3.7 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Maple Street

A14. Refurbish High visibility pavement markings and stop bar on the south leg of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.8 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Apple Valley Marketplace

- A15. Consider installing pedestrian facility on west and east legs of the intersection, per standards in Table 14
- A16. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate future rear-end crashes. Consider converting five-section protected/permissive left-turn signal head to the flashing yellow arrow indications.

4.3.9 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Elm Street/Fort Collier Road

- A17. Consider installing pedestrian facility on west and east legs of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A18. Refurbish High visibility pavement markings and stop bar on the south leg of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A19. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes. Consider converting five-section protected/permissive left-turn signal heads to the flashing yellow arrow indications.
- A20. Consider evaluating westbound right turn lane's turning radius per VDOT standards.

4.3.10 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Atwell Avenue

A21. Refurbish pavement markings on the north leg and south legs of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.11 Route 7 (Berryville Avenue) at Ross Street

- A22. Consider installing pedestrian facility on east leg of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A23. Refurbish pavement markings and pavement striping along the northbound and southbound lanes, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A24. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes. Consider converting five-section protected/permissive left-turn signal heads to the flashing yellow arrow indications.

4.3.12 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Median opening (mid-block)

A25. Consider closing the median opening and extending the eastbound left turn bay at Route 7 and I-81 South Off Ramp intersection.





4.3.13 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 South

- A26. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes.
- A27. Refurbish pavement markings and striping along the eastbound westbound, southbound approaches of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A28. Refurbish gore markings along eastbound channelized right turn, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A29. Consider managing the accesses to the gas stations closer to the intersection.

4.3.14 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at I-81 North/Valley Mill Road

- A30. Refurbish pavement markings and striping along the northbound and westbound approaches of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A31. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes.
- A32. Consider installing overhead street name sign panels on the mast arms for all approaches at the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.15 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Winchester Gateway Drive

- A33. Consider installing retroreflective yellow borders to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve visibility and mitigate crashes.
- A34. Consider installing pedestrian facilities on the east and west legs of the intersection.

4.3.16 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Cole Lane

- A35. Consider installing a stop bar for the northbound approach of Cole Lane, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A36. Consider evaluating alignment of Cole Lane. Evaluate aligning Cole Lane with the Regency Lake Drive.

4.3.17 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Regency Lakes Drive

- A37. Refurbish the eastbound edge line stripe, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A38. Consider installing sign panels on the mast arms for all approaches at the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A39. Consider installing retroreflective yellow border to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve and mitigate future rear-end crashes.

4.3.18 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at Millbrook Drive/Blossom Drive

- A40. Refurbish pavement markings and striping along the northbound and westbound approaches of the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A41. Consider installing street name sign panels on the mast arms for all approaches at the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A42. Consider installing retroreflective yellow border to all signal heads. Implementing these borders could improve and mitigate crashes.
- A43. Consider installing ground mounted yield sign panel for the channelized right turn, per standards outlined in Table 14.

4.3.19 Route 7 (Berryville Pike) at First Woods Drive/Greenwood Road

A44. Refurbish pavement markings and striping along the northbound and southbound approaches, per standards outlined in Table 14.

- A45. Consider installing street name sign panels on the mast arms for all approaches at the intersection, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A46. Consider installing advisory 'Signal Ahead' sign (W3-3) along the northbound approach of the intersection.

4.3.20 Overall Corridor

- driveways.
- A48. Consider updating and/or installing pedestrian facilities along the length of the corridor, per standards outlined in Table 14.
- A49. Consider conducting a lighting study to evaluate the extent and level of existing lighting along the corridor.
- A50. Consider evaluating and/or optimizing current signal timings along the corridor, mainly for the the intersection. Optimization of signals could benefit the corridor by improving platooning as well as of InSync system on the corridor.
- A51. Install "Trucks Entering Highway" along Route 7 where there is significant number of trucks entering/exiting cross streets and driveways.
- A52. One way to reduce single occupant vehicles along the corridor is to promote transit. While transit services the intersection could be considered.
- A53. Consider extending multiuse path to the east of the Martin Drive that could promote other modes of transportation.
- A54. Consider evaluating alignment of Valley Mill Road to reduce overall vehicular traffic and mitigate future queuing and collisions. Evaluate aligning Valley Mill Road with Winchester Gateway Drive.
- A55. Consider evaluating the possibility of closing short left-turn turn bays to improve access management by taking advantage of City street grid.



A47. Consider conducting an access management study along the corridor to evaluate the multiple access

intersections to the east of Route 7 and Ross Street, to help alleviate congestion and queuing issues, and to improve air quality. Providing flashing yellow arrows along the corridor could allow lead/lag optimizations and improve overall signal operations. To relieve the congestion, Rhythm Engineering's InSync Adaptive Traffic signal technology is used in the City between the intersections of Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road, and Route 7 at Ross Street. Adaptive traffic signal technology changes the signal timings based on actual traffic demand. Sometimes the queues contribute to the crashes as vehicles approach or proceed through reducing the crashes caused due to blockages or speed differentials caused by congestion. As number of signals grow along the corridor, signal retiming or optimization provides economical way of managing the transportation network as well as annual savings to the motorists traveling the corridor. Signal operations engineers from the City and VDOT need to be involved in the discussion to continue use of and expansion

are provided along the corridor, mainly in the City between Pleasant Valley Road and Elm Street, extending the service to east, converting existing bus stops to bus shelters and placing the bus stops on the far side of


APPENDIX B. AM AND PM RESULTS





Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Start Time	7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00
End Time	8:30	8:30	8:30	8:30	8:30	8:30	8:30
Total Time (min)	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
# of Intervals	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
# of Recorded Intervals	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Vehs Entered	9334	9504	9398	9448	9405	9420	9344
Vehs Exited	9322	9513	9481	9494	9420	9450	9385
Starting Vehs	478	451	517	436	428	451	440
Ending Vehs	490	442	434	390	413	421	399
Travel Distance (mi)	7014	6805	6880	6866	6707	6826	6750
Travel Time (hr)	512.2	472.8	520.2	456.5	461.0	439.7	440.0
Total Delay (hr)	309.8	274.8	320.7	257.6	266.2	242.1	243.5
Total Stops	18961	18441	18970	17831	16844	17571	17220
Fuel Used (gal)	331.5	318.1	331.3	317.0	311.7	311.1	308.8

Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg
Start Time	7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00
End Time	8:30	8:30	8:30	8:30
Total Time (min)	90	90	90	90
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60
# of Intervals	5	5	5	5
# of Recorded Intervals	4	4	4	4
Vehs Entered	9423	9385	9545	9417
Vehs Exited	9484	9436	9532	9451
Starting Vehs	476	425	492	448
Ending Vehs	415	374	505	420
Travel Distance (mi)	6748	6806	6920	6832
Travel Time (hr)	483.2	463.5	496.0	474.5
Total Delay (hr)	287.0	265.9	295.6	276.3
Total Stops	17920	17752	18392	17985
Fuel Used (gal)	318.3	317.0	328.0	319.3

Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	7:00		
End Time	7:30		
Total Time (min)	30		
Volumes adjusted by Gr	owth Factors.		
No data recorded this int	terval.		

Interval #1 Information

Start Time	7:30
End Time	7:45
Total Time (min)	15

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2241	2376	2269	2328	2298	2241	2288
Vehs Exited	2295	2355	2301	2317	2328	2292	2298
Starting Vehs	478	451	517	436	428	451	440
Ending Vehs	424	472	485	447	398	400	430
Travel Distance (mi)	1723	1675	1688	1692	1675	1648	1680
Travel Time (hr)	116.5	109.6	123.5	104.8	109.9	101.5	113.0
Total Delay (hr)	66.6	60.8	74.6	55.9	61.1	53.7	64.0
Total Stops	4484	4374	4508	4117	4132	3992	4280
Fuel Used (gal)	79.8	76.7	80.6	76.0	76.5	73.9	77.5

Interval #1 Information

Start Time	7:30	
End Time	7:45	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by Gre	owth Factors, Anti PHF.	

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2315	2279	2326	2294	
Vehs Exited	2321	2277	2355	2309	
Starting Vehs	476	425	492	448	
Ending Vehs	470	427	463	433	
Travel Distance (mi)	1678	1613	1694	1677	
Travel Time (hr)	117.4	101.7	123.2	112.1	
Total Delay (hr)	68.8	54.6	74.0	63.4	
Total Stops	4350	3985	4531	4266	
Fuel Used (gal)	78.6	73.0	80.2	77.3	

Interval #2 Information

Start Time	7:45	
End Time	8:00	
Total Time (min)	15	

Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2581	2514	2539	2572	2452	2630	2524
Vehs Exited	2433	2496	2457	2457	2381	2498	2505
Starting Vehs	424	472	485	447	398	400	430
Ending Vehs	572	490	567	562	469	532	449
Travel Distance (mi)	1844	1754	1825	1804	1699	1813	1807
Travel Time (hr)	133.8	123.2	138.5	126.6	111.8	126.6	119.6
Total Delay (hr)	80.6	72.0	85.8	74.4	62.5	74.1	66.9
Total Stops	4997	4869	5173	5092	4190	5060	4636
Fuel Used (gal)	86.6	82.2	87.5	85.0	77.7	84.7	83.0

Interval #2 Information

Start Time	7:45	
End Time	8:00	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by Pl	HF, Growth Factors.	

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2547	2620	2545	2551	
Vehs Exited	2517	2514	2466	2474	
Starting Vehs	470	427	463	433	
Ending Vehs	500	533	542	513	
Travel Distance (mi)	1755	1802	1802	1790	
Travel Time (hr)	130.7	125.6	129.5	126.6	
Total Delay (hr)	79.7	73.3	77.2	74.7	
Total Stops	4879	5073	4809	4870	
Fuel Used (gal)	84.5	85.2	86.0	84.2	

Interval #3 Information

Start Time	8:00
End Time	8:15
Total Time (min)	15

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2293	2352	2335	2337	2295	2268	2266
Vehs Exited	2350	2327	2428	2489	2377	2421	2311
Starting Vehs	572	490	567	562	469	532	449
Ending Vehs	515	515	474	410	387	379	404
Travel Distance (mi)	1760	1668	1748	1731	1646	1740	1642
Travel Time (hr)	137.1	125.0	138.2	120.8	120.0	112.6	104.0
Total Delay (hr)	86.2	76.6	87.7	70.6	72.0	62.3	56.0
Total Stops	4846	4785	5042	4581	4354	4483	4164
Fuel Used (gal)	84.8	80.2	85.6	81.7	78.2	80.1	74.6

Interval #3 Information

Start Time	8:00	
End Time	8:15	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by Growth	Factors, Anti PHF.	

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2284	2259	2348	2300	
Vehs Exited	2380	2342	2381	2377	
Starting Vehs	500	533	542	513	
Ending Vehs	404	450	509	436	
Travel Distance (mi)	1679	1715	1695	1702	
Travel Time (hr)	124.5	125.8	123.4	123.1	
Total Delay (hr)	75.7	76.0	74.1	73.7	
Total Stops	4449	4486	4517	4565	
Fuel Used (gal)	79.8	82.1	80.0	80.7	

Interval #4 Information Recording

Start Time	8:15
End Time	8:30
Total Time (min)	15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors	, Anti PHF.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2219	2262	2255	2211	2360	2281	2266
Vehs Exited	2244	2335	2295	2231	2334	2239	2271
Starting Vehs	515	515	474	410	387	379	404
Ending Vehs	490	442	434	390	413	421	399
Travel Distance (mi)	1687	1709	1619	1639	1686	1626	1621
Travel Time (hr)	124.9	114.9	119.9	104.3	119.4	99.0	103.3
Total Delay (hr)	76.3	65.4	72.7	56.7	70.5	51.9	56.6
Total Stops	4634	4413	4247	4041	4168	4036	4140
Fuel Used (gal)	80.3	79.0	77.6	74.3	79.4	72.4	73.7

Interval #4 Information Recording

Start Time	8:15
End Time	8:30
Total Time (min)	15
Values a adjusted by Orecuth	Contara Anti DUIC

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2277	2227	2326	2266	
Vehs Exited	2266	2303	2330	2291	
Starting Vehs	404	450	509	436	
Ending Vehs	415	374	505	420	
Travel Distance (mi)	1637	1676	1729	1663	
Travel Time (hr)	110.6	110.5	119.9	112.7	
Total Delay (hr)	62.8	62.0	70.2	64.5	
Total Stops	4242	4208	4535	4267	
Fuel Used (gal)	75.4	76.7	81.8	77.1	

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	3.6	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.3	0.3	0.3	4.6	1.3	1.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	15.6	23.3	18.2	11.6	6.9	3.7	44.9	51.5	6.7	44.3	51.4	33.2

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Novement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.5
Fotal Del/Veh (s)	17.7

2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NWL	NWR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.8	0.5		7.5	46.0	4.1	4.4

3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	12.5	2.0	0.9	7.4	2.3	23.8	14.0	4.9	31.5	11.3	2.8

4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	9.9	0.6	1.4	0.7	1.0

5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	7.8	0.4	0.0	7.5	1.9	1.5	24.3	4.9	18.0	22.3	11.6	1.5

6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.4	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	14.9	4.4	4.0	3.3	41.4	8.2	6.4

7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	10.2	1.7	3.9	3.2	69.4	5.0	3.7

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.2	0.2	4.1	0.9	1.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	24.3	13.2	9.0	13.1	10.3	5.8	49.0	69.4	24.9	49.9	53.8	24.0

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.5
Fotal Del/Veh (s)	17.3

9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movemer

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1		4.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	16.3	2.1	1.2	8.4	2.8	1.7	16.7	6.6	34.0		12.4	2.6

10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBR	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	
Total Del/Veh (s)	17.8	4.8	0.8	8.5	4.7	2.8	47.7	8.2	51.4	9.3	6.9	

11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBR	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	
Total Del/Veh (s)	18.8	3.5	0.4	24.1	4.4	3.1	23.9	23.3	69.2	30.3	5.2	

12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.8	41.1	2.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	58.7	16.4	7.0	80.2	28.8	8.7	72.4	39.9	10.2	47.8	14.5	26.2

13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	1.0	1.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.8	3.9	12.2	5.0	2.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	66.3	42.3	11.3	92.9	111.1	6.5	54.4	52.0	42.5	71.6	55.6	63.2

14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.2	3.7	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	48.5	12.3		18.0	13.4	41.0	13.1	17.9

15.	Berrwil	le Pike	& Regency	Lakes Drive	Performance	hv movement
10.	Den yvii		& negency	Lakes Drive	renormance	by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	61.4	7.2	71.2	28.1	14.8	51.3	13.6	21.0

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.3	0.4	3.9	4.3	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	49.7	22.1	11.4	55.3	31.7	16.5	47.0	46.5	23.7	48.3	57.2	10.8

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.2	
Total Del/Veh (s)	28.1	

17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.6	0.3	2.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	67.1	28.9	16.3	53.7	26.4	8.0	53.5	56.0	44.5	53.9	53.5	7.5

17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Novement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	32.7

19: Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBT	WBT	SWR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	4.0	3.8	8.2	4.2

37: Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	3.3	1.0	2.9	2.5	0.3	2.7	2.5	3.0	2.8	0.9	1.5	0.4
Total Del/Veh (s)	67.4	41.6	11.9	52.1	28.9	6.8	55.2	55.0	46.9	48.2	54.2	6.8

37: Performance by movement

Movement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	1.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	38.5

41: I-81 NB Ramp Performance by movement

Movement	SBR All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	43.6 25.7
otal Del/Veh (s)	49.1 38.0

42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	WBT	NBT	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.7	0.2

Total Network Performance

Denied Del/Veh (s)	5.9	
Total Del/Veh (s)	95.2	

Intersection:	1 · N	Pleasant	Vallev	Road	& National	Avenue/Berr	vville Aveni	le
	1.11	i icasam	vancy	Nuau	a national	Avenue/Den	yville Avent	10

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	Т	R	L	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	125	369	98	104	93	144	124	99	194
Average Queue (ft)	19	161	79	74	22	60	63	32	108
95th Queue (ft)	74	301	109	120	57	114	106	83	195
Link Distance (ft)		551	78	78		685	685		173
Upstream Blk Time (%)			16	13					4
Queuing Penalty (veh)			62	52					0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	175				165			100	
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	8				0		0	16
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	2				0		0	5

Intersection: 2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NW	
Directions Served	Т	TR	LT	Т	LR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	26	54	234	273	24	
Average Queue (ft)	1	2	65	74	6	
95th Queue (ft)	16	21	176	204	23	
Link Distance (ft)	78	78	404	404	278	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	0	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0	0			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue

EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB
LT	TR	LT	Т	LTR	LTR
114	83	140	164	40	51
32	23	28	40	10	8
87	67	93	122	34	30
404	404	141	141	228	
		0	1		0
		1	2		0
	EB LT 114 32 87 404	EB EB LT TR 114 83 32 23 87 67 404 404	EB EB WB LT TR LT 114 83 140 32 23 28 87 67 93 404 404 141 0 1	EB EB WB WB LT TR LT T 114 83 140 164 32 23 28 40 87 67 93 122 404 404 141 141 0 1 1 2	EB EB WB WB NB LT TR LT T LTR 114 83 140 164 40 32 23 28 40 10 87 67 93 122 34 404 404 141 141 228 0 1 1 2

Intersection: 4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	51	3	37	88
Average Queue (ft)	3	0	1	6
95th Queue (ft)	25	3	15	42
Link Distance (ft)	141	141	274	274
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	52	19	103	76	51	21
Average Queue (ft)	3	1	14	3	14	5
95th Queue (ft)	26	11	62	34	38	17
Link Distance (ft)	274	274	446	446	332	507
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (ft)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane

Movomont	ED	ED	\//D	\//D	CD	CD
wovement	ED	ED	٧٧D	٧٧D	30	30
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	186	134	140	170	69	147
Average Queue (ft)	80	36	29	43	41	49
95th Queue (ft)	151	93	95	123	74	102
Link Distance (ft)	446	446	436	436		197
Upstream Blk Time (%)						0
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					70	
Storage Blk Time (%)					7	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)					7	1

Intersection: 7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	87	78	152	166	70	33
Average Queue (ft)	17	12	23	31	21	5
95th Queue (ft)	65	50	93	114	58	22
Link Distance (ft)	436	436	707	707		282
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					90	
Storage Blk Time (%)					0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0	0

Intersection: 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	98	256	231	114	290	303	95	82	202	99	382	
Average Queue (ft)	26	106	91	25	88	112	34	16	78	89	170	
95th Queue (ft)	73	213	187	73	236	281	99	52	160	115	355	
Link Distance (ft)		707	707		290	290			376		364	
Upstream Blk Time (%)					0	1					2	
Queuing Penalty (veh)					2	7					0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	105			120			95	100		100		
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	8		0	5	7	0	0	8	20	5	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	3		0	2	18	2	0	1	16	11	

Intersection: 9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	22	58	55	39	58	109	37	33	56	
Average Queue (ft)	1	6	4	6	4	10	9	4	8	
95th Queue (ft)	12	62	54	27	32	59	33	20	33	
Link Distance (ft)		290	290		339	339	194	408		
Upstream Blk Time (%)		0	0							
Queuing Penalty (veh)		1	0							
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	120			60					90	
Storage Blk Time (%)		1		0	0				0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		0	0				0	

Intersection:	10:	Pharmhouse	Shopping	ı Center	Drivewa	/Ross	Street &	& Berry	vville	Avenue
		i nannioace	Onopping	001101	Dinona	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0110010		, ,	

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	LT	R	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	72	197	153	49	201	217	50	44	144	57	
Average Queue (ft)	25	56	43	13	57	79	11	13	59	19	
95th Queue (ft)	61	163	127	37	169	202	38	39	115	48	
Link Distance (ft)		339	339		127	127	236	236	237	237	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		1	0		2	3					
Queuing Penalty (veh)		6	1		10	17					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	75			70							
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	5		0	3						
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	2		0	1						

Intersection: 11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	32	186	141	34	107	169	70	110
Average Queue (ft)	5	32	13	6	7	19	23	41
95th Queue (ft)	22	137	82	26	49	97	59	87
Link Distance (ft)		127	127		215	215	194	175
Upstream Blk Time (%)		5	1			0	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		25	4			0	0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	35			45				
Storage Blk Time (%)	2	9		1	1			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	10	1		5	0			

Intersection: 12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	LT	R	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	144	316	277	84	405	427	418	40	30	387	318	180
Average Queue (ft)	127	199	135	10	219	273	103	5	5	222	178	80
95th Queue (ft)	178	326	252	43	368	404	351	24	22	327	282	144
Link Distance (ft)		215	215		813	813	813	77	77	495	495	495
Upstream Blk Time (%)		17	3							0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		77	12							0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	145			100								
Storage Blk Time (%)	17	17		0	23							
Queuing Penalty (veh)	60	37		0	2							

Intersection: 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	L	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	240	415	417	250	340	890	928	382	210	417	295	242
Average Queue (ft)	127	315	308	146	102	563	611	50	158	254	190	223
95th Queue (ft)	265	445	441	322	305	1060	1079	364	257	396	319	237
Link Distance (ft)		268	268			1301	1301	1301		404		212
Upstream Blk Time (%)		18	16	0		0	1			3		58
Queuing Penalty (veh)		110	95	0		1	2			0		182
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	240			250	340				210		295	
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	23	18	1	0	28			1	16	1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	23	32	3	1	11			3	74	4	

Intersection: 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	SB
Directions Served	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	250
Average Queue (ft)	222
95th Queue (ft)	250
Link Distance (ft)	212
Upstream Blk Time (%)	50
Queuing Penalty (veh)	155
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Intersection: 14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	SB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	L	Т	Т	L	Т	Т	R	L	L	R	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	113	129	252	247	11	290	338	188	130	75	117	65
Average Queue (ft)	46	66	98	113	0	116	166	44	58	10	52	18
95th Queue (ft)	94	111	203	210	8	243	303	150	105	40	90	49
Link Distance (ft)			1301	1301		1208	1208		504	504		
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	575	575			75			220			170	170
Storage Blk Time (%)						10	4	0			0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0	3	1			0	0

Intersection: 15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	LT	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	241	145	164	63	408	443	215	224	124
Average Queue (ft)	143	47	58	6	207	250	29	92	48
95th Queue (ft)	227	109	123	32	375	419	106	175	95
Link Distance (ft)		1208	1208		1628	1628		331	331
Upstream Blk Time (%)									
Queuing Penalty (veh)									
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	275			100			430		
Storage Blk Time (%)	0			0	16	0	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0			0	1	0	0		

Intersection: 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	108	139	435	442	174	52	394	422	44	135	127	68
Average Queue (ft)	43	75	230	249	21	2	203	229	2	56	47	10
95th Queue (ft)	95	121	386	398	115	30	371	389	28	109	100	41
Link Distance (ft)			1628	1628			1916	1916			535	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	605	605			175	165			250	200		290
Storage Blk Time (%)				14	0	0	11	8	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				5	1	0	0	0	0			

Intersection: 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	SB
Directions Served	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	95
Average Queue (ft)	20
95th Queue (ft)	59
Link Distance (ft)	376
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Intersection: 17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	265	476	499	241	149	373	331	76	391	186	41	
Average Queue (ft)	69	193	205	32	52	214	186	28	215	84	13	
95th Queue (ft)	160	372	386	136	111	319	292	61	347	158	34	
Link Distance (ft)		1916	1916			786	786		584	442	442	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	325			260	335			340				
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	2	5	0		1	0					
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	1	2	0		0	0					

Intersection: 19: Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	116	99	63	173	199
Average Queue (ft)	15	14	3	21	48
95th Queue (ft)	83	78	41	104	131
Link Distance (ft)	813	813	268	268	329
Upstream Blk Time (%)			0	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0	1	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 37:

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	324	639	594	241	170	425	371	107	280	160	38	
Average Queue (ft)	99	363	316	34	62	250	202	28	201	83	13	
95th Queue (ft)	271	589	542	148	136	370	318	74	298	150	32	
Link Distance (ft)		701	701			519	519		249	142	142	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		2	1						11	4		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	0						0	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	325			260	335			340				
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	13	12	0		2	0	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	10	4	0		1	0	0				

Intersection: 41: I-81 NB Ramp

Movement	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	266	340	346
Average Queue (ft)	95	264	247
95th Queue (ft)	201	418	440
Link Distance (ft)	212	311	311
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	33	37
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1196

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.7	0.0	0.5	1.9	0.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	22.1	8.7	19.0	47.0	17.7

2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB	NW	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0 0.0	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.8 7.5	9.4	4.4

3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	2.1	2.3	17.7	25.5	2.8

4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.6	1.3	1.0

5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.4	2.0	6.4	17.7	1.5

6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	6.1	3.9	20.6	6.4

7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	el/Veh (s) 0.0 0.0 3.2	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	Veh (s) 1.8 3.9 51.8	3.7

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.7	3.2	0.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	13.6	9.3	36.5	44.9	17.3

9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.9	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	2.1	2.8	8.6	19.6	2.6

10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0 0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	5.6 4.7	24.5	39.9	6.9

11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	3.6	4.5	23.3	38.7	5.2

12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	13.7	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	26.6	20.8	31.6	38.2	26.2

13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	1.6	0.0	2.7	10.4	2.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	39.8	89.8	52.7	67.8	63.2

14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	16.9	17.8	24.5	17.9

15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB SB
Denied Del/Veh (s)) 0.0 0.0 0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	14.2 27.4 30.8

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.7	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	25.2	31.7	43.1	15.5	28.1

17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	30.9	26.6	54.5	45.4	32.7

19: Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SW	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	4.0	3.8	8.2	4.2

37: Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	1.2	0.6	2.9	1.2	1.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	42.3	28.9	54.1	42.8	38.5

41: I-81 NB Ramp Performance by approach

Approach	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	42.5	25.7
Total Del/Veh (s)	8.7	57.2	38.0

42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	NB AI
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0 0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)).7 0.2

Total Network Performance

Denied Del/Veh (s)	5.9	
Total Del/Veh (s)	95.2	

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ň	ţ,		٦	ţ,		7	1	1	۲	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	26	305	37	321	422	25	37	89	305	32	101	25
Future Volume (vph)	26	305	37	321	422	25	37	89	305	32	101	25
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.5	5.5		6.0	6.5		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.5	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1801	1752		1587	1809		1670	1900	1510	1742	1699	
Flt Permitted	0.49	1.00		0.42	1.00		0.37	1.00	1.00	0.65	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	922	1752		698	1809		654	1900	1510	1189	1699	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	28	332	40	349	459	27	40	97	332	35	110	27
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	247	0	7	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	28	370	0	349	485	0	40	97	85	35	130	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	4		8	8		4	1		7	7		1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	6%	8%	2%	4%	4%	8%	0%	6%	3%	9%	4%
Parking (#/hr)				0								
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		7	4	1	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	77.6	73.6		100.3	89.8		21.3	14.2	35.9	22.6	16.1	
Effective Green, g (s)	77.6	73.6		100.3	89.8		21.3	14.2	35.9	22.6	16.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.55	0.53		0.72	0.64		0.15	0.10	0.26	0.16	0.12	
Clearance Time (s)	6.5	5.5		6.0	6.5		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.5	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	536	921		637	1160		151	192	451	217	195	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	0.21		c0.08	0.27		c0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	c0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.03			c0.31			0.03		0.03	0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.40		0.55	0.42		0.26	0.51	0.19	0.16	0.67	
Uniform Delay, d1	14.1	20.0		9.1	12.3		51.7	59.6	40.7	50.2	59.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		2.23	1.92		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	1.3		0.9	1.1		0.9	2.1	0.2	0.4	8.3	
Delay (s)	14.2	21.3		21.2	24.7		52.6	61.7	40.9	50.6	67.7	
Level of Service	В	С		С	С		D	E	D	D	E	
Approach Delay (s)		20.8			23.2			46.2			64.2	
Approach LOS		С			С			D			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			32.2	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.58									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			25.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		71.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service)		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	-	-	5	-	*	4
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NWL	NWR
Lane Configurations	41			41	M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	624	18	1	767	1	6
Future Volume (Veh/h)	624	18	1	767	1	6
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	•
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0 92	0.92	0.92	0 92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	678	20	1	834	1	7
Pedestrians	010	20	•	3	10	
Lane Width (ft)				12 0	12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)				3.5	3.5	
Percent Blockage				0.0	1	
Right turn flare (veh)				U		
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NOTIC			NONC		
Unstream signal (ff)	142			470		
nX nlatoon unblocked	174			+10	0 97	
vC. conflicting volume			708		1117	362
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			100		1117	502
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			708		1054	362
tC single (s)			61		6.8	69
tC, 2 stage (s)			0.1		0.0	0.0
tF (s)			32		35	33
n0 queue free %			100		100	99
cM canacity (yeh/h)			452		215	633
			452		215	000
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NW 1	
Volume Total	452	246	279	556	8	
Volume Left	0	0	1	0	1	
Volume Right	0	20	0	0	7	
cSH	1700	1700	452	1700	509	
Volume to Capacity	0.27	0.14	0.00	0.33	0.02	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	1	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	12.2	
Lane LOS			А		В	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		12.2	
Approach LOS					В	
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.1			
Intersection Canacity Utiliz	zation		32.8%	IC	ULevel	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	٠	→	7	1	-	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4 P			41			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	607	12	2	753	0	8	1	4	19	0	7
Future Volume (vph)	11	607	12	2	753	0	8	1	4	19	0	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		6.0			7.0			5.0			6.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt		1.00			1.00			0.96			0.96	
Flt Protected		1.00			1.00			0.97			0.97	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3388			3505			1633			1582	
Flt Permitted		0.94			0.95			0.79			0.80	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3173			3344			1328			1314	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	12	660	13	2	818	0	9	1	4	21	0	8
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	28	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	685	0	0	820	0	0	10	0	0	1	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3		2	2		3						-
Heavy Vehicles (%)	9%	6%	8%	0%	3%	0%	13%	0%	0%	5%	0%	29%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		123.7			122.7			5.3			4.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		123.7			122.7			5.3			4.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.88			0.88			0.04			0.03	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			7.0			5.0			6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2803			2930			50			40	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.22			c0.25			c0.01			0.00	
v/c Ratio		0.24			0.28			0.20			0.02	
Uniform Delay, d1		1.2			1.4			65.3			65.8	
Progression Factor		0.94			5.49			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2			0.2			2.0			0.2	
Delay (s)		1.3			8.0			67.3			66.0	
Level of Service		А			А			E			E	
Approach Delay (s)		1.3			8.0			67.3			66.0	
Approach LOS		А			А			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			6.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.28									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		40.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)	lization 40.0% ICU Level of Service A 15											

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue

	۲	-	+	*_	\$	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SEL	SER	
Lane Configurations		44	*t ₂				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	628	755	38	0	0	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	628	755	38	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	683	821	41	0	0	
Pedestrians			1		2		
Lane Width (ft)			12.0		0.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5		3.5		
Percent Blockage			0		0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)		246	876				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.98				0.99	0.98	
vC, conflicting volume	864				1190	433	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	816				1064	375	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				100	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	802				217	614	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2			
Volume Total	230	455	547	315			
Volume Left	2	0	0	0			
Volume Right	0	0	0	41			
cSH	802	1700	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.27	0.32	0.19			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	A						
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0				
Approach LOS							
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.0				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		25.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15	.0			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue

	٨	-	7	1	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		et fa			412			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	622	2	17	789	2	0	3	28	4	4	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	4	622	2	17	789	2	0	3	28	4	4	4
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	676	2	18	858	2	0	3	30	4	4	4
Pedestrians					2			3			4	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage					0			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		586			536							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.96			0.99			0.97	0.97	0.99	0.97	0.97	0.96
vC, conflicting volume	864			681			1159	1588	344	1278	1588	434
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	777			656			1045	1489	315	1169	1489	330
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.5	6.5	7.0	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	100			98			100	97	95	97	97	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	812			928			169	117	656	132	117	643
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1						
Volume Total	342	340	447	431	33	12						
Volume Left	4	0	18	0	0	4						
Volume Right	0	2	0	2	30	4						
cSH	812	1700	928	1700	462	170						
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.20	0.02	0.25	0.07	0.07						
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	1	0	6	6						
Control Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.0	13.4	27.8						
Lane LOS	А		А		В	D						
Approach Delay (s)	0.1		0.3		13.4	27.8						
Approach LOS					В	D						
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.7									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		44.9%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane

	٠	-	+	*	1	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		đ¢.	* t ₂		5	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	105	549	703	78	62	105		
Future Volume (vph)	105	549	703	78	62	105		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)		6.0	5.0		6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00		
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Frt		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.85		
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)		3382	3458		1656	1538		
Flt Permitted		0.67	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)		2269	3458		1656	1538		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adj. Flow (vph)	114	597	764	85	67	114		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	2	0	0	105		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	711	847	0	67	9		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	4			4	1			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	6%	2%	7%	9%	5%		
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA		Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	5	2	6		8			
Permitted Phases	2					8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		117.0	118.0		11.0	11.0		
Effective Green, g (s)		117.0	118.0		11.0	11.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.84	0.84		0.08	0.08		
Clearance Time (s)		6.0	5.0		6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1896	2914		130	120		
v/s Ratio Prot			0.24		c0.04			
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.31				0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.38	0.29		0.52	0.07		
Uniform Delay, d1		2.8	2.3		61.9	59.8		
Progression Factor		0.94	0.89		1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1	0.2		3.4	0.3		
Delay (s)		2.7	2.3		65.4	60.0		
Level of Service		А	А		E	E		
Approach Delay (s)		2.7	2.3		62.0			
Approach LOS		А	А		E			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of Servi	ce	А
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.40					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	time (s)		16.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		58.7%	IC	CU Level o	of Service		В
Analysis Period (min)			15					

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace

	٠	-	-	*	1	~			
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR			
Lane Configurations		đ¢.	*1		5	1			
Traffic Volume (vph)	6	605	776	34	14	5			
Future Volume (vph)	6	605	776	34	14	5			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Total Lost time (s)		7.0	6.0		7.0	7.0			
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00			
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			
Frt		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.85			
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00			
Satd, Flow (prot)		3368	3474		1467	1615			
Flt Permitted		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)		3185	3474		1467	1615			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92			J
Adi, Flow (vph)	7	658	843	37	15	5			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	1	0	0	5			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	665	879	0	15	0			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	500	0.0	5					
Heavy Vehicles (%)	20%	7%	3%	6%	23%	0%			
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA		Prot	Perm			
Protected Phases	5	2	6		8				
Permitted Phases	2					8			
Actuated Green, G (s)		121.2	122.2		4.8	4.8			
Effective Green, g (s)		121.2	122.2		4.8	4.8			
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.87	0.87		0.03	0.03			
Clearance Time (s)		7.0	6.0		7.0	7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2757	3032		50	55			
v/s Ratio Prot			c0.25		c0.01				
v/s Ratio Perm		0.21				0.00			
v/c Ratio		0.24	0,29		0.30	0.00			
Uniform Delay, d1		1.6	1.5		66.0	65.3			
Progression Factor		0.61	2,67		1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0	0.2		3.4	0.0			
Delay (s)		1.0	4.3		69.3	65.3			
Level of Service		A	A		E	E			
Approach Delay (s)		1.0	4.3		68.3	_			
Approach LOS		A	A		E				
Interception Summery									
HCM 2000 Control Dolou			2.7		CM 0000	Lovel of Com	100	Δ	
HCM 2000 Volume to Correction	rotio		3.7	Н		Level of Serv	ice	A	
now 2000 volume to Capacity	18110		0.31		una afla i			00.0	
Actuated Cycle Length (S)			140.0	S		t unie (S)		20.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		95.8%	IC		DI SERVICE		F	
Analysis Period (min)			15						

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	* 1>		٢	^	1	7	ħ		٢	ħ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	572	15	43	736	259	18	24	91	207	23	56
Future Volume (vph)	32	572	15	43	736	259	18	24	91	207	23	56
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.88		1.00	0.89	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1640	3361		1736	3505	1436	1797	1635		1612	1651	
Flt Permitted	0.29	1.00		0.36	1.00	1.00	0.70	1.00		0.30	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	504	3361		665	3505	1436	1326	1635		502	1651	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	622	16	47	800	282	20	26	99	225	25	61
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	53	0	91	0	0	49	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	637	0	47	800	229	20	34	0	225	37	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3					3	5					5
Heavy Vehicles (%)	10%	7%	7%	4%	3%	10%	0%	0%	3%	12%	0%	2%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	3	7	4		3	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6		6	4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	82.9	77.3		83.5	77.6	99.3	15.5	11.1		38.8	28.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	82.9	77.3		83.5	77.6	99.3	15.5	11.1		38.8	28.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.59	0.55		0.60	0.55	0.71	0.11	0.08		0.28	0.20	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	343	1855		441	1942	1080	161	129		311	334	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	0.19		c0.00	c0.23	0.03	0.00	0.02		c0.11	0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.06			0.06		0.13	0.01			c0.09		
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.34		0.11	0.41	0.21	0.12	0.26		0.72	0.11	
Uniform Delay, d1	12.5	17.3		12.0	18.0	7.0	56.0	60.6		42.9	45.5	
Progression Factor	1.20	1.18		0.66	0.60	0.20	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.5		0.1	0.6	0.1	0.3	1.1		8.1	0.1	
Delay (s)	15.1	20.9		8.1	11.5	1.5	56.3	61.7		51.0	45.7	
Level of Service	В	С		А	В	А	Е	E		D	D	
Approach Delay (s)		20.6			8.8			61.0			49.5	
Approach LOS		С			А			E			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.3	Н	CM 2000) Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.51									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	st time (s)			24.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		57.3%	6 ICU Level of Service B								
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	٨	→	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	*1.		7	† 1-			¢Î,			÷.	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	3	854	13	12	1030	2	2	0	9	4	1	6
Future Volume (Veh/h)	3	854	13	12	1030	2	2	0	9	4	1	6
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	928	14	13	1120	2	2	0	10	4	1	7
Pedestrians		1									3	
Lane Width (ft)		12.0									12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		3.5									3.5	
Percent Blockage		0									0	
Right turn flare (veh)												4
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		373			407							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87			0.90			0.92	0.92	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.87
vC, conflicting volume	1125			942			1528	2092	471	1630	2098	565
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	843			714			910	1523	190	1021	1530	199
tC, single (s)	4.8			4.3			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	7.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.5			2.3			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.5
p0 queue free %	99			98			99	100	99	98	99	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	536			759			206	107	743	172	106	662
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	3	619	323	13	747	375	12	12				
Volume Left	3	0	0	13	0	0	2	4				
Volume Right	0	0	14	0	0	2	10	7				
cSH	536	1700	1700	759	1700	1700	518	380				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.36	0.19	0.02	0.44	0.22	0.02	0.03				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2				
Control Delay (s)	11.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	12.1	18.0				
Lane LOS	В			А			В	С				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.1			12.1	18.0				
Approach LOS							В	С				
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.2									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		45.5%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	*1		٦	A1.			र्स	1		र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	816	1	29	1009	61	12	0	16	67	Ō	23
Future Volume (vph)	50	816	1	29	1009	61	12	0	16	67	0	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1769	3312		1805	3408			1805	1615		1736	1553
Flt Permitted	0.22	1.00		0.31	1.00			0.71	1.00		0.75	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	403	3312		584	3408			1348	1615		1368	1553
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	54	887	1	32	1097	66	13	0	17	73	0	25
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	16	0	0	23
Lane Group Flow (vph)	54	888	0	32	1161	0	0	13	1	0	73	2
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	9%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4	-	-	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	113.1	107.9		107.6	103.9			11.4	11.4		11.4	11.4
Effective Green, g (s)	113.1	107.9		107.6	103.9			11.4	11.4		11.4	11.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.81	0.77		0.77	0.74			0.08	0.08		0.08	0.08
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	376	2552		481	2529			109	131		111	126
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01	0.27		0.00	c0.34							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11	•		0.05				0.01	0.00		c0.05	0.00
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.35		0.07	0.46			0.12	0.01		0.66	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	3.3	5.0		3.9	7.1			59.6	59.1		62.4	59.1
Progression Factor	0.73	0.83		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay d2	0.2	0.4		0.1	0.6			0.5	0.0		13.2	0.1
Delay (s)	2.5	4.5		3.9	77			60.1	59.1		75.6	59.2
Level of Service	 A	A		A	A			F	F		F	F
Approach Delay (s)		44		71	76			596	-		714	_
Approach LOS		Α			A			50.0			7 1.1	
		7.			~			-			-	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		A			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.46	-								
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0 Sum of lost time (s) 19.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		60.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike

	٦	→	7	4	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	* 1,		7	* 1>			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	8	875	16	12	1059	47	2	0	24	12	0	38
Future Volume (Veh/h)	8	875	16	12	1059	47	2	0	24	12	0	38
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	951	17	13	1151	51	2	0	26	13	0	41
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		198			290							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.73			0.92			0.77	0.77	0.92	0.77	0.77	0.73
vC, conflicting volume	1202			968			1620	2206	484	1722	2188	601
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	527			786			722	1484	259	855	1462	0
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.3			7.5	6.5	7.0	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.3			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			98			99	100	96	93	100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)	764			726			226	94	674	184	97	793
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	9	634	334	13	767	435	28	54				
Volume Left	9	0	0	13	0	0	2	13				
Volume Right	0	0	17	0	0	51	26	41				
cSH	764	1700	1700	726	1700	1700	590	442				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.37	0.20	0.02	0.45	0.26	0.05	0.12				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	10				
Control Delay (s)	9.8	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	14.3				
Lane LOS	А			В			В	В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.1			0.1			11.4	14.3				
Approach LOS							В	В				
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.6									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		43.8%	IC	U Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBL NBR SBL SBR SBR Lane Configurations 1 0 1		٨	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Configurations 1 1 7 4 7 4 7 7 7 7 909 684 2 3 4 510 0 207 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 <t< th=""><th>Movement</th><th>EBL</th><th>EBT</th><th>EBR</th><th>WBL</th><th>WBT</th><th>WBR</th><th>NBL</th><th>NBT</th><th>NBR</th><th>SBL</th><th>SBT</th><th>SBR</th></t<>	Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph) 217 692 2 7 909 684 2 3 4 510 0 207 Future Volume (vph) 217 692 2 7 909 684 2 3 4 510 0 207 Geal Flow (vphp) 1900 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 <td< td=""><td>Lane Configurations</td><td>۲</td><td>*1,</td><td></td><td>7</td><td>††</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>र्स</td><td>1</td><td>ሻሻ</td><td></td><td>1</td></td<>	Lane Configurations	۲	* 1,		7	† †	1		र्स	1	ሻሻ		1
Future Volume (vph) 217 692 2 7 909 684 2 3 4 510 0 200 1900 <td>Traffic Volume (vph)</td> <td>217</td> <td>692</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>909</td> <td>684</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>510</td> <td>0</td> <td>207</td>	Traffic Volume (vph)	217	692	2	7	909	684	2	3	4	510	0	207
Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 <td>Future Volume (vph)</td> <td>217</td> <td>692</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>909</td> <td>684</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>510</td> <td>0</td> <td>207</td>	Future Volume (vph)	217	692	2	7	909	684	2	3	4	510	0	207
Total Lost time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 4.0 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 <	Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Ulii, Factor 100 0.95 100 0.95 100 1.00 1.00 0.97 1.00 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	Total Lost time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4	4.0		8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00	Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.97		1.00
Fipb. ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 Filt Protected 0.95 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 Statl. Flow (port) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Statl. Flow (perm) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92<	Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
FIP Protected 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 FIP Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 <t< td=""><td>Frt</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.85</td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>0.85</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td>0.85</td></t<>	Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00		0.85
Satd. Flow (prot) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.92 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.92 0.93 0.93 0.93	Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95		1.00
Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.93 1.14 0.95 1.0 0.01 10.94 0.9 11% 0.9 9.9 24.9 24.9 24.9 24	Satd. Flow (prot)	1641	3373		1805	3438	1524		1863	1615	3155		1482
Satd. Flow (perm) 1641 3373 1805 3438 1524 1863 1615 3155 1482 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.97 0.76 0 % 0 % 0 % 110 0.76 111 0 110 0 110 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <t< td=""><td>Flt Permitted</td><td>0.95</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td>0.95</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td>0.98</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.95</td><td></td><td>1.00</td></t<>	Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95		1.00
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.91 174 Lane Grup Flow (vph) 236 754 0 8 988 743 0 6% 0% 0% 0% 11% 0 9% 9% Turn Type Prot NA Prem Prot NA Free Split NA Pe	Satd. Flow (perm)	1641	3373		1805	3438	1524		1863	1615	3155		1482
Adj. Flow (vph) 236 752 2 8 988 743 2 3 4 554 0 225 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 174 Lane Group Flow (vph) 236 754 0 8 988 743 0 5 0 554 0 174 Confl. Peds. (#hr) 3 3	Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 174 Lane Group Flow (vph) 236 754 0 8 988 743 0 5 0 554 0 51 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 3 3	Adj. Flow (vph)	236	752	2	8	988	743	2	3	4	554	0	225
Lane Group Flow (vph) 236 754 0 8 988 743 0 5 0 554 0 51 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 3 3	RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	174
Confl. Peds. (#/ht) 3 3 3 Heavy Vehicles (%) 10% 7% 0% 0% 5% 6% 0% 0% 0% 9% Turn Type Prot NA Prot NA Free Split NA Perm Prot Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 4 3 Permitted Phases Free 4 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 3	Lane Group Flow (vph)	236	754	0	8	988	743	0	5	0	554	0	51
Heavy Vehicles (%) 10% 7% 0% 0% 5% 6% 0% 0% 11% 0% 9% Turn Type Prot NA Prot NA Free Split NA Perm Prot Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 4 3 Permitted Phases 5 5.3 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated Green, G (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.23 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			3	3								
Turn Type Prot NA Prot NA Free Split NA Perm Prot Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 4 3 Permitted Phases Free 4 3 3 3 Actuated Green, G(s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9	Heavy Vehicles (%)	10%	7%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	9%
Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 4 3 Permitted Phases Free 4 3 Actuated Green, G (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Effective Green, g (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 <t< td=""><td>Turn Type</td><td>Prot</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td>Prot</td><td>NA</td><td>Free</td><td>Split</td><td>NA</td><td>Perm</td><td>Prot</td><td></td><td>Perm</td></t<>	Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Free	Split	NA	Perm	Prot		Perm
Permitted Phases Free 4 3 Actuated Green, G (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Effective Green, g (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 </td <td>Protected Phases</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		3		
Actuated Green, G (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Effective Green, g (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0	Permitted Phases						Free			4			3
Effective Green, g (s) 18.5 53.9 1.0 35.4 110.0 0.9 0.9 24.9 24.9 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0	Actuated Green, G (s)	18.5	53.9		1.0	35.4	110.0		0.9	0.9	24.9		24.9
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.17 0.49 0.01 0.32 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.23 0.23 Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.78 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.16 0.10 1.00 1.00 1.00 <td>Effective Green, g (s)</td> <td>18.5</td> <td>53.9</td> <td></td> <td>1.0</td> <td>35.4</td> <td>110.0</td> <td></td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>24.9</td> <td></td> <td>24.9</td>	Effective Green, g (s)	18.5	53.9		1.0	35.4	110.0		0.9	0.9	24.9		24.9
Clearance Time (s) 6.2 5.7 5.9 6.4 8.6 8.6 9.1 9.1 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.00 1.00 1.00	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.49		0.01	0.32	1.00		0.01	0.01	0.23		0.23
Vehicle Extension (s) 1.0 <th1.0< th=""> 1.0 <th1.0< th=""></th1.0<></th1.0<>	Clearance Time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4			8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 275 1652 16 1106 1524 15 13 714 335 v/s Ratio Prot c0.14 0.22 0.00 c0.29 0.00 c0.18 v/s Ratio Perm c0.49 0.33 0.00 0.78 0.15 v/s Ratio 0.86 0.46 0.50 0.89 0.49 0.33 0.00 0.78 0.15 Uniform Delay, d1 44.5 18.4 54.3 35.5 0.0 54.3 54.1 39.9 34.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00 <t< td=""><td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>1.0</td></t<>	Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0
v/s Ratio Prot c0.14 0.22 0.00 c0.29 0.00 c0.18 v/s Ratio Perm c0.49 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.78 0.15 v/c Ratio 0.86 0.46 0.50 0.89 0.49 0.33 0.00 0.78 0.15 Uniform Delay, d1 44.5 18.4 54.3 35.5 0.0 54.3 54.1 39.9 34.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00	Lane Grp Cap (vph)	275	1652		16	1106	1524		15	13	714		335
v/s Ratio Perm c0.49 0.00 0.03 v/c Ratio 0.86 0.46 0.50 0.89 0.49 0.33 0.00 0.78 0.15 Uniform Delay, d1 44.5 18.4 54.3 35.5 0.0 54.3 54.1 39.9 34.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00	v/s Ratio Prot	c0.14	0.22		0.00	c0.29			0.00		c0.18		
v/c Ratio 0.86 0.46 0.50 0.89 0.49 0.33 0.00 0.78 0.15 Uniform Delay, d1 44.5 18.4 54.3 35.5 0.0 54.3 54.1 39.9 34.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00 </td <td>v/s Ratio Perm</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>c0.49</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.03</td>	v/s Ratio Perm						c0.49			0.00			0.03
Uniform Delay, d1 44.5 18.4 54.3 35.5 0.0 54.3 54.1 39.9 34.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 21.6 0.9 5.2 7.0 0.7 4.7 0.0 4.8 0.1 Delay (s) 66.1 19.3 42.5 68.1 0.7 59.0 54.1 44.8 34.2 Level of Service E B D E A E D D C Approach Delay (s) 30.5 39.2 56.8 41.7 41.7 Approach LOS C D E D D C Intersection Summary T 0.90 A D C D C HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.90 0.90 30.3 30.3 30.3	v/c Ratio	0.86	0.46		0.50	0.89	0.49		0.33	0.00	0.78		0.15
Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.69 1.72 1.00 <td>Uniform Delay, d1</td> <td>44.5</td> <td>18.4</td> <td></td> <td>54.3</td> <td>35.5</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td>54.3</td> <td>54.1</td> <td>39.9</td> <td></td> <td>34.1</td>	Uniform Delay, d1	44.5	18.4		54.3	35.5	0.0		54.3	54.1	39.9		34.1
Incremental Delay, d2 21.6 0.9 5.2 7.0 0.7 4.7 0.0 4.8 0.1 Delay (s) 66.1 19.3 42.5 68.1 0.7 59.0 54.1 44.8 34.2 Level of Service E B D E A E D D C Approach Delay (s) 30.5 39.2 56.8 41.7 Approach LOS C D E D D Intersection Summary C D E D D HCM 2000 Control Delay 37.3 HCM 2000 Level of Service D D HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.90 30.3 30.3 30.3	Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.69	1.72	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Delay (s) 66.1 19.3 42.5 68.1 0.7 59.0 54.1 44.8 34.2 Level of Service E B D E A E D D C Approach Delay (s) 30.5 39.2 56.8 41.7 Approach LOS C D E D D Intersection Summary C D E D D HCM 2000 Control Delay 37.3 HCM 2000 Level of Service D C HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.90 30.3 30.3 30.3 30.3	Incremental Delay, d2	21.6	0.9		5.2	7.0	0.7		4.7	0.0	4.8		0.1
Level of ServiceEBDEAEDDCApproach Delay (s)30.539.256.841.7Approach LOSCDEDIntersection SummaryHCM 2000 Control Delay37.3HCM 2000 Level of ServiceDHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio0.900.900.90Actuated Cycle Length (s)110.0Sum of lost time (s)30.3	Delay (s)	66.1	19.3		42.5	68.1	0.7		59.0	54.1	44.8		34.2
Approach Delay (s)30.539.256.841.7Approach LOSCDEDIntersection SummaryHCM 2000 Control Delay37.3HCM 2000 Level of ServiceDHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio0.90CCActuated Cycle Length (s)110.0Sum of lost time (s)30.3	Level of Service	Е	В		D	Е	А		Е	D	D		С
Approach LOSCDEDIntersection SummaryHCM 2000 Control Delay37.3HCM 2000 Level of ServiceDHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio0.90	Approach Delay (s)		30.5			39.2			56.8			41.7	
Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 37.3 HCM 2000 Level of Service D HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.90	Approach LOS		С			D			E			D	
HCM 2000 Control Delay37.3HCM 2000 Level of ServiceDHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio0.900.90Actuated Cycle Length (s)110.0Sum of lost time (s)30.3	Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio0.90Actuated Cycle Length (s)110.0Sum of lost time (s)30.3	HCM 2000 Control Delay			37.3	н	CM 2000	l evel of 9	Service		П			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)110.0Sum of lost time (s)30.3	HCM 2000 Volume to Cana	acity ratio		0 90	11					U			
	Actuated Cycle Length (c)		atio 0.90			um of los	t time (s)			30.3			
Intersection Capacity Itilization 72.2% ICLU evel of Service C	Intersection Canacity Litilize	ation		72.2%			of Service			00.0 C			
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min)			15						Ŭ			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

	٦	-	7	4	-	*	1	1	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	* *	1	5	* *	1	ሻሻ	î,		5	et.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	99	928	179	39	947	244	417	202	55	533	88	0
Future Volume (vph)	99	928	179	39	947	244	417	202	55	533	88	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.7	5.3	5.3	8.0	5.3	4.0	7.5	7.5		7.4	7.4	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		0.95	0.95	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.97	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1719	3312	1538	1671	3438	1495	3400	1810		1504	1548	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.97	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1719	3312	1538	1671	3438	1495	3400	1810		1504	1548	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	108	1009	195	42	1029	265	453	220	60	579	96	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	134	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	108	1009	61	42	1029	265	453	271	0	336	339	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	9%	5%	8%	5%	8%	3%	2%	0%	14%	9%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	Split	NA		Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	3		4	4	
Permitted Phases			2			Free						
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.6	34.4	34.4	4.3	31.4	110.0	19.1	19.1		24.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	8.6	34.4	34.4	4.3	31.4	110.0	19.1	19.1		24.0	24.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.31	0.31	0.04	0.29	1.00	0.17	0.17		0.22	0.22	
Clearance Time (s)	6.7	5.3	5.3	8.0	5.3		7.5	7.5		7.4	7.4	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	134	1035	480	65	981	1495	590	314		328	337	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	c0.30		0.03	0.30		0.13	c0.15		c0.22	0.22	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.04			c0.18						
v/c Ratio	0.81	0.97	0.13	0.65	1.05	0.18	0.77	0.86		1.02	1.01	
Uniform Delay, d1	49.9	37.4	27.1	52.1	39.3	0.0	43.3	44.2		43.0	43.0	
Progression Factor	0.86	1.06	2.01	0.98	0.87	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	23.8	20.4	0.5	13.6	40.7	0.2	5.4	20.3		56.1	50.5	
Delay (s)	66.8	59.9	54.7	64.4	75.0	0.2	48.7	64.5		99.1	93.5	
Level of Service	E	Е	D	Е	Е	Α	D	Е		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		59.7			59.9			54.7			96.3	
Approach LOS		Е			Е			D			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			64.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		1.00									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			28.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		85.1%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway

	٠	-	7	4	+	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ካካ	^		٦	^	1				ሻሻ		77
Traffic Volume (vph)	194	1322	0	1	1085	80	0	0	0	96	0	145
Future Volume (vph)	194	1322	0	1	1085	80	0	0	0	96	0	145
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.3	5.8		7.8	5.8	5.8				7.6		7.6
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00				0.97		0.88
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99				1.00		1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00		1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85				1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00				0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3467	3252		1805	3406	1505				3467		2787
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00				0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3467	3252		1805	3406	1505				3467		2787
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	211	1437	0	1	1179	87	0	0	0	104	0	158
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	148
Lane Group Flow (vph)	211	1437	0	1	1179	56	0	0	0	104	0	10
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	11%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm				Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6					4		
Permitted Phases						6						4
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.1	80.9		1.0	71.3	71.3				6.9		6.9
Effective Green, g (s)	10.1	80.9		1.0	71.3	71.3				6.9		6.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.09	0.74		0.01	0.65	0.65				0.06		0.06
Clearance Time (s)	8.3	5.8		7.8	5.8	5.8				7.6		7.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	318	2391		16	2207	975				217		174
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	c0.44		0.00	0.35					c0.03		
v/s Ratio Perm						0.04						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.60		0.06	0.53	0.06				0.48		0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	48.3	6.9		54.0	10.4	7.1				49.8		48.5
Progression Factor	0.74	2.21		0.68	1.36	5.99				1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	1.5	0.4		0.5	0.8	0.1				0.6		0.0
Delay (s)	37.4	15.7		37.3	14.9	42.5				50.4		48.5
Level of Service	D	В		D	В	D				D		D
Approach Delay (s)		18.5			16.8			0.0			49.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			D	
Interception Cummony												
HCM 2000 Control Dolou			20.4		CM 2000	Lovelef	Comulac					
HCM 2000 Volume to Com	oitu reti e		20.4	Н		Level of S	Service		U			
Actuated Quale Length (a)			0.04	0	um of last	time (a)			04 7			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)	tion		F0 70/	SI		t time (S)			Z1./			
Analysis Deried (min)	uon		JO.1 %	iC					D			
Analysis Feriou (IIIII)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive

	٠	→	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ň	* 1,		۲	^	1		4			र्स	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	186	1232	0	6	1031	81	0	0	0	113	0	135
Future Volume (vph)	186	1232	0	6	1031	81	0	0	0	113	0	135
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.6	5.7		7.6	5.7	5.7					7.1	7.1
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00					1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00					1.00	0.98
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00					0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1736	3282		1805	3374	1482					1671	1555
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00					0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1736	3282		1805	3374	1482					1671	1555
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	202	1339	0	7	1121	88	0	0	0	123	0	147
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	133
Lane Group Flow (vph)	202	1339	0	7	1121	49	0	0	0	0	123	14
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							2					2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	10%	0%	0%	7%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm				Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases						2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	18.0	77.9		1.0	60.9	60.9					10.7	10.7
Effective Green, g (s)	18.0	77.9		1.0	60.9	60.9					10.7	10.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.16	0.71		0.01	0.55	0.55					0.10	0.10
Clearance Time (s)	7.6	5.7		7.6	5.7	5.7					7.1	7.1
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	284	2324		16	1867	820					162	151
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.12	0.41		0.00	c0.33						c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.03						0.01
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.58		0.44	0.60	0.06					0.76	0.09
Uniform Delay, d1	43.5	7.9		54.2	16.4	11.3					48.4	45.2
Progression Factor	1.00	0.67		1.29	1.76	1.00					1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	5.9	0.9		5.7	1.2	0.1					16.4	0.1
Delay (s)	49.3	6.2		75.5	30.0	11.4					64.8	45.3
Level of Service	D	А		E	С	В					E	D
Approach Delay (s)		11.9			28.9			0.0			54.2	
Approach LOS		В			С			А			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.5	HCM 2000 Level of Service					С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio			0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	Sum of lost time (s)					28.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			62.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	*	-	*	1	1	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	† †	1	7	^	1	٦	Ţ.			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	168	1143	34	4	952	3	62	37	17	11	4	104
Future Volume (vph)	168	1143	34	4	952	3	62	37	17	11	4	104
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1	9.1	5.6	5.6	8.8	8.8			9.5	9.5
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.95			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3213	3223	1615	1805	3406	1615	1752	1567			1154	1392
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3213	3223	1615	1805	3406	1615	1752	1567			1154	1392
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	183	1242	37	4	1035	3	67	40	18	12	4	113
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	17	0	0	2	0	15	0	0	0	107
Lane Group Flow (vph)	183	1242	20	4	1035	1	67	43	0	0	16	6
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									1	1		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	9%	12%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	19%	6%	70%	25%	16%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.1	59.6	59.6	5.0	53.0	53.0	6.4	6.4			5.5	5.5
Effective Green, g (s)	11.1	59.6	59.6	5.0	53.0	53.0	6.4	6.4			5.5	5.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.54	0.54	0.05	0.48	0.48	0.06	0.06			0.05	0.05
Clearance Time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1	9.1	5.6	5.6	8.8	8.8			9.5	9.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	324	1746	875	82	1641	778	101	91			57	69
v/s Ratio Prot	0.06	c0.39		0.00	c0.30		c0.04	0.03			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01			0.00						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.71	0.02	0.05	0.63	0.00	0.66	0.47			0.28	0.08
Uniform Delay, d1	47.1	18.8	11.7	50.2	21.2	14.8	50.7	50.2			50.3	49.8
Progression Factor	1.27	1.59	1.00	0.84	1.98	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	1.1	2.1	0.0	0.1	1.2	0.0	12.0	1.4			1.0	0.2
Delay (s)	60.9	32.0	11.7	42.0	43.1	14.8	62.7	51.6			51.3	50.0
Level of Service	Е	С	В	D	D	В	Е	D			D	D
Approach Delay (s)		35.1			43.1			57.5			50.2	
Approach LOS		D			D			Е			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay	CM 2000 Control Delay 39.						Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	CM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.73											
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	110.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			34.0					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		65.9%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	† †	1	7	^	1		4			ŧ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	74	1065	32	70	895	77	36	249	31	27	78	28
Future Volume (vph)	74	1065	32	70	895	77	36	249	31	27	78	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.99			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3167	1129	1787	3374	1568		1806			1722	1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1805	3167	1129	1787	3374	1568		1806			1722	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	80	1158	35	76	973	84	39	271	34	29	85	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	22	0	0	53	0	4	0	0	0	27
Lane Group Flow (vph)	80	1158	13	76	973	31	0	340	0	0	114	3
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									1	1		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	14%	43%	1%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	0%	12%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	7.1	41.2	41.2	7.1	41.0	41.0		22.0			9.3	9.3
Effective Green, g (s)	7.1	41.2	41.2	7.1	41.0	41.0		22.0			9.3	9.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.37	0.37	0.06	0.37	0.37		0.20			0.08	0.08
Clearance Time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	116	1186	422	115	1257	584		361			145	136
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.04	c0.37		0.04	0.29			c0.19			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01			0.02						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.69	0.98	0.03	0.66	0.77	0.05		0.94			0.79	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	50.4	33.9	21.8	50.3	30.4	22.1		43.4			49.4	46.2
Progression Factor	1.11	0.82	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	9.4	17.3	0.1	10.5	4.7	0.2		32.3			22.2	0.0
Delay (s)	65.4	45.0	21.9	60.8	35.1	22.3		75.6			71.6	46.2
Level of Service	Е	D	С	Е	D	С		Е			E	D
Approach Delay (s)		45.6			35.9			75.6			66.3	
Approach LOS		D			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			46.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	A 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.9								-			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	uated Cycle Length (s) 110.0								30.6			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		77.1%		ULevel	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Intersection Sign configuration not allowed in HCM analysis.

	٨	-	7	*	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	* *	1	5	* *	1		4.			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	74	1065	32	70	895	77	36	249	31	27	78	28
Future Volume (vph)	74	1065	32	70	895	77	36	249	31	27	78	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.99			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3167	1129	1787	3374	1568		1808			1722	1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1805	3167	1129	1787	3374	1568		1808			1722	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	80	1158	35	76	973	84	39	271	34	29	85	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	22	0	0	52	0	4	0	0	0	27
Lane Group Flow (vph)	80	1158	13	76	973	32	0	340	0	0	114	3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	14%	43%	1%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	0%	12%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.0	39.3	39.3	8.2	41.3	41.3		22.1			10.0	10.0
Effective Green, g (s)	6.0	39.3	39.3	8.2	41.3	41.3		22.1			10.0	10.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.36	0.36	0.07	0.38	0.38		0.20			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	98	1131	403	133	1266	588		363			156	146
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.04	c0.37		0.04	0.29			c0.19			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01			0.02						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.82	1.02	0.03	0.57	0.77	0.05		0.94			0.73	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	51.5	35.4	23.0	49.2	30.2	21.9		43.3			48.7	45.5
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	38.7	33.0	0.1	5.8	4.5	0.2		31.1			16.1	0.1
Delay (s)	90.2	68.3	23.1	55.0	34.7	22.1		74.4			64.8	45.6
Level of Service	F	E	С	E	С	С		E			E	D
Approach Delay (s)		68.5			35.1			74.4			60.8	
Approach LOS		E			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			55.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		E			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.92									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	S	um of losi	t time (s)			30.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		77.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection Sign configuration not allowed in HCM analysis.

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue

	٨	-	7	1	-	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5				4			é.			î,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	0			0			0	0	0	0	0	0
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	0			0			0	0	0	0	0	0
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	100			100			100	100	100	100	100	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1623			1623			1023	896	1085	1023	896	1085
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	0	0	0	0								
Volume Left	0	0	0	0								
Volume Right	0	0	0	0								
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700								
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0								
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
Lane LOS			А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
Approach LOS			А	А								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.0									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		0.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1	7	^	1		4			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1065	36	74	891	77	73	249	31	27	78	28
Future Volume (vph)	0	1065	36	74	891	77	73	249	31	27	78	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5			4.5	4.5
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.99			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3129	1129	1787	3320	1568		1803			1722	1615
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		3129	1129	1787	3320	1568		1803			1722	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1158	39	80	968	84	79	271	34	29	85	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	21	0	0	37	0	3	0	0	0	27
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1158	18	80	968	47	0	381	0	0	114	3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	14%	43%	1%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	0%	12%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	6	0	0	8	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)		49.8	49.8	7.6	61.9	61.9		24.9			9.7	9.7
Effective Green, g (s)		49.8	49.8	7.6	61.9	61.9		24.9			9.7	9.7
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.45	0.45	0.07	0.56	0.56		0.23			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)		4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5			4.5	4.5
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1416	511	123	1868	882		408			151	142
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.37		0.04	c0.29			c0.21			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.02			0.03						0.00
v/c Ratio		0.82	0.03	0.65	0.52	0.05		0.93			0.75	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1		26.2	16.7	49.9	14.8	10.8		41.7			49.0	45.8
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		5.4	0.1	11.7	1.0	0.1		28.3			19.1	0.1
Delay (s)		31.5	16.9	61.6	15.9	11.0		70.0			68.1	45.9
Level of Service		C	В	E	B	В		E			E	D
Approach Delay (s)		31.0			18.7			70.0			63.5	
Approach LOS		С			В			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			33.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.83									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		70.5%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٢	* 1>		٢	† †	1	7	ħ		7	4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	572	15	55	734	259	20	24	91	207	23	56
Future Volume (vph)	32	572	15	55	734	259	20	24	91	207	23	56
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.95	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.88		1.00	0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1641	3361		1736	3505	1468	1805	1635		1531	1566	
Flt Permitted	0.28	1.00		0.35	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	490	3361		644	3505	1468	1805	1635		1531	1566	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	622	16	60	798	282	22	26	99	225	25	61
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	637	0	60	798	212	22	125	0	157	154	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	10%	7%	7%	4%	3%	10%	0%	0%	3%	12%	0%	2%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pt+ov	Split	NA		Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	68	4	4		8	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6								
Actuated Green, G (s)	78.1	72.3		79.5	73.0	94.2	16.0	16.0		21.2	21.2	
Effective Green, g (s)	78.1	72.3		79.5	73.0	94.2	16.0	16.0		21.2	21.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.52		0.57	0.52	0.67	0.11	0.11		0.15	0.15	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	321	1735		416	1827	987	206	186		231	237	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	0.19		c0.01	c0.23	0.14	0.01	c0.08		c0.10	0.10	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.06			0.08								
v/c Ratio	0.11	0.37		0.14	0.44	0.21	0.11	0.67		0.68	0.65	
Uniform Delay, d1	14.7	20.2		13.9	20.8	8.8	55.6	59.5		56.2	55.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.53	0.63	1.88	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.6		0.1	0.7	0.1	0.2	9.2		7.7	6.0	
Delay (s)	14.8	20.8		7.6	13.7	16.6	55.8	68.7		63.9	61.9	
Level of Service	В	С		А	В	В	Е	E		Е	E	
Approach Delay (s)		20.5			14.1			66.7			62.9	
Approach LOS		С			В			E			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			26.1	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	v ratio		0.50		2000				-			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	.,		140.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			24.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	54.7%		CU Level	of Service			A					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.7% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15												

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	* 1+			* 1>				1			1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	3	854	13	0	1042	2	0	0	9	0	0	6
Future Volume (Veh/h)	3	854	13	0	1042	2	0	0	9	0	0	6
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	928	14	0	1133	2	0	0	10	0	0	7
Pedestrians											2	
Lane Width (ft)											12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)											3.5	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		373			407							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.85			0.89			0.91	0.91	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.85
vC, conflicting volume	1137			942			1514	2078	471	1616	2084	570
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	820			692			829	1449	164	941	1456	156
tC, single (s)	4.8			4.3			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	7.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.5			2.3			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.5
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	99	100	100	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	540			767			238	119	765	197	118	697
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	3	619	323	755	380	10	7					
Volume Left	3	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Volume Right	0	0	14	0	2	10	7					
cSH	540	1700	1700	1700	1700	765	697					
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.36	0.19	0.44	0.22	0.01	0.01					
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1					
Control Delay (s)	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	10.2					
Lane LOS	В					А	В					
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0		9.8	10.2					
Approach LOS						А	В					
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		38.9%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	4	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	1		7	*1.			é.	1		é.	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	58	804	1	41	1007	61	14	0	16	83	1	23
Future Volume (vph)	58	804	1	41	1007	61	14	0	16	83	1	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1769	3312		1805	3407			1803	1615		1742	1530
Flt Permitted	0.21	1.00		0.30	1.00			0.67	1.00		0.72	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	398	3312		573	3407			1267	1615		1312	1530
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	63	874	1	45	1095	66	15	0	17	90	1	25
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	15	0	0	22
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	875	0	45	1159	0	0	15	2	0	91	3
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2	1					1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	9%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	108.2	102.8		105.1	100.0			15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1
Effective Green, g (s)	108.2	102.8		105.1	100.0			15.1	15.1		15.1	15.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.77	0.73		0.75	0.71			0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	360	2431		475	2433			136	174		141	165
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01	0.26		0.00	c0.34							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.13			0.07				0.01	0.00		c0.07	0.00
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.36		0.09	0.48			0.11	0.01		0.65	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	4.4	6.7		4.6	8.7			56.4	55.8		59.9	55.8
Progression Factor	0.58	0.59		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.4		0.1	0.7			0.4	0.0		9.7	0.0
Delay (s)	2.8	4.3		4.7	9.3			56.7	55.8		69.6	55.9
Level of Service	А	А		А	А			Е	Е		Е	E
Approach Delay (s)		4.2			9.2			56.2			66.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			Е			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.7	U	CM 2000	Level of	Service		R			
HCM 2000 Volume to Conc	A 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.4					Level UL			D			
Actuated Cycle Length (c)	uated Cycle Length (s) 0.4					time (s)			10.5			
Intersection Canacity Litilize	ation		60.7%			of Service			19.0 R			
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC IC					D			
			15									

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		*1.			*1.				1			1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	887	16	0	1071	47	0	0	24	0	0	38
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	887	16	0	1071	47	0	0	24	0	0	38
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	964	17	0	1164	51	0	0	26	0	0	41
Pedestrians		3			3			1			4	
Lane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		3.5			3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage		0			0			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		186			302							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.73			0.91			0.78	0.78	0.91	0.78	0.78	0.73
vC, conflicting volume	1219			982			1600	2192	494	1704	2176	614
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	572			773			665	1424	235	799	1403	0
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.2			7.5	6.5	7.0	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	100			100			100	100	96	100	100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)	739			741			257	106	687	208	110	795
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1						
Volume Total	643	338	776	439	26	41						
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Volume Right	0	17	0	51	26	41						
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	687	795						
Volume to Capacity	0.38	0.20	0.46	0.26	0.04	0.05						
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	3	4						
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	9.8						
Lane LOS					В	А						
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		10.4	9.8						
Approach LOS					В	А						
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.3									
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		42.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

	٨	-	7	1	-	*	1	t	1	4	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	^	1		^	1	7		1	۲		1
Traffic Volume (vph)	242	1069	34	0	952	40	62	0	17	15	0	104
Future Volume (vph)	242	1069	34	0	952	40	62	0	17	15	0	104
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1		5.6	5.6	8.8		8.8	8.8		8.8
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00		0.85	1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3213	3223	1615		3406	1615	1752		1524	1062		1392
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3213	3223	1615		3406	1615	1752		1524	1062		1392
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	263	1162	37	0	1035	43	67	0	18	16	0	113
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	8	0	0	18	0	0	17	0	0	105
Lane Group Flow (vph)	263	1162	29	0	1035	25	67	0	1	16	0	8
Heavy Vehicles (%)	9%	12%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	19%	6%	70%	25%	16%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm		NA	Perm	Prot		Perm	Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	1	6			2		4			4		
Permitted Phases			6			2			4			4
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.4	87.2	87.2		65.2	65.2	7.9		7.9	7.9		7.9
Effective Green, g (s)	12.4	87.2	87.2		65.2	65.2	7.9		7.9	7.9		7.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.79	0.79		0.59	0.59	0.07		0.07	0.07		0.07
Clearance Time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1		5.6	5.6	8.8		8.8	8.8		8.8
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	362	2554	1280		2018	957	125		109	76		99
v/s Ratio Prot	0.08	c0.36			c0.30		c0.04			0.02		
v/s Ratio Perm			0.02			0.02			0.00			0.01
v/c Ratio	0.73	0.45	0.02		0.51	0.03	0.54		0.01	0.21		0.08
Uniform Delay, d1	47.2	3.7	2.4		13.1	9.3	49.3		47.4	48.1		47.7
Progression Factor	0.90	1.53	1.54		2.04	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	5.2	0.5	0.0		0.8	0.0	2.2		0.0	0.5		0.1
Delay (s)	47.8	6.2	3.7		27.5	9.3	51.5		47.4	48.6		47.8
Level of Service	D	А	А		С	А	D		D	D		D
Approach Delay (s)		13.6			26.8			50.6			47.9	
Approach LOS		В			С			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.55									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			110.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			24.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		57.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

ROUNDABOUT ANALYSIS

Site: 101 [Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road - AM Peak - Preferred]

Future Build - AM Peak Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Rounda	bout Basic P	arameters										
Location	Name	Central Island Diam	Circ Width	Insc Diam	Entry Radius	Entry Angle	Circ Lanes	Entry Lanes	Av.Entry Lane Width	App. Dist	Prop Queued E Upstr Signal	Extra Bunchin g
		ft	ft	ft	ft				ft	ft		%
South	N Pleasant Valley Road	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	2	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹
East	Route 7	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	2	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹
North	N Pleasant Valley Road	86.00	32.00	150.0 ⁴	65.0	30.0	2	1	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹
West	Route 7	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	1	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

1 Program option resulted in zero value (single Site analysis or unconnected Site in Network analysis).

- 5 Not Applicable (single Site analysis or unconnected Site in Network analysis).
- 7 Inscribed diameter value was specified by the user.

Rounda	about En	try and	Circulating /	Exiting	Stream	Parame	ters						
To Approac	Turn h	Lane No	Lane Type	Opng Flow	Opng In FlowHe	-Bunch eadway E	Prop. Bunched	Cap Const Effect	Priority Sharing	OD Factor	HVE for Entry	Critical Gap I	Follow- up Headwa v
				veh/h	pcu/h	sec						sec	sec
South: Environn Entry/Cir	N Pleasar nent Facto c Flow Ad	n t Valley or: 1.10 justmen	y Road it: None										
West	L2	1	Subdom.	395	416	2.00	0.398	No	No	0.925	1.08	5.24	2.86
North	T1	1	Subdom.	395	416	2.00	0.398	No	No	0.925	1.00	4.85	2.65
East	R2	2	Dominant	395	416	2.00	0.398	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.925	1.06	4.13	2.25
East: R Environn Entry/Cir	oute 7 nent Facto c Flow Ad	or: 1.10 justmen	it: None										
South	L2	1	Subdom.	173	177	2.00	0.193	No	No	0.975	1.02	4.90	2.57
West	T1	2	Dominant	173	177	2.00	0.193	No	No	0.975	1.04	4.39	2.30
North	R2	2	Dominant	173	177	2.00	0.193	No	No	0.975	1.04	4.39	2.30
North: I Environn Entry/Cir	N Pleasan nent Facto c Flow Ad	t Valley or: 1.10 justmen	r Road it: None										
East	L2	1	Dominant	855	885	1.15	0.463	No	No	0.904	1.03	4.05	2.82
South	T1	1	Dominant	855	885	1.15	0.463	No	No	0.904	1.09	4.29	2.99
West	R2	1	Dominant	855	885	1.15	0.463	No	No	0.904	1.04	4.09	2.85
West: R Environn Entry/Cir	toute 7 nent Facto c Flow Ad	or: 1.10 justmen	it: None										
North	L2	1	Dominant	493	511	2.00	0.466	No	No	0.957	1.00	4.50	2.50
East	T1	1	Dominant	493	511	2.00	0.466	No	No	0.957	1.06	4.77	2.65
South	R2	1	Dominant	493	511	2.00	0.466	No	No	0.957	1.08	4.86	2.70

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

10 Priority sharing means Follow-up Headway plus Intra-bunch Headway is larger than the Critical Gap.

Circulating Lane Flow Rates							
Lane No	veh/h	Circulating Flow Rate pcu/h	Percent				
South: N Pleasant Valle	y Road						
Lane 1	395	415	100.0				
Approach	395	415					
East: Route 7							
Lane 1	173	177	100.0				
Approach	173	177					
North: N Pleasant Valle	y Road						
Lane 1	349	356	40.2				
Lane 2	507	529	59.8				
Approach	856	885					
West: Route 7							
Lane 1	493	511	100.0				
Approach	493	511					

Roundabout Capacity Model: The SIDRA Standard roundabout capacity model option is in use. This model takes into account the total circulating flow as well as the effect of flow distribution in circulating lanes on the entry capacity results.

Gap Acceptance Cycle Parameters (Lanes)								
Opposed Lane	Cycle Time sec	Blocked Time sec	Unblocked Time sec	Unblocked Time Ratio	Minimum Delay sec			
South: N Pleasant Va	alley Road							
1	20.37	7.94	12.42	0.610	4.5			
2	18.85	6.66	12.19	0.647	3.5			
East: Route 7								
1	29.41	5.34	24.06	0.818	3.1			
2	28.76	4.83	23.93	0.832	2.8			
North: N Pleasant Va	lley Road							
1	14.72	7.81	6.91	0.470	6.2			
West: Route 7								
1	18.47	7.71	10.76	0.582	4.5			

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

Gap Acceptance Cycle Parameters (Movements)							
To Approac	Turn h	Lane No	Cycle E Time sec	Blocked Unb Time sec	olockedUnb TimeTime sec	olocked Mi e Ratio	nimum Delay sec
South: N	Pleasant \	/alley Road					
West	L2	1	20.85	8.35	12.49	0.599	4.8
North	T1	1	20.13	7.74	12.39	0.615	4.3
East	R2	2	18.85	6.66	12.19	0.647	3.5
East: Ro	ute 7						
South	L2	1	29.41	5.34	24.06	0.818	3.1
West	T1	2	28.76	4.83	23.93	0.832	2.8
North	R2	2	28.76	4.83	23.93	0.832	2.8
North: N	Pleasant V	alley Road					
East	L2	1	14.30	7.44	6.86	0.480	5.9
South	T1	1	14.93	7.99	6.94	0.465	6.4

West	R2	1	14.40	7.53	6.87	0.477	6.0
West: F	loute 7						
North	L2	1	17.97	7.28	10.69	0.595	4.2
East	T1	1	18.49	7.73	10.76	0.582	4.5
South	R2	1	18.67	7.88	10.79	0.578	4.7

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL | Processed: Thursday, March 18, 2021 3:51:25 PM Project: \\RICHFS1.bkr.mbakercorp.com\PROJECTS\VDOT TMPD On-Call 2017\Term 2 Task Orders_CHECK RATES ONE PENNY OFF\TO 34 -Route 7 STARS\Work_Files\Analysis\Build\Sidra\Pleasant Valley Road Roundabout\Pleasant Vally Roundabout_Future.sip8

DELAY (CONTROL)

Average control delay per vehicle, or average pedestrian delay (seconds)

V Site: 101 [Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road - AM Peak - Preferred]

Future Build - AM Peak Site Category: (None) Roundabout

All Movement Classes

		Appro	Intersection		
	South	East	North	West	mersection
Delay (Control)	6.6	6.2	10.3	11.5	7.8
LOS	Α	А	В	В	А



Colour code based on Level of Service					
LOS A	LOS B	LOS C	LOS D	LOS E	LOS F

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection). NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Roundabout Level of Service Method: Same as Signalised Intersections

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL | Processed: Thursday, March 18, 2021 3:51:25 PM Project: \\RICHFS1.bkr.mbakercorp.com\PROJECTS\VDOT TMPD On-Call 2017\Term 2 Task Orders_CHECK RATES ONE PENNY OFF\TO 34 - Route 7 STARS\Work_Files\Analysis\Build\Sidra\Pleasant Valley Road Roundabout\Pleasant Vally Roundabout_Future.sip8

Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Start Time	3:30	3:30	3:30	3:30	3:30	3:30	3:30
End Time	5:30	5:30	5:30	5:30	5:30	5:30	5:30
Total Time (min)	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
# of Intervals	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
# of Recorded Intervals	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Vehs Entered	11614	11658	11758	11707	11411	11549	11605
Vehs Exited	11469	11569	11739	11527	11344	11398	11490
Starting Vehs	744	853	731	764	703	675	792
Ending Vehs	889	942	750	944	770	826	907
Travel Distance (mi)	8376	8346	8446	8306	8277	8298	8303
Travel Time (hr)	977.1	1072.4	844.2	944.8	762.8	742.2	1074.3
Total Delay (hr)	729.9	825.7	593.7	698.3	518.0	496.0	827.7
Total Stops	30839	30734	28706	29865	26999	27010	31986
Fuel Used (gal)	475.2	494.8	449.0	464.5	425.5	422.7	494.2

Summary of All Intervals

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Start Time	3:30	3:30	3:30	3:30	
End Time	5:30	5:30	5:30	5:30	
Total Time (min)	120	120	120	120	
Time Recorded (min)	60	60	60	60	
# of Intervals	5	5	5	5	
# of Recorded Intervals	4	4	4	4	
Vehs Entered	11625	11910	11723	11654	
Vehs Exited	11614	11673	11688	11549	
Starting Vehs	827	682	652	730	
Ending Vehs	838	919	687	838	
Travel Distance (mi)	8478	8486	8565	8388	
Travel Time (hr)	899.7	875.2	767.2	896.0	
Total Delay (hr)	649.8	623.4	513.3	647.6	
Total Stops	29792	30662	26306	29296	
Fuel Used (gal)	460.4	453.4	435.7	457.5	

Interval #0 Information Seeding

Start Time	3:30		
End Time	4:30		
Total Time (min)	60		
Volumes adjusted by Gr	owth Factors.		
No data recorded this int	terval.		

SimTraffic Simulation Summary FUTURE PM NO BUILD

Interval #1 Information

Start Time	4:30	
End Time	4:45	
Total Time (min)	15	

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2873	2921	2959	2890	2782	2755	2839
Vehs Exited	2819	2963	2883	2859	2814	2754	2768
Starting Vehs	744	853	731	764	703	675	792
Ending Vehs	798	811	807	795	671	676	863
Travel Distance (mi)	2134	2121	2164	2052	2057	2027	1974
Travel Time (hr)	215.9	216.7	196.5	206.0	177.1	168.4	239.7
Total Delay (hr)	153.4	153.9	132.5	145.1	116.2	107.8	180.6
Total Stops	7236	7372	7381	6965	6646	6339	7329
Fuel Used (gal)	113.8	113.9	111.1	109.2	103.0	100.4	114.1

Interval #1 Information

Start Time	4:30	
End Time	4:45	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by Growth Fac	tors, Anti PHF.	

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2769	2940	2863	2856	
Vehs Exited	2821	2837	2830	2835	
Starting Vehs	827	682	652	730	
Ending Vehs	775	785	685	761	
Travel Distance (mi)	2076	2128	2098	2083	
Travel Time (hr)	209.0	197.3	170.8	199.7	
Total Delay (hr)	147.7	134.4	108.8	138.0	
Total Stops	7430	7050	6068	6976	
Fuel Used (gal)	110.4	109.0	103.7	108.9	

SimTraffic Simulation Summary FUTURE PM NO BUILD

Interval #2 Information

Start Time	4:45	
End Time	5:00	
Total Time (min)	15	

Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	3170	3117	3105	3098	3093	3069	3017
Vehs Exited	3022	2996	3061	3009	2981	3032	2907
Starting Vehs	798	811	807	795	671	676	863
Ending Vehs	946	932	851	884	783	713	973
Travel Distance (mi)	2128	2142	2153	2086	2134	2148	2066
Travel Time (hr)	241.3	257.8	215.8	233.6	187.3	181.1	278.6
Total Delay (hr)	178.4	194.5	152.1	171.6	124.2	117.5	217.2
Total Stops	8017	7381	7392	7488	6933	6750	8045
Fuel Used (gal)	119.3	122.4	114.3	116.7	108.2	106.5	125.0

Interval #2 Information

Start Time	4:45	
End Time	5:00	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by PHR	F, Growth Factors.	

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	3202	3215	3169	3120	
Vehs Exited	3112	3112	3113	3034	
Starting Vehs	775	785	685	761	
Ending Vehs	865	888	741	851	
Travel Distance (mi)	2187	2201	2197	2144	
Travel Time (hr)	218.2	212.5	193.6	222.0	
Total Delay (hr)	153.6	147.1	128.4	158.5	
Total Stops	7241	7468	6924	7366	
Fuel Used (gal)	116.5	115.3	110.7	115.5	

SimTraffic Simulation Summary FUTURE PM NO BUILD

Interval #3 Information

Start Time	5:00
End Time	5:15
Total Time (min)	15

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2784	2815	2861	2824	2799	2855	2876
Vehs Exited	2850	2816	2881	2860	2853	2751	2902
Starting Vehs	946	932	851	884	783	713	973
Ending Vehs	880	931	831	848	729	817	947
Travel Distance (mi)	2093	2068	2039	2096	2072	2027	2076
Travel Time (hr)	253.8	290.8	215.9	249.2	196.4	192.4	280.6
Total Delay (hr)	191.5	229.7	155.1	187.2	134.9	132.3	218.9
Total Stops	8216	8239	6896	7782	6944	7055	8279
Fuel Used (gal)	121.0	127.9	110.8	119.0	107.5	105.5	125.9

Interval #3 Information

Start Time	5:00
End Time	5:15
Total Time (min)	15
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors	, Anti PHF.

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2843	2890	2846	2832	
Vehs Exited	2845	2878	2869	2845	
Starting Vehs	865	888	741	851	
Ending Vehs	863	900	718	834	
Travel Distance (mi)	2121	2080	2097	2077	
Travel Time (hr)	235.3	237.1	205.2	235.7	
Total Delay (hr)	172.5	175.3	142.7	174.0	
Total Stops	7947	8118	6841	7624	
Fuel Used (gal)	116.4	115.7	110.2	116.0	

Interval #4 Information Recording

Start Time	5:15	
End Time	5:30	
Total Time (min)	15	
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factor	ors, Anti PHF.	

Run Number	1	10	2	3	4	5	6
Vehs Entered	2787	2805	2833	2895	2737	2870	2873
Vehs Exited	2778	2794	2914	2799	2696	2861	2913
Starting Vehs	880	931	831	848	729	817	947
Ending Vehs	889	942	750	944	770	826	907
Travel Distance (mi)	2021	2015	2090	2073	2015	2096	2187
Travel Time (hr)	266.1	307.1	216.0	256.1	202.1	200.3	275.4
Total Delay (hr)	206.6	247.6	154.0	194.5	142.7	138.4	210.9
Total Stops	7370	7742	7037	7630	6476	6866	8333
Fuel Used (gal)	121.1	130.6	112.7	119.5	106.7	110.3	129.3

Interval #4 Information Recording

Start Time	5:15
End Time	5:30
Total Time (min)	15
Values a adjusted by Crowth	Containe Anti DUIC

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF.

Run Number	7	8	9	Avg	
Vehs Entered	2811	2865	2845	2824	
Vehs Exited	2836	2846	2876	2827	
Starting Vehs	863	900	718	834	
Ending Vehs	838	919	687	838	
Travel Distance (mi)	2094	2076	2173	2084	
Travel Time (hr)	237.2	228.3	197.6	238.6	
Total Delay (hr)	176.0	166.5	133.4	177.1	
Total Stops	7174	8026	6473	7307	
Fuel Used (gal)	117.2	113.3	111.1	117.2	

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	17.2	17.9	16.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	3.2	0.4	0.6	3.8	0.4	0.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	50.6	72.3	63.8	18.2	9.0	5.8	52.6	52.5	18.5	48.6	52.4	37.6

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Novement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	4.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	35.2

2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NWL	NWR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	1.7	1.0	42.4	26.2	169.4	8.1	13.1

3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	4.6	5.2	5.2	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	37.0	14.2	9.3	23.8	5.1	46.0	52.5	20.9	46.3	36.9	26.1	11.6

4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	23.6	6.0	2.5	1.0	4.3

5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBR	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	
Total Del/Veh (s)	50.5	16.5	12.5	16.1	3.2	1.7	81.4	122.9	9.9	11.6	

6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	8.2	7.2	0.0	0.0	55.3	51.6	10.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	85.6	46.3	5.2	4.3	84.0	32.6	33.8

7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	545.1	574.8	45.6
Total Del/Veh (s)	75.2	54.5	6.1	4.6	263.2	157.5	44.2

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	3.1	4.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	4.6	0.4	0.6	140.1	144.4	145.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	160.6	137.4	121.8	42.3	19.8	10.1	50.9	68.5	53.1	88.7	81.8	64.8

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	23.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	77.3

9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by movemer

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	48.9	3.7	87.1	0.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	50.3	37.4	19.4	29.2	3.0	2.1	247.2	420.7	1636.9	565.8	744.6	31.3

10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4
Total Del/Veh (s)	92.0	57.4	35.3	77.7	4.7	2.0	55.3	46.3	46.2	87.5	90.0	13.0

10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by movement

novement	A
enied Del/Veh (ج)	ة) 4.8
. otal Del/Veh (s)	36.0

11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBR	SBL	SBR	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	2.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	22.8	332.4	224.6	2.4	
Total Del/Veh (s)	36.2	18.3	8.9	6.3	3.8	521.7	272.2	868.5	442.7	20.2	

12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.6	18.7	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	68.7	28.5	20.9	88.1	39.4	13.2	66.9	66.3	18.2	63.1	19.0	36.0

13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.5	73.1	71.2	77.9	2.2	0.0	9.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	99.2	39.2	17.1	188.4	189.0	17.3	128.9	143.0	122.9	70.9	61.7	92.7

14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gatewa	y Performance by movement
---	---------------------------

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	3.3	0.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	63.0	12.4	128.4	66.5	59.8	55.4	27.9	43.2

15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	66.3	11.5	11.2	85.8	55.9	35.4	70.5	81.3	28.6	65.0	58.2	31.2

15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive Performance by movement

Movement	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	
Total Del/Veh (s)	38.7	

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.2	0.2	4.1	4.4	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	50.7	11.2	8.1	63.3	27.5	20.4	54.0	51.5	14.1	54.0	61.2	21.8

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Novement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	21.8

17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.4	2.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	67.9	31.5	15.3	68.5	21.6	5.5	60.0	65.6	44.5	67.6	70.4	15.7

17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	All	
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.3	
Total Del/Veh (s)	31.3	

19: Berryville Pike Performance by movement

Movement	EBT	WBT	SWR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	7.9	5.3	22.6	8.0

35: Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	2.5	0.3	2.6	2.6	0.6	2.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	70.1	33.3	7.1	72.6	25.5	5.7	64.3	63.0	42.8	59.9	58.1	13.4

35: Performance by movement

Movement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.7
Total Del/Veh (s)	33.4

41: I-81 NB Ramp Performance by movement

N 4	NDT	ODT	000	A 11
iviovement	NRI	SBI	SBR	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.3	2.5	2.9	1.6
Total Del/Veh (s)	15.2	13.0	9.7	13.5

42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by movement

Movement	WBT	NBT	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.9	0.3

Total Network Performance

Denied Del/Veh (s)	23.8	
Total Del/Veh (s)	165.6	

Intersection:	1 · N	Pleasant	Vallev	Road	& National	Avenue/Berr	vville Aveni	le
	1.11	i icasam	vancy	Nuau	a national	Avenue/Den	yville Avent	10

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	Т	R	L	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	175	562	96	93	154	282	354	100	281
Average Queue (ft)	51	476	90	79	44	112	146	40	137
95th Queue (ft)	162	655	98	110	105	251	336	95	245
Link Distance (ft)		544	78	78		685	685		461
Upstream Blk Time (%)		22	48	17		0	1		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	225	82		0	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	175				165			100	
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	50			0	2		0	22
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	25			0	2		0	9

Intersection: 2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NW	
Directions Served	Т	TR	LT	Т	LR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	85	87	419	386	31	
Average Queue (ft)	14	18	259	125	7	
95th Queue (ft)	60	72	455	290	26	
Link Distance (ft)	78	78	404	404	278	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1	2	4	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	10	20	1		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	320	288	150	152	65	52
Average Queue (ft)	135	116	67	60	18	23
95th Queue (ft)	318	305	150	140	49	55
Link Distance (ft)	404	404	130	130	228	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	3	2	4	1		0
Queuing Penalty (veh)	13	12	18	6		0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	146	122	170	144	
Average Queue (ft)	40	32	20	11	
95th Queue (ft)	145	133	98	66	
Link Distance (ft)	130	130	280	280	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	10	5	0	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	54	27	0	0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	265	264	238	189	78	18
Average Queue (ft)	110	96	43	14	26	3
95th Queue (ft)	320	310	148	92	85	12
Link Distance (ft)	280	280	447	447	337	507
Upstream Blk Time (%)	12	6				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	63	32				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)						
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	483	479	178	209	70	250
Average Queue (ft)	353	306	38	49	62	166
95th Queue (ft)	574	573	136	164	80	296
Link Distance (ft)	447	447	436	436		223
Upstream Blk Time (%)	28	11				25
Queuing Penalty (veh)	153	62				0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					70	
Storage Blk Time (%)					46	18
Queuing Penalty (veh)					74	24

Intersection: 7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	Т	Т	TR	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	451	439	159	196	89	307
Average Queue (ft)	324	293	44	55	78	212
95th Queue (ft)	556	549	118	144	101	405
Link Distance (ft)	436	436	707	707		291
Upstream Blk Time (%)	12	7				55
Queuing Penalty (veh)	63	39				0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					90	
Storage Blk Time (%)					65	50
Queuing Penalty (veh)					39	57

Intersection: 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	L	TR	L	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	104	735	741	119	288	305	95	100	258	100	400	
Average Queue (ft)	71	646	632	62	141	163	59	45	111	98	325	
95th Queue (ft)	136	900	912	120	267	294	125	99	228	105	453	
Link Distance (ft)		707	707		290	290			356		364	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		29	24		1	2			1		35	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		169	137		4	11			0		0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	105			120			95	100		100		
Storage Blk Time (%)	1	71		2	15	24	1	0	16	37	22	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	5	48		8	15	56	4	1	8	44	66	

Intersection: 9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	120	321	330	53	88	91	57	320	66	
Average Queue (ft)	47	281	267	22	5	5	13	175	10	
95th Queue (ft)	130	390	403	51	39	43	47	420	48	
Link Distance (ft)		290	290		339	339	194	408		
Upstream Blk Time (%)		23	12					12		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		164	83					0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	120			60					90	
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	63		0	0			56	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	2	21		3	0			8	0	

Intersection:	10: Pharmhouse	Shopping	Center Driveway	v/Ross Street &	& Berrvville Avenue
			••••••••	,,	

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	LT	R	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	75	367	395	59	155	164	72	138	231	53	
Average Queue (ft)	28	341	339	46	69	65	25	56	106	18	
95th Queue (ft)	77	412	428	69	159	145	63	113	198	47	
Link Distance (ft)		339	339		127	127	236	236	262	262	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		48	31		11	1			1		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		328	211		72	8			0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	75			60							
Storage Blk Time (%)	1	72		29	7						
Queuing Penalty (veh)	3	23		173	6						

Intersection: 11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike

Movement	ED	ED	ED	\//D	\//D	ND	CD
wovernent	ED	ED	ED	VVD	VVD	IND	30
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	Т	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	32	239	235	216	223	185	152
Average Queue (ft)	3	209	177	40	31	76	90
95th Queue (ft)	18	248	276	146	133	183	210
Link Distance (ft)		127	127	215	215	194	172
Upstream Blk Time (%)		50	24	0	0	9	36
Queuing Penalty (veh)		368	182	1	2	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	35						
Storage Blk Time (%)	2	55		8			
Queuing Penalty (veh)	13	2		0			

Intersection: 12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	LT	R	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	144	323	314	96	545	565	590	71	60	388	333	222
Average Queue (ft)	141	293	276	23	316	360	146	21	21	233	195	104
95th Queue (ft)	173	308	358	71	476	514	472	57	51	344	300	183
Link Distance (ft)		215	215		813	813	813	77	77	495	495	495
Upstream Blk Time (%)		58	28					1	0	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		434	206					0	0	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	145			100								
Storage Blk Time (%)	32	48		0	33							
Queuing Penalty (veh)	183	158		0	6							

Intersection: 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	L	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	240	434	424	250	335	1332	1341	1282	205	442	295	352
Average Queue (ft)	195	333	310	188	145	1114	1133	459	180	376	260	313
95th Queue (ft)	282	478	468	321	352	1539	1531	1299	256	513	364	372
Link Distance (ft)		268	268			1304	1304	1304		404		212
Upstream Blk Time (%)		24	16	0		5	6	0		43		47
Queuing Penalty (veh)		200	134	0		27	32	0		0		167
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	240			250	335				205		295	
Storage Blk Time (%)	8	25	17	1	0	48			2	49	14	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	40	47	71	8	1	34			8	181	49	

Intersection: 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

Movement	SB
Directions Served	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	326
Average Queue (ft)	255
95th Queue (ft)	321
Link Distance (ft)	212
Upstream Blk Time (%)	32
Queuing Penalty (veh)	116
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Intersection: 14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	SB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	L	L	Т	Т	L	Т	Т	R	L	L	R	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	234	246	350	363	70	964	1001	220	220	332	170	161
Average Queue (ft)	123	137	113	125	10	503	569	134	131	114	130	101
95th Queue (ft)	203	215	283	301	44	1187	1223	295	202	268	189	191
Link Distance (ft)			1304	1304		1210	1210		490	490		
Upstream Blk Time (%)						2	2					
Queuing Penalty (veh)						12	18					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	575	575			75			220			170	170
Storage Blk Time (%)					0	30	29	1		2	4	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)					1	3	59	7		8	4	0

Intersection: 15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive

Movement	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	Т	Т	R	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	274	502	437	51	892	925	430	75	187	226	
Average Queue (ft)	214	165	134	5	414	461	122	23	87	95	
95th Queue (ft)	305	404	324	27	1017	1057	405	61	156	179	
Link Distance (ft)		1210	1210		1630	1630		227	326	326	
Upstream Blk Time (%)					1	1					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					4	6					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	275			100			430				
Storage Blk Time (%)	6	2		0	33	13	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	35	5		0	2	15	2				

Intersection: 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	L	TR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	70	98	276	290	156	152	504	537	35	102	51	27
Average Queue (ft)	20	50	94	114	16	13	223	250	1	31	13	3
95th Queue (ft)	55	89	218	236	99	71	452	475	26	79	41	15
Link Distance (ft)			1630	1630			1916	1916			544	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	605	605			175	165			250	200		290
Storage Blk Time (%)				3	0	0	12	10	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				3	1	0	3	0	0			

Intersection: 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	SB
Directions Served	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	76
Average Queue (ft)	14
95th Queue (ft)	49
Link Distance (ft)	376
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Intersection: 17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	220	523	518	260	321	565	515	217	302	196	42	
Average Queue (ft)	26	241	251	70	141	277	253	14	160	96	11	
95th Queue (ft)	105	428	441	222	269	483	443	75	261	173	33	
Link Distance (ft)		1916	1916			786	786		584	442	442	
Upstream Blk Time (%)												
Queuing Penalty (veh)												
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	325			260	335			340				
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	5	10	0	0	4	3	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	1	10	1	0	6	1	0				

Intersection: 19: Berryville Pike

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SW
Directions Served	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	317	322	133	259	473
Average Queue (ft)	55	52	7	52	186
95th Queue (ft)	222	207	73	194	433
Link Distance (ft)	813	813	268	268	329
Upstream Blk Time (%)			0	0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0	3	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 35:

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	Т	Т	R	L	Т	Т	R	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	231	487	464	260	335	522	526	192	307	194	40	
Average Queue (ft)	32	335	270	48	173	349	292	20	164	89	9	
95th Queue (ft)	135	489	416	172	335	554	485	113	290	162	28	
Link Distance (ft)		467	467			493	493		301	224	224	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		1	0			4	1		1	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0	0			0	0		0	0		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	325			260	335			340				
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	9	5	0	0	9	4	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	2	5	1	1	13	1	0				

Intersection: 41: I-81 NB Ramp

Movement	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	376	265	280
Average Queue (ft)	253	110	92
95th Queue (ft)	421	294	284
Link Distance (ft)	212	311	311
Upstream Blk Time (%)	7	3	5
Queuing Penalty (veh)	59	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue

Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5762

1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	17.7	0.2	0.8	1.1	4.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	69.9	13.5	28.3	48.9	35.2

2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB NW	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	1.7 26.3 26.0	13.1

3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.0	0.1	4.8	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	14.5	5.2	42.0	39.6	11.6

4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	6.0	2.4	4.3

5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	16.6	3.5	121.1	9.9	11.6

6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	7.3	0.0	53.3	10.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	51.7	5.1	55.6	33.8

7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace Performance by approach

Approach	EB WB SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.2 0.0 555.3	45.6
Total Del/Veh (s)	55.3 5.9 228.0	44.2

8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	4.4	0.1	1.7	141.3	23.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	138.5	19.8	56.4	84.9	77.3

9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.5	0.0	0.1	71.8	0.9
Total Del/Veh (s)	37.3	3.6	351.3	1034.6	31.3

10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	10.2	0.0	0.2	0.6	4.8
Total Del/Veh (s)	57.9	9.2	48.5	70.9	36.0

11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.1	0.0	21.8	237.5	2.4
Total Del/Veh (s)	18.2	6.2	287.3	508.2	20.2

12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.2	0.2	3.3	0.3	0.2
Total Del/Veh (s)	37.4	29.9	40.3	47.6	36.0

13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.2	0.5	73.2	1.7	9.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	40.1	140.5	131.6	68.6	92.7

14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.5	2.2	0.5
Total Del/Veh (s)	23.2	66.1	38.2	43.2

15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	21.9	54.5	64.2	43.6	38.7

16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.8	0.1
Total Del/Veh (s)	14.0	28.0	46.0	26.9	21.8
17: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3
Total Del/Veh (s)	30.7	25.8	54.6	62.3	31.3

19: Berryville Pike Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	SW	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	7.9	5.3	22.6	8.0

35: Performance by approach

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.7
Total Del/Veh (s)	31.7	29.8	54.1	52.7	33.4

41: I-81 NB Ramp Performance by approach

Approach	NB	SB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.3	2.6	1.6
Total Del/Veh (s)	15.2	12.1	13.5

42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue Performance by approach

Approach	B NB	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0
Total Del/Veh (s)	1 0.9	0.3

Total Network Performance

Denied Del/Veh (s)	23.8	
Total Del/Veh (s)	165.6	

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: N Pleasant Valley Road & National Avenue/Berryville Avenue

	٠	→	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	ţ,		٦	f.		7	1	1	7	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	50	531	52	473	440	29	66	144	508	41	135	34
Future Volume (vph)	50	531	52	473	440	29	66	144	508	41	135	34
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.5	5.5		6.0	6.5		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.5	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1803	1864		1787	1862		1770	1845	1590	1765	1817	
Flt Permitted	0.49	1.00		0.12	1.00		0.32	1.00	1.00	0.47	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	925	1864		227	1862		588	1845	1590	871	1817	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Adj. Flow (vph)	52	547	54	488	454	30	68	148	524	42	139	35
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	115	0	7	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	52	599	0	488	483	0	68	148	409	42	167	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		8	8		2			5	5		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		7	4	1	3	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	60.4	54.7		99.8	87.6		21.2	16.5	56.6	23.7	19.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	60.4	54.7		99.8	87.6		21.2	16.5	56.6	23.7	19.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.43	0.39		0.71	0.63		0.15	0.12	0.40	0.17	0.14	
Clearance Time (s)	6.5	5.5		6.0	6.5		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.5	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	434	728		608	1165		128	217	710	177	246	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	0.32		c0.23	0.26		c0.02	0.08	0.16	0.01	c0.09	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.05			c0.34			0.06		0.09	0.03		
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.82		0.80	0.41		0.53	0.68	0.58	0.24	0.68	
Uniform Delay, d1	24.0	38.3		33.0	13.2		52.8	59.2	32.4	49.6	57.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.97	1.45		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	10.1		7.3	1.0		4.2	8.5	1.1	0.7	7.3	
Delay (s)	24.1	48.4		39.2	20.3		57.0	67.8	33.5	50.3	64.9	
Level of Service	С	D		D	С		Е	E	С	D	Е	
Approach Delay (s)		46.5			29.8			42.5			62.0	
Approach LOS		D			С			D			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			40.4	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.81									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			25.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		91.3%	IC	U Level c	of Service)		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: National Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	-	-	5	←	*	4
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NWL	NWR
Lane Configurations	*1			41	M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1056	24	2	940	2	7
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1056	24	2	940	2	7
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	-
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1135	26	2	1011	2	8
Pedestrians		-•	_	12	10	Ţ
Lane Width (ft)				12.0	12.0	
Walking Speed (ff/s)				3.5	3.5	
Percent Blockage				1	1	
Right turn flare (veh)				•	•	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)				110110		
Upstream signal (ff)	142			470		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.94	
vC. conflicting volume			1171		1668	602
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			1171		1000	002
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			1171		1576	602
tC. single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					0.0	5.0
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
n0 queue free %			100		98	98
cM capacity (veh/h)			598		95	438
			000			100
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NW 1	
Volume Total	757	404	339	674	10	
Volume Left	0	0	2	0	2	
Volume Right	0	26	0	0	8	
cSH	1700	1700	598	1700	254	
Volume to Capacity	0.45	0.24	0.00	0.40	0.04	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	3	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	19.8	
Lane LOS			А		С	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		19.8	
Approach LOS					С	
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delav			0.1			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		43.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Woodland Avenue/Battle Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	*	+	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4 P			đ þ			4			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	20	1034	9	5	909	0	10	6	7	46	5	23
Future Volume (vph)	20	1034	9	5	909	0	10	6	7	46	5	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		6.0			7.0			5.0			6.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt		1.00			1.00			0.96			0.96	
Flt Protected		1.00			1.00			0.98			0.97	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3563			3573			1783			1735	
Flt Permitted		0.92			0.95			0.88			0.80	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3280			3393			1609			1424	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	21	1088	9	5	957	0	11	6	7	48	5	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	15	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1118	0	0	962	0	0	18	0	0	62	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1		2	2		1	1					1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		116.7			115.7			12.3			11.3	
Effective Green, g (s)		116.7			115.7			12.3			11.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.83			0.83			0.09			0.08	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			7.0			5.0			6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2734			2804			141			114	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.34			0.28			0.01			c0.04	
v/c Ratio		0.41			0.34			0.12			0.55	
Uniform Delay, d1		2.9			2.9			58.9			61.9	
Progression Factor		1.74			2.87			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.3			0.3			0.4			5.3	
Delay (s)		5.4			8.8			59.3			67.2	
Level of Service		А			А			E			E	
Approach Delay (s)		5.4			8.8			59.3			67.2	
Approach LOS		А			А			Е			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.42									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	۱		59.5%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Berryville Avenue & Virginia Avenue

	3	-	+	*_	\$	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SEL	SER	
Lane Configurations		44	*1				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	1085	909	58	0	0	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	1085	909	58	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1130	947	60	0	0	
Pedestrians			1		2		
Lane Width (ft)			12.0		0.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5		3.5		
Percent Blockage			0		0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)		246	877				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.95				0.95	0.95	
vC, conflicting volume	1009				1549	506	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	898				1221	366	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				100	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	724				166	603	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2			
Volume Total	379	753	631	376			
Volume Left	2	0	0	0			
Volume Right	0	0	0	60			
cSH	724	1700	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.44	0.37	0.22			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	A						
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0				
Approach LOS							
Intersection Summary							
Average Delav			0.0				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		34.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15	10			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: Chestnut Street/Dunlap Street & Berryville Avenue

	٨	-	7	1	-	*	1	Ť	1	4	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		412			412			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	1078	2	26	968	5	0	1	24	0	0	6
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	1078	2	26	968	5	0	1	24	0	0	6
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	1111	2	27	998	5	0	1	25	0	0	6
Pedestrians					1			2			11	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage					0			0			1	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		587			536							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.93			0.92			0.96	0.96	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.93
vC, conflicting volume	1014			1115			1685	2194	560	1660	2192	512
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	870			962			1311	1842	362	1284	1840	332
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			96			100	99	96	100	100	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	723			668			107	69	591	106	69	618
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1						
Volume Total	562	558	526	504	26	6						
Volume Left	6	0	27	0	0	0						
Volume Right	0	2	0	5	25	6						
cSH	723	1700	668	1700	457	618						
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.33	0.04	0.30	0.06	0.01						
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	3	0	5	1						
Control Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	13.3	10.9						
Lane LOS	А		А		В	В						
Approach Delay (s)	0.1		0.6		13.3	10.9						
Approach LOS					В	В						
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		56.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 6: Berryville Avenue & Baker Lane

	٠	-	+	*	1	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		đ¢.	*1.		5	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	155	947	837	106	131	162		
Future Volume (vph)	155	947	837	106	131	162		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)		6.0	5.0		6.0	6.0		
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00		
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Frt		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.85		
Flt Protected		0.99	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)		3548	3501		1770	1599		
Flt Permitted		0.61	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)		2196	3501		1770	1599		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93		
Adj. Flow (vph)	167	1018	900	114	141	174		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	4	0	0	154		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1185	1010	0	141	20		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	4			4	6			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA		Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	5	2	6		8			
Permitted Phases	2					8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		111.6	112.6		16.4	16.4		
Effective Green, g (s)		111.6	112.6		16.4	16.4		
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.80	0.80		0.12	0.12		
Clearance Time (s)		6.0	5.0		6.0	6.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1750	2815		207	187		
v/s Ratio Prot			0.29		c0.08			
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.54				0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.68	0.36		0.68	0.11		
Uniform Delay, d1		6.3	3.8		59.3	55.3		
Progression Factor		1.83	1.28		1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2		1.0	0.3		8.9	0.3		
Delay (s)		12.5	5.2		68.2	55.5		
Level of Service		В	Α		E	E		
Approach Delay (s)		12.5	5.2		61.2			
Approach LOS		В	A		Е			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			15.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of Servi	се	В
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.70					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	time (s)		16.5
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		78.7%	IC	CU Level o	of Service		D
Analysis Period (min)			15					

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 7: Berryville Avenue & Apple Valley Marketplace

	٠	-	-	*	1	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		41	*1		5	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	41	1037	884	86	113	59		
Future Volume (vph)	41	1037	884	86	113	59		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)		7.0	6.0		7.0	7.0		
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00		
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Frt		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.85		
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)		3565	3523		1787	1615		
Flt Permitted		0.85	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (perm)		3020	3523		1787	1615		
Peak-hour factor. PHF	0,93	0.93	0.93	0,93	0.93	0.93		
Adi, Flow (vph)	44	1115	951	92	122	63		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	3	0	0	56		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1159	1040	0	122	7		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1			1				
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%		
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA		Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases	5	2	6		8			
Permitted Phases	2					8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		111.1	112.1		14.9	14.9		
Effective Green, g (s)		111.1	112.1		14.9	14.9		
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.79	0.80		0.11	0.11		
Clearance Time (s)		7.0	6.0		7.0	7.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2396	2820		190	171		
v/s Ratio Prot			0.30		c0.07			
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.38				0.00		
v/c Ratio		0.48	0.37		0.64	0.04		
Uniform Delay, d1		4.8	3.9		60.0	56.1		
Progression Factor		0.85	2.01		1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1	0.3		7.2	0.1		
Delay (s)		4.2	8.3		67.2	56.2		
Level of Service		А	А		Е	Е		
Approach Delay (s)		4.2	8.3		63.5			
Approach LOS		А	A		E			
Interportion Summer								
HCM 2000 Costrol Dolou			10.0		CM 2000		~	
HCM 2000 Volume to Comercit	u roti -		10.0	Н		Level of Servi	Ce	В
now 2000 volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.53	0	um of last	time (c)		20.0
Actuated Cycle Length (S)	-		140.0	S		ume (S)		20.0
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		/0.0%	IC		DI SELVICE		U
Analysis Period (min)			15					

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	1		٢	^	1	7	ħ		7	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	68	1060	22	97	874	237	49	40	71	295	70	47
Future Volume (vph)	68	1060	22	97	874	237	49	40	71	295	70	47
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.90		1.00	0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3560		1805	3574	1456	1736	1707		1752	1786	
Flt Permitted	0.25	1.00		0.15	1.00	1.00	0.68	1.00		0.34	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	468	3560		281	3574	1456	1240	1707		634	1786	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	71	1104	23	101	910	247	51	42	74	307	73	49
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	47	0	52	0	0	21	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	71	1126	0	101	910	200	51	64	0	307	101	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	5%	0%	1%	9%	4%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	3	7	4		3	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6		6	4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	77.7	71.1		83.3	73.9	97.6	18.0	11.8		41.5	29.3	
Effective Green, g (s)	77.7	71.1		83.3	73.9	97.6	18.0	11.8		41.5	29.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.51		0.59	0.53	0.70	0.13	0.08		0.30	0.21	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	322	1807		269	1886	1077	181	143		377	373	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	c0.32		c0.03	c0.25	0.03	0.01	0.04		c0.14	0.06	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11			0.20		0.11	0.02			c0.10		
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.62		0.38	0.48	0.19	0.28	0.45		0.81	0.27	
Uniform Delay, d1	15.4	24.8		16.4	20.9	7.4	54.8	61.0		42.4	46.4	
Progression Factor	0.70	0.84		0.93	0.43	0.22	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	1.5		0.8	0.8	0.1	0.9	2.2		12.7	0.4	
Delay (s)	11.0	22.2		16.1	9.9	1.7	55.6	63.2		55.0	46.8	
Level of Service	В	С		В	А	А	E	E		E	D	
Approach Delay (s)		21.6			8.8			60.9			52.7	
Approach LOS		С			А			E			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.8	Н	CM 2000) Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	st time (s)			24.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		73.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service	Э		D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 9: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Atwell Avenue & Berryville Avenue

	٨	-	7	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	* 1,		۲	* 1>			ţ,			ŧ	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	33	1360	33	32	1192	7	2	0	2	7	1	14
Future Volume (Veh/h)	33	1360	33	32	1192	7	2	0	2	7	1	14
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Hourly flow rate (vph)	35	1447	35	34	1268	7	2	0	2	7	1	15
Pedestrians											2	
Lane Width (ft)											12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)											3.5	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												4
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		373			407							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.84			0.77			0.85	0.85	0.77	0.85	0.85	0.84
vC, conflicting volume	1277			1482			2237	2880	741	2137	2894	640
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	946			1032			1254	2008	72	1137	2025	187
tC, single (s)	4.2			4.1			7.5	6.5	7.0	7.8	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.4	3.6	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	94			94			98	100	100	94	98	98
cM capacity (veh/h)	599			525			97	45	741	110	44	695
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	35	965	517	34	845	430	4	23				
Volume Left	35	0	0	34	0	0	2	7				
Volume Right	0	0	35	0	0	7	2	15				
cSH	599	1700	1700	525	1700	1700	172	292				
Volume to Capacity	0.06	0.57	0.30	0.06	0.50	0.25	0.02	0.08				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	0	0	5	0	0	2	6				
Control Delay (s)	11.4	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	26.5	21.8				
Lane LOS	В			В			D	С				
Approach Delay (s)	0.3			0.3			26.5	21.8				
Approach LOS							D	С				
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		49.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	←	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	* 1>		7	*1.			ŧ	1		र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	31	1325	13	83	1182	46	20	2	67	93	2	29
Future Volume (vph)	31	1325	13	83	1182	46	20	2	67	93	2	29
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3535		1805	3518			1815	1615		1743	1591
Flt Permitted	0.19	1.00		0.14	1.00			0.71	1.00		0.71	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	364	3535		270	3518			1338	1615		1305	1591
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	32	1380	14	86	1231	48	21	2	70	97	2	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	62	0	0	27
Lane Group Flow (vph)	32	1394	0	86	1278	0	0	23	8	0	99	3
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2	1					1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	103.0	99.2		108.5	100.7			16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0
Effective Green, g (s)	103.0	99.2		108.5	100.7			16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.74	0.71		0.78	0.72			0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	306	2504		294	2530			152	184		149	181
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.39		c0.02	c0.36							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.07			0.21				0.02	0.00		c0.08	0.00
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.56		0.29	0.50			0.15	0.04		0.66	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	5.5	9.8		7.0	8.7			55.9	55.2		59.4	55.0
Progression Factor	0.71	0.62		0.66	0.17			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.7		0.4	0.5			0.5	0.1		10.6	0.0
Delay (s)	4.0	6.8		5.0	2.0			56.3	55.3		70.1	55.1
Level of Service	А	А		А	А			Е	E		E	E
Approach Delay (s)		6.7			2.2			55.5			66.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			E			E	
Intersection Summarv												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of 9	Service		Α			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canaci	tv ratio		0.57		2111 2000	_0101010						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	., 1000		140.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			19.5			
Intersection Canacity Utilization	on		67.7%			of Service			.о.о С			
Analysis Period (min)			15						J			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 11: Shell Driveway/Exxon Driveway & Berryville Avenue/Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	* 1>		7	* 1>			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	1455	26	0	1287	39	2	0	26	4	0	22
Future Volume (Veh/h)	4	1455	26	0	1287	39	2	0	26	4	0	22
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	1548	28	0	1369	41	2	0	28	4	0	23
Pedestrians		3			3			1			4	
Lane Width (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)		3.5			3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage		0			0			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)		198			290							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.70			0.81			0.80	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.70
vC, conflicting volume	1414			1577			2282	2985	792	2206	2978	712
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	734			1235			1004	1887	261	910	1879	0
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			99	100	95	98	100	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	614			460			152	56	597	174	57	759
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	4	1032	544	0	913	497	30	27				
Volume Left	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	4				
Volume Right	0	0	28	0	0	41	28	23				
cSH	614	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	499	507				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.61	0.32	0.00	0.54	0.29	0.06	0.05				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4				
Control Delay (s)	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.7	12.5				
Lane LOS	В						В	В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0			12.7	12.5				
Approach LOS							В	В				
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.2									
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		52.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike

	٦	-	7	4	•	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٢	* 1,		7	^	1		ŧ	1	ሻሻ		1
Traffic Volume (vph)	330	1143	12	18	1050	707	16	5	23	490	0	260
Future Volume (vph)	330	1143	12	18	1050	707	16	5	23	490	0	260
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4	4.0		8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.97		1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1752	3568		1805	3505	1495		1829	1553	3400		1583
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1752	3568		1805	3505	1495		1829	1553	3400		1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	344	1191	12	19	1094	736	17	5	24	510	0	271
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	225
Lane Group Flow (vph)	344	1204	0	19	1094	736	0	22	1	510	0	46
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			5	5								
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	8%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Free	Split	NA	Perm	Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		3		
Permitted Phases						Free			4			3
Actuated Green, G (s)	33.5	79.2		3.3	48.0	140.0		4.2	4.2	24.0		24.0
Effective Green, g (s)	33.5	79.2		3.3	48.0	140.0		4.2	4.2	24.0		24.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.57		0.02	0.34	1.00		0.03	0.03	0.17		0.17
Clearance Time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4			8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	419	2018		42	1201	1495		54	46	582		271
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.20	0.34		0.01	c0.31			0.01		c0.15		
v/s Ratio Perm						c0.49			0.00			0.03
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.60		0.45	0.91	0.49		0.41	0.02	0.88		0.17
Uniform Delay, d1	50.4	19.9		67.5	44.0	0.0		66.7	65.9	56.6		49.5
Progression Factor	1.13	0.87		1.30	0.73	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	14.6	1.1		1.7	8.4	0.4		1.8	0.0	13.5		0.1
Delay (s)	71.3	18.4		89.1	40.6	0.4		68.5	65.9	70.1		49.6
Level of Service	E	В		F	D	А		E	E	E		D
Approach Delay (s)		30.2			25.1			67.2			63.0	
Approach LOS		С			С			Е			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			34.4	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.90									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			30.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		81.8%	IC	U Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 13: Valley Mill Road/I-81 NB Ramp & Berryville Pike

	٦	-	7	*	←	*	1	1	1	4	ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	* *	1	3	* *	1	ሻሻ	î,		5	et.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	187	1041	428	71	1131	499	348	140	59	563	154	0
Future Volume (vph)	187	1041	428	71	1131	499	348	140	59	563	154	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.7	5.3	5.3	8.0	5.3	4.0	7.5	7.5		7.4	7.4	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		0.95	0.95	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.97	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1787	3539	1615	1805	3406	1524	3467	1768		1603	1687	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.97	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1787	3539	1615	1805	3406	1524	3467	1768		1603	1687	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	199	1107	455	76	1203	531	370	149	63	599	164	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	219	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	199	1107	236	76	1203	531	370	201	0	377	386	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	2%	0%	0%	6%	6%	1%	3%	2%	7%	0%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	Split	NA		Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	3		4	4	
Permitted Phases			2			Free						
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.3	54.2	54.2	7.5	47.7	140.0	17.0	17.0		33.1	33.1	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.3	54.2	54.2	7.5	47.7	140.0	17.0	17.0		33.1	33.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.39	0.39	0.05	0.34	1.00	0.12	0.12		0.24	0.24	
Clearance Time (s)	6.7	5.3	5.3	8.0	5.3		7.5	7.5		7.4	7.4	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	195	1370	625	96	1160	1524	420	214		378	398	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.11	c0.31		0.04	c0.35		0.11	c0.11		c0.24	0.23	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.15			0.35						
v/c Ratio	1.02	0.81	0.38	0.79	1.04	0.35	0.88	0.94		1.00	0.97	
Uniform Delay, d1	62.4	38.3	30.8	65.5	46.1	0.0	60.5	61.0		53.4	53.0	
Progression Factor	1.02	1.02	1.74	1.21	0.69	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	62.9	4.2	1.4	26.7	33.5	0.5	18.5	44.7		45.2	36.5	
Delay (s)	126.3	43.2	54.9	106.1	65.3	0.5	79.0	105.7		98.6	89.5	
Level of Service	F	D	D	F	Е	Α	Е	F		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		55.6			48.0			88.7			94.0	
Approach LOS		Е			D			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			62.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		1.03									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			28.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		94.6%	IC	CU Level	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Berryville Pike & Winchester Gateway

	٠	→	7	4	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	**		5	**	1				55	-	77
Traffic Volume (vph)	358	1305	0	10	1331	204	0	0	0	210	0	370
Future Volume (vph)	358	1305	0	10	1331	204	0	0	0	210	0	370
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.3	5.8		7.8	5.8	5.8				7.6		7.6
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00				0.97		0.88
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85				1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00				0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3467	3438		1805	3374	1599				3467		2814
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00				0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3467	3438		1805	3374	1599				3467		2814
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	377	1374	0	11	1401	215	0	0	0	221	0	389
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	317
Lane Group Flow (vph)	377	1374	0	11	1401	154	0	0	0	221	0	72
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	5%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm				Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6					4		
Permitted Phases						6						4
Actuated Green, G (s)	18.6	104.2		2.2	87.3	87.3				12.4		12.4
Effective Green, g (s)	18.6	104.2		2.2	87.3	87.3				12.4		12.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.74		0.02	0.62	0.62				0.09		0.09
Clearance Time (s)	8.3	5.8		7.8	5.8	5.8				7.6		7.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	460	2558		28	2103	997				307		249
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.11	0.40		0.01	c0.42					c0.06		
v/s Ratio Perm						0.10						0.03
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.54		0.39	0.67	0.15				0.72		0.29
Uniform Delay, d1	59.1	7.6		68.2	17.0	11.0				62.1		59.7
Progression Factor	1.01	0.75		1.39	0.62	0.45				1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	5.1	0.4		2.3	1.2	0.2				6.6		0.2
Delay (s)	64.6	6.1		97.4	11.7	5.2				68.7		59.9
Level of Service	Е	А		F	В	А				Е		E
Approach Delay (s)		18.7			11.4			0.0			63.1	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.70									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			21.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		68.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Berryville Pike & Regency Lakes Drive

	٦	-	7	4	-	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٢	^		7	^	1		4			é.	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	285	1220	10	5	1355	119	8	4	5	104	1	182
Future Volume (vph)	285	1220	10	5	1355	119	8	4	5	104	1	182
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	7.6	5.7		7.6	5.7	5.7		8.3			7.1	7.1
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98		1.00			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.96			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.98			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1787	3433		1805	3374	1550		1684			1793	1599
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.98			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1787	3433		1805	3374	1550		1684			1793	1599
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
Adj. Flow (vph)	288	1232	10	5	1369	120	8	4	5	105	1	184
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	5	0	0	0	169
Lane Group Flow (vph)	288	1242	0	5	1369	60	0	12	0	0	106	15
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	5%	9%	0%	7%	2%	0%	25%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases						2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	27.9	96.5		1.0	69.6	69.6		2.4			11.4	11.4
Effective Green, g (s)	27.9	96.5		1.0	69.6	69.6		2.4			11.4	11.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.69		0.01	0.50	0.50		0.02			0.08	0.08
Clearance Time (s)	7.6	5.7		7.6	5.7	5.7		8.3			7.1	7.1
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	356	2366		12	1677	770		28			146	130
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.16	0.36		0.00	c0.41			c0.01			c0.06	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.04						0.01
v/c Ratio	0.81	0.52		0.42	0.82	0.08		0.43			0.73	0.12
Uniform Delay, d1	53.5	10.6		69.2	29.8	18.4		68.1			62.8	59.6
Progression Factor	1.01	0.85		1.09	0.51	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	10.7	0.7		6.4	3.5	0.2		3.8			14.1	0.1
Delay (s)	64.8	9.7		81.8	18.8	18.6		72.0			76.9	59.8
Level of Service	E	А		F	В	В		Е			E	E
Approach Delay (s)		20.1			19.0			72.0			66.0	
Approach LOS		С			В			Е			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			23.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.80									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			28.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		81.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	* *	1	3	* *	1	5	ţ,			áî	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	103	1125	101	25	1362	1	31	5	11	13	5	86
Future Volume (vph)	103	1125	101	25	1362	1	31	5	11	13	5	86
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1	9.1	5.6	5.6	8.8	8.8			9.5	9.5
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.90			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3433	3438	1599	1736	3343	1615	1752	1704			1832	1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3433	3438	1599	1736	3343	1615	1752	1704			1832	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	107	1172	105	26	1419	1	32	5	11	14	5	90
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	86
Lane Group Flow (vph)	107	1172	69	26	1419	1	32	5	0	0	19	4
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	5%	1%	4%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.4	91.4	91.4	4.1	83.6	83.6	5.4	5.4			5.6	5.6
Effective Green, g (s)	11.4	91.4	91.4	4.1	83.6	83.6	5.4	5.4			5.6	5.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.65	0.65	0.03	0.60	0.60	0.04	0.04			0.04	0.04
Clearance Time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1	9.1	5.6	5.6	8.8	8.8			9.5	9.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	279	2244	1043	50	1996	964	67	65			73	64
v/s Ratio Prot	0.03	c0.34		0.01	c0.42		c0.02	0.00			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.04			0.00						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.52	0.07	0.52	0.71	0.00	0.48	0.08			0.26	0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	61.0	12.8	8.8	67.0	19.7	11.4	65.9	64.9			65.2	64.7
Progression Factor	0.94	0.70	1.00	1.04	1.03	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.8	0.1	2.6	1.3	0.0	1.9	0.2			0.7	0.1
Delay (s)	57.6	9.8	8.9	72.6	21.6	11.4	67.9	65.1			65.9	64.8
Level of Service	Е	А	А	Е	С	В	Е	E			E	E
Approach Delay (s)		13.4			22.5			66.9			65.0	
Approach LOS		В			С			Е			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			20.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			34.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		67.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection Sign configuration not allowed in HCM analysis.

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 35:

	٠	→	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	* *	1	3	* *	1		4.			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	1026	102	145	1325	30	47	77	102	21	85	17
Future Volume (vph)	21	1026	102	145	1325	30	47	77	102	21	85	17
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1747			1881	1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1805	3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1747			1881	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	22	1080	107	153	1395	32	49	81	107	22	89	18
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	64	0	0	17	0	20	0	0	0	16
Lane Group Flow (vph)	22	1080	43	153	1395	15	0	217	0	0	111	2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	6%	0%	0%	8%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.9	56.0	56.0	15.1	66.0	66.0		24.9			13.6	13.6
Effective Green, g (s)	4.9	56.0	56.0	15.1	66.0	66.0		24.9			13.6	13.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.04	0.40	0.40	0.11	0.47	0.47		0.18			0.10	0.10
Clearance Time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	63	1362	646	194	1575	761		310			182	156
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.32		c0.08	c0.42			c0.12			c0.06	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.03			0.01						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.79	0.07	0.79	0.89	0.02		0.70			0.61	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	66.0	36.9	25.9	60.9	33.6	19.7		54.1			60.7	57.1
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	3.3	4.8	0.2	18.8	7.7	0.0		7.0			5.7	0.0
Delay (s)	69.3	41.7	26.1	79.7	41.3	19.8		61.0			66.3	57.2
Level of Service	Е	D	С	Е	D	В		Е			Е	E
Approach Delay (s)		40.8			44.6			61.0			65.1	
Approach LOS		D			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			45.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.85									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of losi	t time (s)			30.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		80.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection Sign configuration not allowed in HCM analysis.

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 42: Battle Avenue & Virginia Avenue

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7				4.			f.			î,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	0			0			0	0	0	0	0	0
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	0			0			0	0	0	0	0	0
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	100			100			100	100	100	100	100	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1623			1623			1023	896	1085	1023	896	1085
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	0	0	0	0								
Volume Left	0	0	0	0								
Volume Right	0	0	0	0								
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700								
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0								
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
Lane LOS			А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
Approach LOS			А	А								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.0									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		0.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 35:

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	* *	1	3	* *	1		4.			<u>ل</u>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	1026	102	145	1325	30	47	77	102	21	85	17
Future Volume (vph)	21	1026	102	145	1325	30	47	77	102	21	85	17
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1747			1881	1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1805	3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1747			1881	1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	22	1080	107	153	1395	32	49	81	107	22	89	18
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	64	0	0	17	0	20	0	0	0	16
Lane Group Flow (vph)	22	1080	43	153	1395	15	0	217	0	0	111	2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	6%	0%	0%	8%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.9	56.0	56.0	15.1	66.0	66.0		24.9			13.6	13.6
Effective Green, g (s)	4.9	56.0	56.0	15.1	66.0	66.0		24.9			13.6	13.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.04	0.40	0.40	0.11	0.47	0.47		0.18			0.10	0.10
Clearance Time (s)	9.3	6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	63	1362	646	194	1575	761		310			182	156
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.32		c0.08	c0.42			c0.12			c0.06	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.03			0.01						0.00
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.79	0.07	0.79	0.89	0.02		0.70			0.61	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	66.0	36.9	25.9	60.9	33.6	19.7		54.1			60.7	57.1
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	3.3	4.8	0.2	18.8	7.7	0.0		7.0			5.7	0.0
Delay (s)	69.3	41.7	26.1	79.7	41.3	19.8		61.0			66.3	57.2
Level of Service	Е	D	С	E	D	В		E			Е	E
Approach Delay (s)		40.8			44.6			61.0			65.1	
Approach LOS		D			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			45.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.85									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			30.6			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		80.4%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Greenwood Road/First Woods Drive & Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	4	+	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44	1	3	**	1		4		-	1.	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1026	102	170	1300	30	47	82	102	21	90	17
Future Volume (vph)	0	1026	102	170	1300	30	47	82	102	21	90	17
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00			0.95	0.95
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1750			1784	1534
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.99			0.99	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		3406	1615	1805	3343	1615		1750			1784	1534
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1080	107	179	1368	32	49	86	107	22	95	18
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	63	0	0	13	0	20	0	0	0	15
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1080	44	179	1368	19	0	222	0	0	119	1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	6%	0%	0%	8%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Split	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		6		5	2		4	4		3	3	
Permitted Phases			6			2						3
Actuated Green, G (s)		58.1	58.1	17.5	84.7	84.7		21.2			12.8	12.8
Effective Green, g (s)		58.1	58.1	17.5	84.7	84.7		21.2			12.8	12.8
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.42	0.42	0.12	0.61	0.61		0.15			0.09	0.09
Clearance Time (s)		6.6	6.6	8.6	6.1	6.1		8.6			6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1413	670	225	2022	977		265			163	140
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.32		0.10	c0.41			c0.13			c0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.03			0.01						0.00
v/c Ratio		0.76	0.07	0.80	0.68	0.02		0.84			0.73	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1		35.1	24.6	59.5	18.5	11.1		57.7			61.9	57.8
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		4.0	0.2	17.4	1.8	0.0		19.9			15.4	0.0
Delay (s)		39.1	24.8	76.9	20.3	11.1		77.6			77.3	57.9
Level of Service		D	С	E	С	В		Е			E	E
Approach Delay (s)		37.8			26.6			77.6			75.0	
Approach LOS		D			С			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			36.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.80									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			30.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	า		77.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Elm Street/Fort Collier Road & Berryville Avenue

	٨	-	7	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	* 1>		٦	^	1	٦	ţ,		٦	\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	68	1060	22	129	872	237	51	40	71	295	70	47
Future Volume (vph)	68	1060	22	129	872	237	51	40	71	295	70	47
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.95	0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.90		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3560		1805	3574	1482	1736	1707		1665	1685	
Flt Permitted	0.26	1.00		0.13	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	485	3560		248	3574	1482	1736	1707		1665	1685	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	71	1104	23	134	908	247	53	42	74	307	73	49
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	71	1126	0	134	908	188	53	116	0	215	214	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	5%	0%	1%	9%	4%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pt+ov	Split	NA		Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	68	4	4		8	8	
Permitted Phases	2			6								
Actuated Green, G (s)	72.5	67.4		83.7	73.0	96.6	14.3	14.3		23.6	23.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	72.5	67.4		83.7	73.0	96.6	14.3	14.3		23.6	23.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.52	0.48		0.60	0.52	0.69	0.10	0.10		0.17	0.17	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	299	1713		267	1863	1022	177	174		280	284	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	c0.32		c0.04	c0.25	0.13	0.03	c0.07		c0.13	0.13	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11			0.26								
v/c Ratio	0.24	0.66		0.50	0.49	0.18	0.30	0.67		0.77	0.75	
Uniform Delay, d1	17.6	27.5		18.4	21.5	7.7	58.2	60.6		55.6	55.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.16	0.26	0.48	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	2.0		1.3	0.8	0.1	1.0	9.3		11.9	10.8	
Delay (s)	18.0	29.5		22.7	6.5	3.8	59.2	69.8		67.5	66.2	
Level of Service	В	C		С	A	A	E	E		E	E	
Approach Delay (s)		28.8			7.6			66.5			66.9	
Approach LOS		С			A			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			27.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.67									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			24.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		70.3%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Pharmhouse Shopping Center Driveway/Ross Street & Berryville Avenue

	٠	→	7	1	+	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	* 1,		7	* 1+			÷.	1		र्च	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	35	1314	13	83	1180	46	22	2	67	104	3	29
Future Volume (vph)	35	1314	13	83	1180	46	22	2	67	104	3	29
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1805	3535		1805	3518			1814	1615		1744	1592
Flt Permitted	0.19	1.00		0.14	1.00			0.68	1.00		0.71	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	352	3535		275	3518			1299	1615		1305	1592
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	1369	14	86	1229	48	23	2	70	108	3	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	61	0	0	26
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1383	0	86	1276	0	0	25	9	0	111	4
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2	1					1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	103.3	98.3		105.8	98.3			17.2	17.2		17.2	17.2
Effective Green, g (s)	103.3	98.3		105.8	98.3			17.2	17.2		17.2	17.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.74	0.70		0.76	0.70			0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	8.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	311	2482		289	2470			159	198		160	195
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.39		c0.02	0.36							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.08			0.21				0.02	0.01		c0.09	0.00
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.56		0.30	0.52			0.16	0.04		0.69	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	5.7	10.2		7.4	9.7			54.9	54.1		58.9	54.0
Progression Factor	0.71	0.53		0.69	0.39			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1	0.7		0.4	0.5			0.5	0.1		12.3	0.0
Delay (s)	4.2	6.2		5.5	4.4			55.4	54.2		71.1	54.0
Level of Service	А	А		А	А			Е	D		E	D
Approach Delay (s)		6.1			4.4			54.5			67.5	
Approach LOS		А			А			D			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.7	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	ty ratio		0.57									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			19.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		68.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 12: Driveway/I-81 SB Ramp & Berryville Pike

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	*1.		7	^	1		ŧ	1	ሻሻ		1
Traffic Volume (vph)	330	1143	12	18	1050	707	16	5	23	490	0	260
Future Volume (vph)	330	1143	12	18	1050	707	16	5	23	490	0	260
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4	4.0		8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.97		1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1752	3568		1805	3505	1495		1829	1553	3400		1583
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1752	3568		1805	3505	1495		1829	1553	3400		1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	344	1191	12	19	1094	736	17	5	24	510	0	271
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	227
Lane Group Flow (vph)	344	1204	0	19	1094	736	0	22	1	510	0	44
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			5	5								
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	8%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Free	Split	NA	Perm	Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		3		
Permitted Phases						Free			4			3
Actuated Green, G (s)	29.4	80.6		3.3	53.5	140.0		4.0	4.0	22.8		22.8
Effective Green, g (s)	29.4	80.6		3.3	53.5	140.0		4.0	4.0	22.8		22.8
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.21	0.58		0.02	0.38	1.00		0.03	0.03	0.16		0.16
Clearance Time (s)	6.2	5.7		5.9	6.4			8.6	8.6	9.1		9.1
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	367	2054		42	1339	1495		52	44	553		257
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.20	0.34		0.01	c0.31			0.01		c0.15		
v/s Ratio Perm						c0.49			0.00			0.03
v/c Ratio	0.94	0.59		0.45	0.82	0.49		0.42	0.02	0.92		0.17
Uniform Delay, d1	54.4	19.0		67.5	38.9	0.0		66.9	66.1	57.7		50.5
Progression Factor	0.98	1.27		1.12	0.93	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	28.1	1.1		1.7	3.8	0.4		2.0	0.1	20.7		0.1
Delay (s)	81.4	25.3		77.5	39.9	0.4		68.9	66.1	78.5		50.6
Level of Service	F	С		E	D	А		E	E	E		D
Approach Delay (s)		37.8			24.5			67.4			68.8	
Approach LOS		D			С			Е			Е	
Intersection Summarv												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			38.0	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canacity	v ratio		0.90		2000	_0101010			5			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	, 1000		140.0	S	im of lost	t time (s)			30.3			
Intersection Canacity Utilizatio	n		81.8%		ULevel	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15						_			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 16: Blossom Drive/Millbrook Drive & Berryville Pike

	٨	-	7	*	+	*	1	t	1	4	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ካካ	^	1		^	1	7		1	۲		1
Traffic Volume (vph)	124	1104	101	0	1362	2	31	0	11	13	0	86
Future Volume (vph)	124	1104	101	0	1362	2	31	0	11	13	0	86
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1		5.6	5.6	8.8		8.8	8.8		8.8
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00		0.85	1.00		0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3433	3438	1599		3343	1615	1752		1615	1805		1615
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3433	3438	1599		3343	1615	1752		1615	1805		1615
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	129	1150	105	0	1419	2	32	0	11	14	0	90
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	16	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	0	86
Lane Group Flow (vph)	129	1150	89	0	1419	1	32	0	1	14	0	4
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	5%	1%	4%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Perm		NA	Perm	Prot		Perm	Prot		Perm
Protected Phases	1	6			2		4			4		
Permitted Phases			6			2			4			4
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.7	118.7	118.7		100.4	100.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.4
Effective Green, g (s)	8.7	118.7	118.7		100.4	100.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.85	0.85		0.72	0.72	0.05		0.05	0.05		0.05
Clearance Time (s)	10.1	6.1	6.1		5.6	5.6	8.8		8.8	8.8		8.8
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	213	2914	1355		2397	1158	80		73	82		73
v/s Ratio Prot	0.04	c0.33			c0.42		c0.02			0.01		
v/s Ratio Perm			0.06			0.00			0.00			0.00
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.39	0.07		0.59	0.00	0.40		0.01	0.17		0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	64.0	2.4	1.7		9.7	5.6	64.9		63.8	64.2		63.9
Progression Factor	0.95	0.95	1.66		0.31	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	3.0	0.4	0.1		0.8	0.0	1.2		0.0	0.4		0.1
Delay (s)	63.6	2.7	2.9		3.8	5.6	66.1		63.8	64.6		64.0
Level of Service	Е	А	А		А	А	Е		Е	Е		E
Approach Delay (s)		8.4			3.8			65.5			64.1	
Approach LOS		А			А			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.59									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			140.0	S	um of losi	t time (s)			24.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		66.4%	IC	U Level	of Service	•		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

ROUNDABOUT ANALYSIS

Site: 102 [Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road - PM Peak - Preferred]

Future Build - PM Peak Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Rounda	Roundabout Basic Parameters														
Location	Name	Central Island Diam	Circ Width	Insc Diam	Entry Radius	Entry Angle	Circ Lanes	Entry Lanes	Av.Entry Lane Width	App. Dist	Prop Queued l Upstr Signal	Extra Bunchin g			
		ft	ft	ft	ft				ft	ft		%			
South	N Pleasant Vallev Road	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	2	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹			
East	Route 7	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	2	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹			
North	N Pleasant Valley Road	86.00	32.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	2	1	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹			
West	Route 7	86.00	16.00	150.0 ⁷	65.0	30.0	1	1	13.00	1600.0	NA ⁵	0.0 ¹			

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

1 Program option resulted in zero value (single Site analysis or unconnected Site in Network analysis).

- 5 Not Applicable (single Site analysis or unconnected Site in Network analysis).
- 7 Inscribed diameter value was specified by the user.

Rounda	about En	try and	Circulating	Exiting	Stream	Parame	ters						
To Approac	Turn h	Lane No	Lane Type	Opng Flow	Opng In FlowHe	-Bunch eadway E	Prop. Bunched	Cap Const Effect	Priority Sharing	OD Factor	HVE for Entry	Critical Gap I	Follow- up Headwa v
				veh/h	pcu/h	sec						sec	sec
South: Environr Entry/Cir	N Pleasar nent Facto rc Flow Ad	n t Valle or: 1.10 justmer	y Road it: None										
West	L2	1	Subdom.	641	642	2.00	0.550	No	No	0.816	1.02	4.64	2.62
North	T1	1	Subdom.	641	642	2.00	0.550	No	No	0.816	1.03	4.68	2.65
East	R2	2	Dominant	641	642	2.00	0.550	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.816	1.01	3.65	2.06
East: R Environr Entry/Cir	oute 7 nent Facto rc Flow Ad	or: 1.10 justmer	it: None										
South	L2	1	Dominant	268	274	2.00	0.283	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.953	1.01	4.13	2.20
West	T1	2	Subdom.	268	274	2.00	0.283	No	No	0.953	1.01	4.54	2.42
North	R2	2	Subdom.	268	274	2.00	0.283	No	No	0.953	1.00	4.49	2.40
North: I Environr Entry/Cir	N Pleasan nent Facto rc Flow Ad	it Valley or: 1.10 justmer	r Road it: None										
East	L2	1	Dominant	1009	1020	1.03	0.475	No	No	0.848	1.02	3.87	2.74
South	T1	1	Dominant	1009	1020	1.03	0.475	No	No	0.848	1.01	3.83	2.72
West	R2	1	Dominant	1009	1020	1.03	0.475	No	No	0.848	1.03	3.91	2.77
West: F Environr Entry/Cir	Route 7 nent Facto rc Flow Ad	or: 1.10 justmer	it: None										
North	L2	1	Dominant	669	676	2.00	0.570	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.910	1.00	4.29	2.43
East	T1	1	Dominant	669	676	2.00	0.570	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.910	1.00	4.29	2.43
South	R2	1	Dominant	669	676	2.00	0.570	No	Yes ¹⁰	0.910	1.02	4.37	2.48

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

10 Priority sharing means Follow-up Headway plus Intra-bunch Headway is larger than the Critical Gap.

Circulating Lane Fle	ow Rate	S	
Lane No	veh/h	Circulating Flow Rate pcu/h	Percent
South: N Pleasant Valle	ey Road		
Lane 1	641	642	100.0
Approach	641	642	
East: Route 7			
Lane 1	268	274	100.0
Approach	268	274	
North: N Pleasant Valle	ey Road		
Lane 1	488	493	48.3
Lane 2	522	528	51.7
Approach	1010	1021	
West: Route 7			
Lane 1	669	676	100.0
Approach	669	676	

Roundabout Capacity Model: The SIDRA Standard roundabout capacity model option is in use. This model takes into account the total circulating flow as well as the effect of flow distribution in circulating lanes on the entry capacity results.

Gap Acceptance Cycle Parameters (Lanes)														
Opposed Lane	Cycle Time sec	Blocked Time sec	Unblocked Time sec	Unblocked Time Ratio	Minimum Delay sec									
South: N Pleasant Va	lley Road													
1	21.29	11.96	9.33	0.438	6.0									
2	18.73	9.70	9.04	0.482	4.3									
East: Route 7														
1	22.06	5.42	16.65	0.754	2.9									
2	22.65	5.90	16.75	0.740	3.3									
North: N Pleasant Va	lley Road													
1	14.33	8.20	6.13	0.428	6.4									
West: Route 7														
1	18.29	9.35	8.94	0.489	5.0									

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

Gap Acceptance Cycle Parameters (Movements)													
To Approac	Turn h	Lane No	Cycle Time sec	BlockedUn Time sec	blockedUn TimeTin sec	blocked M ne Ratio	linimum Delay sec						
South: N	Pleasant \	/alley Road											
West	L2	1	21.21	11.89	9.32	0.439	6.0						
North	T1	1	21.33	12.00	9.33	0.437	6.0						
East	R2	2	18.73	9.70	9.04	0.482	4.3						
East: Ro	ute 7												
South	L2	1	22.06	5.42	16.65	0.754	2.9						
West	T1	2	22.66	5.90	16.76	0.740	3.3						
North	R2	2	22.59	5.85	16.74	0.741	3.2						
North: N	Pleasant V	/alley Road											
East	L2	1	14.39	8.25	6.13	0.426	6.4						
South	T1	1	14.27	8.15	6.12	0.429	6.3						

West	R2	1	14.50	8.35	6.15	0.424	6.5
West: R	Route 7						
North	L2	1	18.27	9.33	8.94	0.489	5.0
East	T1	1	18.27	9.33	8.94	0.489	5.0
South	R2	1	18.48	9.51	8.97	0.485	5.1

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL | Processed: Thursday, March 18, 2021 3:49:32 PM Project: \\RICHFS1.bkr.mbakercorp.com\PROJECTS\VDOT TMPD On-Call 2017\Term 2 Task Orders_CHECK RATES ONE PENNY OFF\TO 34 -Route 7 STARS\Work_Files\Analysis\Build\Sidra\Pleasant Valley Road Roundabout\Pleasant Vally Roundabout_Future.sip8

DELAY (CONTROL)

Average control delay per vehicle, or average pedestrian delay (seconds)

V Site: 102 [Route 7 at Pleasant Valley Road - PM Peak - Preferred]

Future Build - PM Peak Site Category: (None) Roundabout

All Movement Classes

		Intersection			
	South	East	North	West	Intersection
Delay (Control)	13.1	7.4	12.2	37.2	17.0
LOS	В	А	В	D	В



Colour code based on Level of Service						
LOS A	LOS B	LOS C	LOS D	LOS E	LOS F	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay & v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). LOS F will result if v/c > 1 irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection). NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Roundabout Level of Service Method: Same as Signalised Intersections

HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL | Processed: Thursday, March 18, 2021 3:49:32 PM

APPENDIX C. DETAILED CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATES





Route 7 & N Pleasant Valley Roundabout Opinion of Probable Project Costs - Dec 2020

Description Nobilization Items				_		
viobilization items	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost		Extension	Item Comments/Assumptions
Aphilization	16	1	ć 169.304	ć	169 204	(Project Tate) 1 000 0001859/ 00 000, of all items 1 209/ upaces unted for items loss mobilization
N Supreving	15	1	5 106,234 ¢ 55,217	э с	55 217	(Project Total-1,000,000) 5%+80,000 of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization
MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL			5 55,517	Ś	223 611	276 of all items + 20% diraccounted for items iess mobilization
Agintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items				Ŷ	225,011	
Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$ 307,288	\$	307,288	15% of Roadway items, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other it
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL				\$	307,288	
toadway Items						
M-12.5D	TON	700	\$ 150.00	\$	105,000	10607 District Avg Microstation Quantities
M-12.5D (Overlay)	TON	400	\$ 150.00	\$	60,000	10607
M-19.0D	TON	1,100	\$ 115.00	\$	126,500	10610
M-25.0D	TON	1,300	\$ 107.00	\$	139,100	10643
tone 21B	TON	3,700	\$ 36.00	\$	133,200	10100 District Avg Microstation Quantities
aw Cutting	LF	1,000	\$ 50.00	\$	50,000	68576 District Avg
urb and Gutter (CG-6)	LF	2,300	\$ 33.66	Ş	77,418	12600 District Avg
Jurb (Truck Apron, Mod. CG-3)	LF	300	\$ 25.00	\$	7,500	CG-3 - 12030 District Avg
urb (Central Island, CG-2)		200	\$ 135.71	\$	27,142	LG-2 - 12020 District Avg
ruck Apron	ST	400	\$ 200.00	Ş	80,000	Estimated
plitter Islands	ST	1,000	\$ 90.00	Ş	45,000	MS-1 - 21020 District Avg
levible Pavement Planning 0"-2"	ST CV	4,000	\$ 10.00	э с	40,000	ISTICLARS
avement Demolition	sy	700	\$ 10.00	Ś	7 000	Estimated
ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL	51	700	÷ 10.00	Ś	958,450	
Avdraulics Items	_			Ŧ	,	
torm Sewer Pipe (assume 24" avg size)	LF	2.200	\$ 105.49	Ś	232.078	1242 District Ave
torm Structures	EA	20	\$ 5,500.00	\$	110,000	Estimated
Inderdrain UD-4	LF	2,300	\$ 10.19	\$	23,437	588 District Avg
WM/BMP	LS	1	\$ 100,000.00	\$	100,000	
&S Controls	LS	1	\$ 49,966	\$	49,966	3% of Roadway items, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other ite
HYDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL				\$	515,481	
n-Plan Utilities Items						
	LS		\$ -	\$		See Utilities Tab Estimated
IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	
raffic Items						
aint/Striping	LF	8,300	\$ 2.85	\$	23,655	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4" State Avg
avement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA	45	\$ 300.00	\$	13,500	Estimated Left-turn arrows, stop bars
raffic Signal Demo	EA	1	\$ 50,000.00	Ş	50,000	50108 District Average
	1.5	1	\$ 100,000.00	ç	197 155	
tructures/Bridges Items				Ş	107,133	
STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL				Ś		
arthwork/Materials Items	_		I			
legular Excavation	CY	7,100	\$ 25.00	\$	177,500	120
orrow Excavation	CY	2.100	\$ 40.00	Ś	84.000	140 17.5
Insuitable Materials	CY	3,800	\$ 20.00	¢	76,000	Estimated
FARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL	C1	3,000	20.00	Ś	337,500	
ound Wall Items	-					
SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL				Ś		
Other Items						
lear and Grubbing	ACRE	0.00		\$	-	
	LS	1	\$ 50,000	\$	50,000	
andscaping				ć		
andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL				Ş	50,000	
andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL				\$	50,000	
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL				\$	2,579,000	
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL ionstruction Totals				\$	2,579,000	
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Inaccounted for Items (20%)	LS	1	\$ 410,000	\$ \$	2,579,000 410,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL ionstruction Totals ionstruction Contract Total ionstruction Contract Total	LS	1	\$ 410,000	\$ \$ \$	2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Inaccounted for Items (20%) Construction Contingency (25%) Construction Contingency (25%)	LS	1	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal
MAJOR ITEMS SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Onstruction Totals Jnaccounted for Items (20%) Construction Contract Total Jonstruction Contingency (25%) Iontract Contingency (5%)	LS LS LS	1	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Inaccounted for Items (20%) Construction Contingency (25%) Construction Contingency (25%) Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,579,000 2,579,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Jnaccounted for Items (20%) Construction Contingency (25%) Contract Contingency (5%) Contract Contingency (5%) Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Jonstruction Totals Jonstruction Contract Total Jonstruction Contract Total Jonstruction Engineering & Inspection (20%) Jonstruction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 745,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Janaccounted for Items (20%) Construction Contingency (25%) Jonstruction Engineering & Inspection (20%) reliminance. Fengineering reliminance. Fengineering	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000 S \$98,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal
OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL ionstruction Totals inaccounted for Items (20%) ionstruction Contract Total onstruction Contract Total onstruction Engineering & Inspection (20%) treliminary Engineering reliminary Engineering	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal 25% of Construction Total
OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL ionstruction Totals inaccounted for Items (20%) construction Contingency (25%) construction Contingency (25%) construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) rreliminary Engineering rreliminary Engineering		1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 745,000 \$ 150,000 150,000 \$ 150,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal 25% of Construction Total
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Inaccounted for Items (20%) Onstruction Contingency (25%) Construction Contingency (5%) Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) Preliminary Engineering Veliminary Engineering	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 748,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) Engineering Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal 25% of Construction Total
OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Onstruction Totals Inaccounted for items (20%) In	LS LS LS LS	1 1 1 Total	\$ 410,000 \$ 745,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 598,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) Engineering Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal 25% of Construction Total
Andscaping OTHER SUB-TOTAL MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL Construction Totals Inaccounted for Items (20%) Onstruction Contingency (25%) Construction Contingency (25%) Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) Preliminary Engineering Preliminary Engineering Itight of Way Sight of Way	LS LS LS LS Total P	1 1 1 Total	S 410,000 S 745,000 S 150,000 Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) Engineering Phase (in FY2020 Dollars)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	50,000 2,579,000 410,000 2,989,000 748,000 150,000 598,000 4,485,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT 25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal 25% of Construction Total

Total Project Cost in FY2020 Dollars \$ 4,485,000

2020

Microstation Quantities / CG-6 Microstation Quantity / CG-3 Microstation Quantity / CG-2 Assumed Concrete Travel Lane 9" Assumed MS-1 This includes milling for median area (as necessary), roundedup to account for potential additional mill/overlay for approaches Demolition of Existing Pavement under proposed truck aprons and area north of roundabout Estimated at 2 times length of roundabout Estimated at 75' x 1.25% for additional structures Underdrain + Median Strip Estimated small-to-moderate in size ulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items Estimated Estimated Estimated New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron 17.5 Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement 100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)

inch Surface
 inch Surface Overlay =/= Planning SY due to MS-1 area includes potential additional mill/overlay for approaches

Quantites from Microstation

Estimated

3.5 inch Intermediate 4 inch base material

6 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement

ROW COST ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

UPC: Date:



	Baker Lane Area				
	Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 1	12/09/2020			
	Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020	Dollars			
Item	Description Unit Quantity	Unit Cost Extension	Item Comments/Assumptions		
Mobiliz	ration Items				
Mobiliza	ation LS 1 \$	13,049 \$ 13,049	(Project Total-200,000)*7.5%+20,000 of all items	+ 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization	
CN Surv	eying LS 1 \$	2,146 \$ 2,146	2% of all items	+ 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization	
	MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL	\$ 15,195			
Mainte	nance of Traffic (MOT) Items				
Mainter	hance of Traffic LS 1 \$	18,464 \$ 18,464	25% of Roadwa	ritems, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	
MAIN	TENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL	\$ 18,464			
Roadwa	ay Items				Quantites from Microstation
SM-12.5	5D TON 10 \$	150.00 \$ 1,500	10607 District Ave	Microstation Quantities	2 inch Surface
SM-12.5	5D (Overlay) TON 0 \$	150.00 \$ -	10607		2 inch Surface Overlay =/= Planning SY due to MS-1 area includes potential additional mill/overlay for approaches
IM-19.0	D TON 10 \$	115.00 \$ 1,150	10610		3.5 inch Intermediate
BM-25.0	DD TON 10 \$	107.00 \$ 1,070	10643		4 inch base material
Stone 2	1B TON 30 \$	36.00 \$ 1,080	10128 District Ave	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement
Saw Cut	ting LF 200 \$	50.00 \$ 10,000	68576 District Ave		Estimated
Splitter	Islands SY 40 \$	90.00 \$ 3,600	MS-1A - 21020		Assumed MS-1
Paveme	nt Demolition SY 70 \$	10.00 \$ 700	Estimated		
ADA Rar	mp Reconstruction EA 1 \$	25,000.00 \$ 25,000			
	ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL	\$ 44,100			
Hydrau	lics Items				
E&S Cor	ntrols LS 1 \$	6,714 \$ 6,714	10% of Roadwa	items, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	
	HYDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL	\$ 6,714			
In-Plan	Utilities Items				
	IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL	\$ -			
Traffic I	Items				
Paint/St	riping LF 950 \$	10.00 \$ 9,500	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4" State Avg		Estimated
Flex Pos	ts EA 10 \$	50.00 \$ 500	Estimated Left-turn a	rows, stop bars	Estimated
Paveme	nt Marking Eradication LF 400 \$	10.00 \$ 4,000			
Sign Par	el EA 18 \$	30.00 \$ 540	50108 District Ave	rage	Estimated 3 Turn Restriction Signs
	TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL	\$ 14,540			
Structu	res/Bridges Items				
	STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL	\$ -			
Earthw	ork/Materials Items				
Regular	Excavation CY 100 \$	25.00 \$ 2,500	120		New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron
Borrow	Excavation CY 100 \$	40.00 \$ 4,000	140	19.5	Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement
Unsuital	ble Materials CY 100 S	20.00 \$ 2.000	1		100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)
	EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL	\$ 8,500			
Sound	Wall Items	+ -,			
Jound	SOUND WALL SUBJECTAL	ć .			
Other I	tems	÷			
- Other I	OTHER SUBJOTAL	\$.			
	officition forme	Ŷ			
	MAIOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL	\$ 108.000			
Constru	ction Totals	¢ 100,000			
Unaccou	unted for Items (20%) IS 1 \$	15,000 \$ 15,000	20% of all items	less mobilization and MOT	
Constru	ction Contract Total	\$ 13,000	20% 01 81 (terns		
Constru	ction Contingency (25%)	31.000 \$ 31.000	25% of Constru	tion Contract Subtotal	
Contract	t Contingency (5%)	7.000 \$ 7.000	5% of Constru	tion Contract Subtotal	
Constru	ction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	25,000 \$ 25,000	20% of Constru-	tion Contract Subtotal	
constru		ruction Phase (in EV2020 Dollars) \$ 195 000	20% 01 constru	alon contract Subtotal	
	Total Constr	action r nase (III F12020 D0llars) \$ 186,000			

n	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Ex	tension	Item Comments/Assumptions
Me	obilization Items						
Mo	bbilization	LS	1	\$ 25,684	Ş	25,684	(Project Total-200,000)*7.5%+20,000 of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization
CN	Surveying	LS	1	\$ 5,516	\$	5,516	2% of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization
	MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL				\$	31,200	
Ma	aintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items						
Ma	intenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$ 21,163	\$	21,163	10% of Roadway items, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items
N	AINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL				\$	21,163	
Ro	adway Items						
SM	I-12.5D	TON	100	\$ 150.00	\$	15,000	10607 District Avg Microstation Quantities
SM	-12.5D (Overlav)	TON	100	\$ 150.00	Ś	15.000	10607
IM	19.00	TON	100	\$ 115.00	Ś	11 500	10610
BM	15.00 L25.0D	TON	100	\$ 107.00	Ś	10 700	10643
C+c	23.00	TON	100	\$ 26,00	ć	2 600	10130 District Aug Microstation Quantities
Site	nie 218	TON	100	5 50.00	Ş	5,000	10126 District Avg With Ostation Quantities
Sav	w cutting	LF	1,500	5 50.00	Ş	05,000	00370 District Avg
cu	b and Gutter (CG-6)	LF	U	\$ 33.00	>	-	12600 District Avg
Cui	rb (Truck Apron, Mod. CG-3)	LF	0	\$ 25.00	Ş	-	CG-3 - 12030 District Avg
Cui	rb (Central Island, CG-2)	LF	0	\$ 135.71	\$	-	CG-2 - 12020 State Avg
Tru	ick Apron	SY	0	\$ 200.00	\$	-	3346 District Avg
Spl	itter Islands	SY	200	\$ 90.00	\$	18,000	MS-1A - 21020
Fle	xible Pavement Planning 0"-2"	SY	1,000	\$ 10.00	\$	10,000	Estimated
Pav	vement Demolition	SY	200	\$ 10.00	\$	2,000	Estimated
	ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL				\$	150,800	1
Hv	draulics Items						1
Sto	rm Sewer Pine (assume 24" avg size)	LE	0	\$ 105.49	Ś		1242 District Ave
Sto	rm Structures	FΔ	0	\$ 5.500.00	¢		Fetimated
Lin	derdrain UD 4	15	0	\$ 5,500.00	ç	-	Latinated District Ave
CIA		LF	0	5 10.19	\$ ¢		Joo District Avg
SW	M/BMP	LS	0	\$ 100,000.00	\$	-	
E&	S Controls	LS	1	\$ 10,078	\$	10,078	5% of Roadway items, hydraulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items
	HYDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL				Ş	10,078	
In-	Plan Utilities Items						
		LS		\$ -	\$		See Utilities Tab Estimated
	IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	
Tra	affic Items						
Pai	nt/Striping	LF	1,000	\$ 2.85	\$	2,850	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4" State Avg
Pav	vement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA	3	\$ 300.00	\$	900	Estimated Left-turn arrows, stop bars
SIG	N POST STP-1, 2 1/2", 10 GAUGE	LF	0	\$ 27.28	Ś	-	50434 - States Average District Average
co	NC. SIGN EDN. STP-1 TY B	FA	0	\$ 590.03	Ś	-	50486 District Average
Sig	nPanel	FΔ	0	\$ 28.01	Ś		Shife District Average
Ro	move existing heads on SP approach. Peuse	271		<i>y</i> 20.01	7		- State Meloge
Cia	nove existing neads on 50 approach, nease	E 4	0	ć 10.000.00	ć		
Sig	n and camera	EA	0	3 10,000.00	ې م	-	-
Ne	w Signal Heads on SB approach	EA	0	\$ 2,500.00	\$	-	
Ma	ist Arm Replacement 60'	EA	0	\$ 12,000.00	Ş		4
Ref	time Traffic Signal	EA	1	\$ 30,000.00	\$	30,000	1
	TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL				\$	33,750	1
Str	uctures/Bridges Items						1
	STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	1
Ea	rthwork/Materials Items						
Rei	gular Excavation	CY	200	\$ 25.00	\$	5,000	120
Bo	rrow Excavation	CV.	200	¢ 40.00	é	8 000	140 10 5
80	now excavation	CT	200	\$ 40.00	>	8,000	140 19.5
Un	suitable Materials	CY	200	\$ 20.00	\$	4,000	
	EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL				\$	17,000	1
So	und Wall Items	_					1
	SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	1
Ot	her Items						1
Cle	ar and Grubbing	ACRE	0.00	\$ 15.807	\$		State Average - 111
Lar	dscaping	15	0	\$ 50,000	Ś		1
cai				- 50,000	é		1
_	UTHER SUB-TUTAL	_			2		1
_							4
	MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL				Ş	264,000	4
Co	nstruction rotals			1.			4
Un	accounted for Items (20%)	LS	1	\$ 43,000	\$	43,000	20% of all items less mobilization and MOT
Co	nstruction Contract Total				\$	307,000	1
Co	nstruction Contingency (25%)	LS	1	\$ 77,000	\$	77,000	25% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Co	ntract Contingency (5%)	LS	1	\$ 16,000	\$	16,000	5% of Construction Contract Subtotal
Co	nstruction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS	1	\$ 62.000	Ś	62.000	20% of Construction Contract Subtotal
			-		1 T	,-00	

1 \$ 5 5 Total Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) \$ 462,000

Ross Street and Route 7 Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2020 Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars

Extension Item Comments/Assumptions

District Avg District Avg District Avg District Avg District Avg District Avg District Avg	Microstation Quantities	Quantites from Microstation 2 inch Surface 3. inch Surface 3. inch Intermediate 3. inch Intermediate 4 inch base material 8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement Estimated Microstation Quantity / CG-3 Microstation Quantity / CG-3 Assumed Concrete Travel Lane 9" Assumed Snifts for median area (as necessary), roundedup to account for potential additional mill/overlay for approaches Demolition of Existing Pavement under proposed truck aprons and area north of roundabout
District Avg		Estimated at 2 times length of roundabout Estimated at 75' x 1.25% for additional structures
District Avg		Underdrain + Median Strip Estimated small-to-moderate in size
of Roadway items, hydraulics, traff	ic, eartwork, and other items	
Estimated		
State Avg		Estimated
District Average		Estimated
District Average District Average		Estimated Estimated
	10.5	New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron
	19.5	Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement 100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)
Fort Collier Rd to Atwell Ave Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2020 Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars

Item	Description	Unit Quantity			Unit Cost		Extension	Item Comments/As
	Mobilization Items							
	Mobilization	LS	1	\$	33,646	\$	33,646	(Project Total-200,
	CN Surveying	LS	1	\$	7,639	\$	7,639	
	MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL					\$	41,285	
	Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items							
	Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$	42,384	\$	42,384	
	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL					\$	42,384	
	Roadway Items							
	SM-12.5D	TON	100	\$	150.00	\$	15,000	10607
	SM-12.5D (Overlay)	TON	100	\$	150.00	\$	15,000	10607
<u> </u>	IM-19.00	TON	100	Ş	107.00	ç	11,500	10610
-	Stope 210	TON	200	ç	107.00	ç	7 200	10045
	Saw Cutting	LE	1.000	ç	50.00	ç	50,000	68576
	Curb and Gutter (CG-6)	LE	1,000	Ś	33.66	ś	-	12600
	Curb (Truck Apron. Mod. CG-3)	LE	0	Ś	25.00	Ś	-	CG-3 - 12030
	Curb (Central Island, CG-2)	LF	0	\$	135.71	\$	-	CG-2 - 12020
	Truck Apron	SY	0	\$	200.00	\$	-	3346
	Splitter Islands	SY	500	\$	90.00	\$	45,000	MS-1A - 21020
	Flexible Pavement Planning 0"-2"	SY	1,000	\$	10.00	\$	10,000	Estimated
	Pavement Demolition	SY	800	\$	10.00	\$	8,000	Estimated
	ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL					\$	172,400	
	Hydraulics Items							
	Storm Sewer Pipe (assume 24" avg size)	LF	0	\$	105.49	\$	-	1242
	Storm Structures	EA	0	\$	5,500.00	\$	-	Estimated
	Underdrain UD-4	LF	0	\$	10.19	\$	-	588
	SWM/BMP	LS	0	\$	100,000.00	\$	-	
	E&S Controls	LS	1	Ş	13,455	\$	13,455	
	HTDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL			<u> </u>		\$	13,455	
	in-Plan Otlittles items	16		ć		ć		See Utilities Tab
	IN-PLAN LITILITIES SUB-TOTAL	LS		Ş		ç ¢	-	see oundes rap
	Traffic Items			-		Ý		
	Paint/Striping	LF	2,700	Ś	2.85	Ś	7.695	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4"
	Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA	13	\$	300.00	\$	3,900	Estimated
	SIGN POST STP-1, 2 1/2", 10 GAUGE	LF	45	\$	27.28	\$	1,228	50434 - States Average
	CONC. SIGN FDN. STP-1 TY.B	EA	3	\$	590.03	\$	1,770	50486
	SignPanel	EA	4	\$	28.01	\$	112	50108
	Remove existing heads on SB approach, Reuse							
	Sign and Camera	EA	1	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000	
	New Signal Heads on SB approach	EA	2	\$	2,500.00	\$	5,000	
	Mast Arm Replacement 60' + conduit	EA	1	\$	24,000.00	\$	24,000	
	Retime Traffic Signal	EA	1	Ş	30,000.00	ş	30,000	
	TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL					Ş	83,705	
	STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB TOTAL			1		ć		
	Farthwork/Materials Items			-		Ŷ	-	
	Regular Excavation	CY	200	Ś	25.00	Ś	5.000	
	Borrow Excavation	CY	100	Ś	40.00	Ś	4.000	
	Unsuitable Materials	CV.	200	é	30.00	é	4,000	
		CI	200	ç	20.00	é	12,000	
	Sound Wall Items			-		~	13,000	
	SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL			1		Ś	-	
	Other Items			-				
	Clear and Grubbing	ACRE	0.00	\$	15,807	\$		State Average - 111
	Landscaping	LS	0	\$	50,000	\$	-	
	OTHER SUB-TOTAL					\$	-	
				_				
	MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL					\$	366,000	
	Construction Totals							
	Unaccounted for Items (20%)	LS	1	\$	57,000	\$	57,000	
<u> </u>	Construction Contract Total	16		L.		\$	423,000	
<u> </u>	Construction Contingency (25%)	LS	1	\$	106,000	\$	106,000	
	Construction Engineering & Inspectice (20%)	1.5	1	> c	22,000	ې د	22,000	
L	construction engineering & inspection (20%)	13	Total	l 2 Corr	struction Phase (in EV2020 Dellars)	ç	626 000	
			rotal	cons	sci accioni Pilase (ili Pi 2020 Dollars)	ş	000,000	

Comments/Assumptions

Project Total-200,000)*7.5%+20,000 of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization 2% of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization

		Quantites from Microstation
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	2 inch Surface
		2 inch Surface Overlay =/= Planning SY due to MS-1 area includes potential additional mill/overlay for approaches
		3.5 inch Intermediate
		4 inch base material
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement
District Avg		Estimated
District Avg		Microstation Quantities / CG-6
District Avg		Microstation Quantity / CG-3
State Avg		Microstation Quantity / CG-2
District Avg		Assumed Concrete Travel Lane 9"
		Assumed MS-1
		This includes mining for median area (as necessary), roundedup to account for potential additional mill/overlay for approaches Demolition of Existing Pavement under proposed truck aprons and area north of roundabout
District Avg		Estimated at 2 times length of roundabout
District Aug		Estimated at 75 x 1.25% for additional structures
District Avg		Underdrain + Median Strip
5% of Roadway items, hydr	raulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	Estimated small-to-moderate in size
Estimated		
State Avg		Ectimated
Left-turn arrows, stop h	hars	Estimated
District Average	5015	Estimated
District Average		Estimated
District Average		Estimated
10		New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apro
,0	19.5	Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement
		100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)
0% of all items less mobilizi	ation and MOT	
% of Construction Control	ct Subtotal	
5% of Construction Contrac	ct Subtotal	

20% of Construction Contract Subtotal

	I-81SB Ramp					
Opinion	of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2	2020				
Non-	-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars	S Future	them Commente/Ace			
Mobilization Items	Unit Quantity	Unit Cost Exter	sion item comments/Ass	sumptions		
Mobilization		19.979 \$	19.979 (Project Total-200.00	00)*7.5%+20.000 of all items + 20% unacco	ounted for items less mobilization	
CN Surveying	LS 1 \$	3,995 \$	3,995	2% of all items + 20% unacco	ounted for items less mobilization	
MOBILIZATION SUB-	TOTAL	\$	23,974			
Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items						
Maintenance of Traffic	LS 1 \$	22,138 \$	22,138	15% of Roadway items, hydra	ulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-	-TOTAL	\$	22,138			
Koadway items	TON 100 \$	150.00 \$	15,000 10607	District Ava	Microstation Quantities	Quantities from Microstation
SM-12.5D (Overlay)	TON 0 \$	150.00 \$	- 10607	District Avg	Wicrostation Quantities	 inch Surface Overlav =/= Planning SY due to MS-1 area includes potential additional mill/overlav for approaches
IM-19.0D	TON 100 \$	115.00 \$	11,500 10610			3.5 inch Intermediate
BM-25.0D	TON 100 \$	107.00 \$	10,700 10643			4 inch base material
Stone 21B	TON 200 \$	36.00 \$	7,200 10128	District Avg	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement
Saw Cutting	LF 500 \$	50.00 \$	25,000 68576	District Avg		Estimated
Curb and Gutter (CG-6)		33.66 \$	- 12600	District Avg		Microstation Quantities / CG-6
Curb (Central Island, CG-2)		25.00 \$	- CG-3 - 12030	District Avg		Microstation Quantity / CG-3
Truck Apron	SY 0 S	200.00 \$	- 3346	District Avg		Assumed Concrete Travel Lane 9"
Splitter Islands	SY 200 \$	90.00 \$	18,000 MS-1A - 21020			Assumed MS-1
Flexible Pavement Planning 0"-2"	SY 0 \$	10.00 \$	- Estimated			This includes milling for median area (as necessary), roundedup to account for potential additional mill/overlay for approaches
Pavement Demolition	SY 200 \$	10.00 \$	2,000 Estimated			Demolition of Existing Pavement under proposed truck aprons and area north of roundabout
ROADWAY SUB-	-TOTAL	\$	89,400			
Hydraulics Items		105.10				
Storm Sewer Pipe (assume 24 avg size)		105.49 \$	- 1242 Estimated	District Avg		Estimated at 2 times length or roundabout
Underdrain UD-4		10.19 \$	- 588	District Ave		Estimated at 75 A 123% for additional structures
SWM/BMP	LS 0 \$	100,000.00 \$				Estimated small-to-moderate in size
E&S Controls	LS 1 \$	13,417 \$	13,417	10% of Roadway items, hydra	ulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	
HYDRAULICS SUB-	-TOTAL	\$	13,417			
In-Plan Utilities Items						
	LS S	- \$	- See Utilities Tab	Estimated		
Traffic Items	TOTAL	3	-			
Paint/Striping	LF 200 \$	2.85 \$	570 54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4"	State Avg		Estimated
Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA 4 \$	300.00 \$	1,200 Estimated	Left-turn arrows, stop ba	ars	Estimated
SIGN POST STP-1, 2 1/2", 10 GAUGE	LF 0 \$	27.28 \$	 50434 - States Average 	District Average		Estimated
CONC. SIGN FDN. STP-1 TY.B	EA 0 \$	590.03 \$	- 50486	District Average		Estimated
SignPanel	EA O S	28.01 \$	- 50108	District Average		Estimated
Sign and Camera	FA O S	10.000.00 \$				
New Signal Heads on SB approach	EA 0 \$	2,500.00 \$	-			
Mast Arm Replacement 60'	EA 0 \$	12,000.00 \$	-			
Retime Traffic Signal	EA 1 \$	30,000.00 \$	30,000			
TRAFFIC SUB-	TOTAL	\$	31,770			
Structures/Bridges Items	TOTAL					
Earthwork (Matorials Itoms	TOTAL	\$	-			
Regular Excavation	CY 200 \$	25.00 \$	5.000	120		New payement areas assumed to be excavated at average denth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron
Borrow Excavation	CY 100 \$	40.00 \$	4 000	140	19.5	Regular Evravation less volume of Pronosert Pavement
Unsuitable Materials	CY 200 \$	20.00 \$	4.000		10.0	100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)
EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-	-TOTAL	\$	13,000			
Sound Wall Items						
SOUND WALL SUB-	-TOTAL	\$	-			
Other Items						
Clear and Grubbing	ACRE 0.00 \$	15,807 \$	- State Average - 111			
OTHER SUR.	TOTAL	50,000 \$ ¢				
CHIER 305-		Ŷ				
MAJOR ITEMS SUB	BTOTAL	\$	94,000			
Construction Totals						
Unaccounted for Items (20%)	LS 1 \$	30,000 \$	30,000	20% of all items less mobilization	tion and MOT	
Construction Contract Total	16 1 6	\$	56,000	15% of Construction Contract	Cubtotal	
Contract Contingency (5%)	15 1 5	12.000 \$	12,000	5% of Construction Contract	Subtotal	
Construction Engineering & Inspection (20	0%) LS 1 \$	45,000 \$	45,000	20% of Construction Contract	Subtotal	
	Total Construction P	Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) \$	37,000			

Conway Street Extension Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2020 Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost		Extension	Item Comments/As
	Mobilization Items						
	Mobilization	LS	1	\$ 84,090	\$	84,090	(Project Total-1,000
	CN Surveying	LS	1	\$ 21,636	\$	21,636	
	MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL				\$	105,726	
	Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items						
	Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$ 83,164	\$	83,164	
	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL				\$	83,164	
	Roadway Items						4
	SM-12.5D	TON	300	\$ 150.00	\$	45,000	10607
	SM-12.5D (Overlay)	TON	0	\$ 150.00	Ş		10607
	IM-19.0D	TON	400	\$ 115.00	\$	46,000	10610
	BM-25.0D	TON	500	\$ 107.00	\$	53,500	10643
	Stone 21B	TON	900	\$ 36.00	\$	32,400	10128
	Saw Cutting	LF	300	\$ 50.00	Ş	15,000	08570
	Curb and Gutter (CG-6)	LF	900	5 55.00 6 35.00	ې د	50,294	12600
-	Curb (Frack Apron, Mod. CG-3)	15	0	5 25.00 ¢ 125.71	э с		CG-3 - 12030
	Truck Aprop	SY	0	\$ 200.00	Ś		2246
	Solitter Islands	sv	0	\$ 90.00	¢		MS-14 - 21020
	Elexible Pavement Planning 0"-2"	SY	0	\$ 10.00	Ś		Estimated
	Pavement Demolition	SY	900	\$ 10.00	Ś	9.000	Estimated
	ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL				Ś	231.194	Connuccu
	Hydraulics Items						
	Storm Sewer Pipe (assume 24" avg size)	LF	800	\$ 105.49	\$	84,392	1242
	Storm Structures	EA	10	\$ 5,500.00	\$	55,000	Estimated
	Underdrain UD-4	LF	900	\$ 10.19	\$	9,171	588
	SWM/BMP	LS	1	\$ 100,000.00	\$	100,000	
	E&S Controls	LS	1	\$ 39,602	\$	39,602	
	HYDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL				\$	288,165	
	In-Plan Utilities Items						
		LS		\$ -	\$	-	See Utilities Tab
	IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	
	Traffic Items						
	Paint/Striping	LF	2,300	\$ 2.85	\$	6,555	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4"
	Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA	1	\$ 300.00	\$	300	Estimated
	SIGN POST STP-1, 2 1/2", 10 GAUGE	LF	0	\$ 27.28	\$	-	50434 - States Average
	CONC. SIGN FDN. STP-1 TY.B	EA	0	\$ 590.03	Ş	-	50486
	SignPanel	EA	0	\$ 28.01	Ş	-	50108
	Remove existing heads on SB approach, Reuse			¢		10 000	
	Sign allu Camera New Cignal Heads on CD approach	EA	2	\$ 10,000.00	ې د	10,000	
-	Mast Arm Replacement 60'	EA	1	\$ 2,500.00	э с	12 000	
	Retime Traffic Signal	FΔ	3	\$ 30,000,00	Ś	90,000	
	TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL	E/T	5	50,000.00	Ś	123 855	
	Structures/Bridges Items			1		,	
	STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	
	Earthwork/Materials Items						
	Regular Excavation	CY	2,000	\$ 25.00	\$	50,000	
	Borrow Excavation	CY	1.100	\$ 40.00	Ś	44.000	
	Unsuitable Materials	CY	2.000	\$ 20.00	Ś	40.000	
	EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL				Ś	134.000	
	Sound Wall Items			1			
	SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	
	Other Items						
	Clear and Grubbing	ACRE	0.28	\$ 15,807	\$	4,426	State Average - 111
	Landscaping	LS	1	\$ 50,000	\$	50,000	
	OTHER SUB-TOTAL				\$	54,426	
	MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL				\$	1,021,000	
	Construction Totals						
L	Unaccounted for Items (20%)	LS	1	\$ 167,000	\$	167,000	
L	Construction Contract Total				\$	1,188,000	
L	Construction Contingency (25%)	LS	1	\$ 297,000	Ş	297,000	
<u> </u>	contract contingency (5%)	LS	1	\$ 60,000	Ş	60,000	
L	construction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS	1	> 238,000	\$	238,000	1
			rotal	LOUISU ULUON PRASE (IN FT2U20 DOllars)	Ş	1,783,000	

omments/Assumptions

ject Total-1,000,000)*5%+80,000 of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization 2% of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization

10% of Roadway items, hydrau	ulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	
		Quantites from Microstation
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	2 inch Surface
		2 inch Surface Overlay =/= Planning SY due to MS-1 area includes potential additional mill/overlay for approaches
		3.5 inch Intermediate
		4 inch base material
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement
District Avg		Estimated
District Avg		Microstation Quantities / CG-6
District Avg		Microstation Quantity / CG-3
State Avg		Microstation Quantity / CG-2
District Avg		Assumed Concrete Travel Lane 9"
		Assumed MS-1
		This includes milling for median area (as necessary), roundedup to account for potential additional mill/overlay for approaches
		Demolition of Existing Pavement under proposed truck aprons and area north of roundabout
District Aug		Failurated at 2 Marca basels of second base
District Avg		Estimated at 2 times tength or foundabout
District Aug		Estimated at / x x1.25% for additional structures
District Avg		Undergrain + wiedan strip
5% of Roadway items, hydrau	ulics, traffic, eartwork, and other items	estimated smail-to-moderate in size
Estimated		
State Avg		Estimated
Left-turn arrows, stop bar	rs	Estimated
District Average		Estimated
District Average		Estimated
District Average		Estimated
120		New payement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron
140	19.5	Regular Evrauation less volume of Pronosed Pavement
140	10.0	10% of Benular Eventation (assumed all unsittable colls)
		TODA OL KEŘITAL EXCAVATION (422011160 ali DIIZOIKADIE ZOIRZ)
20% of all items less mobilizati	ion and MOT	
25% of Construction Contract	Subtotal	
5% of Construction Contract	Subtotal	
20% of Construction Contract	Subtotal	

Millbrook and Route 7 Thru Cut Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2020 Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars

Mobilization itemsUse of the second seco	Item	Item Description		Unit Quantity Unit Cost			xtension	Item Comments/As	
MobilizationISISI.3.488SI.3.488(Project Total-200, Construction Construction C		Mobilization Items							
Or Surveying LS 1 S 2,266 S 2,266 Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Runs U S 1,576 S 1,576 Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) SUB-TOTAL LS 1 S 19,462 S 19,462 Readway items U S 10,700 S 1,500 10,700 100 S 1,500 10607 M-12,00 TON 100 S 1,500 S 1,500 10,700 1003 S 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 1,500 10,700 10,83 10,800 10,800 10,800 15,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,800 16,9		Mobilization	LS	1	\$ 13,498	\$	13,498	(Project Total-200,0	
MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) ItemsII		CN Surveying	LS	1	\$ 2,266	\$	2,266	1	
Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) IsumIs 1S19,462S19,462SMAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTALIs 19,462S19,462SReadway ItemsIs 19,462S19,462SMAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTALIs 19,462S19,462S19,462SSM-2300S19,462S19,462SSM-2300S19,462S19,462SSM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S1067SM-2300S <th col<="" td=""><td></td><td>MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>15,764</td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td>MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>15,764</td> <td></td>		MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTAL				\$	15,764	
Maintenance of TarkFic (MOT) SUB-TOTALS19,462519,462MAINTENANCE OF TARKFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL519,462519,462SM-12.50TONTON105150.0051,500IM-30.00TON105107.0051,0701063BM-25.00TONTON105107.0051,07010643Store 218TON3055.00.0053.00065.7605Splitter IslandsSY4055.00.0053.00065.760Payment DemolitionSY9057.07757.077In-Pfan Utilities ItemsI157.07757.077In-Pfan Utilities ItemsI1.90053.000055.000Payment Message / Arrows / Stop BasEA1053.000053.0000Signiter Splitter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Stude TotalEA953.000053.000Signiter Splitter Stude Total<		Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items							
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTALImage: Control of the sub-table state s		Maintenance of Traffic	LS	1	\$ 19,462	\$	19,462	1	
Non-August Items Non-August Items <th< td=""><td></td><td>MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>19,462</td><td>1</td></th<>		MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTAL				\$	19,462	1	
SM-12.5D TON 1.0 \$ 1.5000 5 1.500 1.667 MM-13.0D TON 1.0 \$ 1.1500 5 1.160 BM-25.0D TON 1.0 \$ 1.0700 5 1.0700 Store 218 TON 2.00 \$ 3.600 5 1.080 Sw Cutting LF 2.00 \$ 3.600 5 3.000 Pavement Demolition SY 4.0 \$ 9.000 \$ 3.000 Hydraulcs Rems S 1.0 \$ 7.0777 \$ 7.0777 Hydraulcs SUB-TOTAL S 3.00 \$ 5.000 \$ 3.000 Pavement Marking Eradication LF 1.900 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 Sign Panel EA 1.0 \$ 3.0000 \$ 3.000 Sign Panel EA 1 \$ 3.0000 \$ 3.000 Sign Panel EA 1		Roadway Items							
IM-19:00 TON 10 \$ 115:00 \$ 1.50 BM-25:00 TON 10 \$ 115:00 \$ 1.050 Store 21B TON 30 \$ 36:00 \$ 1.080 Swe Cutting LF 200 \$ 5:00.00 \$ 10:28 Swe Cutting LF 200 \$ 5:00.00 \$ 36:00 Payement Demolition SY 90 \$ 10:00 \$ 90:00 Hypraulics Items 1 \$ 7.077 \$ 7.077 IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL 1 \$ 3.00.00 \$ 3.00.00 Payement Maring Eradication LF 1,900 \$ 3.00.00 \$ 3.00.00 Structures/Bridges Items 1 \$ 3.00.00 \$ 3.00.00 Structures/Bridges Items 1 \$ 3.00.00 \$ 3.00.00 Structures/Bridges Items		SM-12.5D	TON	10	\$ 150.00	\$	1,500	10607	
BM-25:00 TON 10 \$ 10700 10643 Stone 218 TON 30 \$ 5000 \$ 10000 Saw Cutting LF 200 \$ 5000 \$ 10000 Splitter Islands SY 40 \$ 90000 \$ 3,600 Pavement Demolition SY 90 \$ 10000 \$ 5000 Hydraulics Items SY 40 \$ 7,077 \$ 7,077 Hydraulics Items S 10 \$ 7,077 \$ 7,077 Hydraulics Items Stone S		IM-19.0D	TON	10	\$ 115.00	\$	1,150	10610	
Store 218 TON 3.0 S 3.6000 S 1.000 10.128 Saw Cutting I.F 200 \$ 5 0.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ 3.000 \$ \$ 3.000 \$ \$ 3.000 \$ \$ 3.000 \$ \$ \$ \$ 3.000 \$		BM-25.0D	TON	10	\$ 107.00	\$	1,070	10643	
Saw Cutting LF 200 \$ 5,000 \$ 10,000 68376 Spliter islands SY 40 \$ 900 \$ 10,000 68376 Ravement Demolition SY 90 \$ 10,000 \$ 900 Robust Demolition SY 90 \$ 10,000 \$ 900 Hydraulies items SY 40 \$ 10,000 \$ 900 Hydraulies items S 10,000 \$ 900 \$ 7,077 \$ 7,077 Hydraulies items S 1,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 7,077 Hydraulies items S 1,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars EA 10 \$ 300,000 \$ 3,000 Sign Panel EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 Structures/Bridges Items S 1 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000		Stone 21B	TON	30	\$ 36.00	\$	1,080	10128	
Splitter Islands SY 40 \$ 9000 \$ 30000 5 30000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 4000 5 70,077 <		Saw Cutting	LF	200	\$ 50.00	\$	10,000	68576	
Parement Demolition SY 9.0 S 10.00 S 900 Etimated ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL 19.300 S 19.300 Hydraulics Items LS 1 S 7,077 S 7,077 IN-Plan Utilities Items S 7,077 S 7,077 IN-Plan Utilities Items S 7,007 S 7,077 IN-Plan Utilities Items S 3,000 S 7,077 Parkerstripping LF 100 S 3,000 S 5,700 Sequement Message/ Arrows / Stop Bars EA 10 S 3,000 S 3,000 Sign Panel EA 9 S 3,000 S 3,000 S 3,000 Structures/Bridges Items S 2,500 S 2,500 Structures/Bridges Items S 2,500 S 2,500 Borrow Excavation <		Splitter Islands	SY	40	\$ 90.00	\$	3,600	MS-1A - 21020	
ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL Image: Construct on the sub-total sub-		Pavement Demolition	SY	90	\$ 10.00	\$	900	Estimated	
Wydraulics tems It S 1 S 7,077 S 7,077 It S 1 S 7,077 S 7,077 In-Plan Utilities items S S 7,077 S 7,077 IN-Plan Utilities items S S 7,077 S 7,077 S 7,077 S <th col<="" td=""><td></td><td>ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td>19,300</td><td>1</td></th>	<td></td> <td>ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>19,300</td> <td>1</td>		ROADWAY SUB-TOTAL				\$	19,300	1
E82 Controls LS 1 S 7,077 S 7,077 Hr-Plan Utilities Items Im-Plan Utilities Items S 7,077 S 7,077 In-Plan Utilities Items Im-Plan Utilities Items S 7,077 S 7,077 Parement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars LF 1,900 S 3,000 S 5,070 Parement Marking Eradication LF 1,900 S 3,0000 S 3,000 Sign Panel EA 1 S 3,00,0000 S 3,000 Structures/Bridges Items EA 1 S 3,00,0000 S 2,000 Structures/Bridges Items S - S - - - Regular Eccavation CY 100 S 2,500 S 2,000 Berrow Eccavation CY 100 S 2,000 S 2,000 Sound Wall Items S - - - - - Other Items		Hydraulics Items						1	
HYDRAULGS SUB-TOTAL Image: Construction Contract Control Construction Contract Control Construction Contract Contrecont Contract Contract Contract Contract Contrecon Contract Cont		E&S Controls	LS	1	\$ 7,077	\$	7,077	1	
In-Plan Utilities terms In-Plan Utilities terms \$ Parkent Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars LF 1,900 \$ 3,000 \$ 5,700 Parkent Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars EA 10 \$ 30,000 \$ 5,000 Parkent Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars EA 10 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 Sign Panel EA 10 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 Sign Panel EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 TRAFIC SUB-TOTAL EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 Structures/Bridges Items 5 - 42,970 \$ - Structures/Bridges Items 5 - - - - Bardwar/Matrials Sub-TOTAL \$ 2,500 \$ 2,500 \$ Bardware Koaston CY 100 \$ 2,500 \$ 2,000 Bardware Koaston CY 100		HYDRAULICS SUB-TOTAL				\$	7,077		
IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL Image: Construction Contingency (25%) Image: Construction Contract Contingency (25%) Image: Contract Co		In-Plan Utilities Items						1	
Tarfficitems Image: Second Secon		IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	1	
Part/Striping LF 1,900 \$ 3,000 \$ 5,700 50075 Painting TY B CJ VI 4" Pavement Marking Eradication LF 400 \$ 300,000 \$ 3,000 Estimated Pavement Marking Eradication LF 400 \$ 300,000 \$ 3,000 Sign Panel EA 9 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 Retime Traffic Signal EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 Structures/Bridges Steps-TOTAL EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 Structures/Bridges Steps-TOTAL EA 1 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 Structures/Bridges Step-TOTAL EA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Structures/Bridges Step-TOTAL Image: Step Step Step Step Step Step Step Step		Traffic Items			1			1	
Payement Messages/ Arrows/ Stop Bars EA 10 \$ 30000 \$ 30000 \$ stimated Payement Maxing Eradication LF 400 \$ 100 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 4000 \$ 1000 \$ 3000 \$ 3000 \$ 3000 \$ 3000 \$ 3000 \$ 3000 \$ 30000 \$ \$ <		Paint/Striping	LF	1,900	\$ 3.00	\$	5,700	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4"	
Payement Marking Eradication LF 400 \$ 1100 \$ 4,000 \$ 5 0,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 27,000 \$ 37,000 <td></td> <td>Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars</td> <td>EA</td> <td>10</td> <td>\$ 300.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>3,000</td> <td>Estimated</td>		Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA	10	\$ 300.00	\$	3,000	Estimated	
Sign Panel EA 9 \$ 30,000 5 20,70 50108 Retime Traffc Signal EA 1 \$ 30,000,00 5 30,000 <td></td> <td>Pavement Marking Eradication</td> <td>LF</td> <td>400</td> <td>\$ 10.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>1</td>		Pavement Marking Eradication	LF	400	\$ 10.00	\$	4,000	1	
Retire Traffic Signal EA 1 S 30,000 5 30,000 TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL Image: Sub-Total Sub-TotaDota Sub-Total Sub-Total Sub-TotaDota Sub-TotaDota		Sign Panel	EA	9	\$ 30.00	\$	270	50108	
TATAFFIC SUB-TOTAL Image: Construction Contract Construction Construc		Retime Traffic Signal	EA	1	\$ 30,000.00	\$	30,000	1	
Structures/Bridges items STRUCTURES/Bridges SUB-TOTAL \$ Earthwork/Materials items \$ Regular Excavation CY 100 \$ 2,500 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 20,000 \$ 2,000 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 20,000 \$ 2,000 Barrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 20,000 \$ 2,000 Barrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 20,000 \$ 2,000 Castructure/Ratus Sub-TOTAL * * * * Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ * * Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ * * Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ \$ 13,000 Construction Contract Total \$ \$ \$ 13,000		TRAFFIC SUB-TOTAL				\$	42,970	1	
STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL Image: Sub-TotAL Sub-TotAL Sub-TotAL Regular Excavation CY 100 \$ 25.00 \$ 2,500 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 40.00 \$ 40.00 Unscalable Materials CY 100 \$ 20.00 \$ 20.00 EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL S 20.00 \$ 8,500 Sound Wall items S - Other Items \$ - Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ - - - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 113,000 \$ - - Construction Totals \$ 113,000 \$ 113,000 - Construction Contract Total \$ 125,000 \$ 125,000 - Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 7,000 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Structures/Bridges Items</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></t<>		Structures/Bridges Items						1	
Beguitz Excavation CY 100 \$ 25.00 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 25.00 \$ 2,500 Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 40.000 \$ 4,000 Unsuitable Materials CY 100 \$ 20.00 \$ 2,000 EXERTWORK/MATERIALS UB-TOTAL S 20.00 \$ 5.500 Sound Wall Items S - - - Other Items S - - - MAIOR ITEMS SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ - - MAIOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 1.3,000 - - Construction Total \$ \$ 1.5,000 - Unaccounted for Items (20%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 5 33,000 Construction Contigency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 5 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ </td <td></td> <td>STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td>		STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTAL				\$	-	1	
Regular Escavation CY 100 \$ 25.00 \$ 25.00 Borrow Escavation CY 100 \$ 40.00 \$ 40.00 Unsuitable Materials CY 100 \$ 40.00 \$ 40.00 EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL 100 \$ 20.00 \$ \$ 8,500 Sound Wall Items SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ \$ \$ - Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ \$ - - - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ \$ - - - Construction Contract Total \$ \$ \$ 16,000 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ \$ \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ <td< td=""><td></td><td>Earthwork/Materials Items</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></td<>		Earthwork/Materials Items						1	
Borrow Excavation CY 100 \$ 40.00 \$ 40.00 Unsultable Materialitis CY 100 \$ 2000 \$ 2,000 GRATHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL S 2000 \$ 8,500 Sound Wall Items S - - - - Other Items S - - - - Other Items S - - - - Construction Totals S 113,000 5 16,000 5 16,000 Construction Contract Total S 1 S 16,000 5 16,000 Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 S 33,000 5 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 S 32,000 S 7,000 Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 S 7,000 S 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 S 7,000		Regular Excavation	CY	100	\$ 25.00	\$	2,500	1	
Unsuitable Materials CY 100 \$ 20.000 \$ 2,000 EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL \$ 8,500 Sound Wall Items \$ 5,500 SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL \$ - Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 1 Unaccounted for Items (20%) L5 1 \$ 16,000 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 129,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 <		Borrow Excavation	CY	100	\$ 40.00	Ś	4.000	1	
EARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL Color Sound Wall Items Sound Wall Items Sound Wall Rems S - Other Items S - Other Items S - Other Items S - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 112,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 122,000 Construction Contigency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 Construction Contigency (25%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000		Unsuitable Materials	CY.	100	\$ 20.00	Ś	2 000	1	
Sound Wall items Sound Wall items Sound Wall items SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL \$ - Other items \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 16,000 Unaccounted for Items (20%) LS 1 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 1 \$ 129,000 Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 \$ 33,000 Construction Entingency (25%) LS 1 \$ 26,000 \$ Construction Entingency (25%) LS 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000		FARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOTAL	CI	100	5 20.00	Ś	8 500	-	
Sound Wait New York SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL \$ - Other Items OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 16,000 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 1229,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 129,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 \$ \$ 26,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ \$ 7,000		Sound Wall Items				Ŷ	0,500	1	
Other Items Other SUB-TOTAL S OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 113,000 Unaccounted for Items (20%) L5 1 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 129,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 7 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100 \$ 100		SOUND WALL SUB-TOTAL				Ś			
Other tends OTHER SUB-TOTAL \$ - MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ \$ 113,000 Construction Totals - 5 113,000 Construction Contract Total 5 16,000 \$ 129,000 Construction Contract Total 5 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 7 50,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 </td <td></td> <td>Other Items</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>Ŷ</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>		Other Items			1	Ŷ		1	
MAIOR ITEMS SUBTORIAL \$ 113,000 Construction Totals \$ 113,000 Unaccounted for Items (20%) L5 1 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 123,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 122,000 Construction Contigency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contigency (25%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000	_					ć	-	-	
MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL \$ 113,000 Construction Totals		OTTER 300-TOTAL				Ŷ		1	
Construction Totals 5 16,000 5 16,000 Unaccounted for items (20%) LS 1 \$ 16,000 \$ 122,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 1229,000 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contigency (25%) LS 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 7,000	-	MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTAL				Ś	113 000	1	
Construction Contract Total LS 1 \$ 16,000 \$ 16,000 Construction Contract Total \$ 129,000 Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 76,000 \$ 76,000 \$ 76,000 \$ 76,000 \$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>Construction Totals</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ŷ</td><td>115,000</td><td>1</td></t<>		Construction Totals				Ŷ	115,000	1	
Construction Contract Total 5 2 0 10000 5 129,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 33,000 Construction Contingency (25%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) L5 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 26,000 \$ 7,000 \$		Unaccounted for Items (20%)	15	1	\$ 16,000	Ś	16.000	1	
Construction Contingency (25%) LS 1 \$ 33,000 \$ 13,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 7,000 \$ 26,00		Construction Contract Total		-	\$ 10,000	ś	129 000	-	
Contract Configurery (SAP) LS L S S3,000 Contract Configurery (SAP) LS 1 S 7,000 S 7,000 Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 S 7,000 S 26,000 S 26,000 S 26,000 S 26,000 S 7,000 S 7,000 S 7,000 S 7,000 S 7,000 S 7,000 S 26,000 S 26,000 S 7,000		Construction Contingency (25%)	15	1	\$ 22,000	ć	22,000	1	
Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%) LS 1 \$ 26,000 \$ 7,000		Contract Contingency (5%)	15	1	\$ 7,000	¢	7 000	1	
Construction Engineering & inspection (2076) CS 1 2 20,000 2 20,000 2 20,000 2 20,000 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	15	1	\$ 7,000	é	26,000	1	
	L	construction Engineering & inspection (20%)	- 13	Total	Construction Phase (in EV2020 Dollars)	- -	105,000	1	

Item Comments/Assumptions

(Project Total-200,000)*7.5%+20,000 of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization 2% of all items + 20% unaccounted for items less mobilization

		Quantites from Microstation
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	2 inch Surface
		3.5 inch Intermediate
		4 inch base material
District Avg	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subbase for Truck Apron and Pavement
District Avg		Estimated
		Assumed MS-1

	State Avg		Estimated
	Left-turn arrows, stop bars		Estimated
	District Average		Estimated
120)		New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apron
140)	19.5	Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement

100% of Regular Excavation (assumed all unsuitable soils)

20% of all items less mobilization and MOT

25% of Construction Contract Subtotal 5% of Construction Contract Subtotal 20% of Construction Contract Subtotal

First Woods Drive and Route 7 Lane Extension Opinion of Probable Project Costs - 12/09/2020 Non-inflated Costs are in FY2020 Dollars

Item Description	Unit Quantit	linit Cost	Extension	Item Comments/Assumptions		
Mobilization Items	Unit Quantit	ly Onit cost	Extension			
Mobilization	15 1	\$ 16.327 \$	16 327	(Project Total-200 000)*7 5%+20 000, of all items + 20%	inaccounted for items less mobilization	
CN Surveying	15 1	\$ 3,020 \$	3 020	2% of all items + 20%	inaccounted for items less mobilization	
MOBILIZATION SUB-TOTA		¢ 5,020 ¢	19 347	270 01 01 11 10 1 2070		
Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Items		÷	10,047			
Maintenance of Traffic	15 1	\$ 26.004 \$	26.004	25% of Roadway items	hydraulics traffic eartwork and other items	
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) SUB-TOTA		\$ 10,004 \$	26,004	25% of Holdway Relia	information, carrier, carrierin, and other items	
Roadway Items		\$	20,004			Quantites from Microstation
SM-12.5D	TON 30	\$ 150.00 \$	4.500	10607 District Ave	Microstation Quantities	2 inch Surface
SM-12 5D (Overlav)	TON 50	\$ 150.00 \$	7 500	10607		2 inch Surface Overlay =/= Planning SV due to MS-1 area includes notential additional mill/overlay for annoaches
IM-19.0D	TON 40	\$ 115.00 \$	4.600	10610		3.5 inch intermediate
BM-25.0D	TON 50	\$ 107.00 \$	5,350	10643		4 inch base material
Stone 21B	TON 90	\$ 36.00 \$	3 240	10128 District Avg	Microstation Quantities	8 inch Subhase for Truck Annon and Pavement
Saw Cutting	LE 350	\$ 50.00 \$	17,500	68576 District Avg	Wierostation Quantities	Estimated
Splitter Islands	SY 0	\$ 90.00 \$		MS-14 - 21020		Assumed MS-1
Pavement Demolition	SY 40	\$ 10.00 \$	400	Estimated		
BOADWAY SUB-TOTA	1	¢ 10.00 ¢	43 090	Lot material		
Hydraulics Items		Ŷ	45,050			
F&S Controls	15 1	\$ 9,456 \$	9.456	10% of Roadway items	hydraulics traffic eartwork and other items	
HYDRAULICS SUBJOT		¢ 5,450 ¢	9 456	20% of Roddway Kents	information, charte, carework, and other reens	
In-Plan I Itilities Items			-,			
IN-PLAN UTILITIES SUB-TOTA	AL .	5				
Traffic Items						
Paint/Striping	LE 1.100	\$ 3.00 \$	3,300	54075 Painting TY B CI VI 4" State Ave		Estimated
Pavement Messages / Arrows / Stop Bars	EA 3	\$ 300.00 \$	900	Estimated Left-turn arrows.	top bars	Estimated
Pavement Marking Eradication	LE 400	\$ 10.00 \$	4.000			
Sign Panel	EA 9	\$ 30.00 \$	270	50108 District Average		Estimated
Retime Traffic Signal	EA 1	\$ 30.000.00 \$	30.000			
TRAFFIC SUB-TOTA		Ś	38,470			
Structures/Bridges Items			,			
STRUCTURES/BRIDGES SUB-TOTA	AL .	\$	-			
Earthwork/Materials Items						
Regular Excavation	CY 200	\$ 25.00 \$	5,000	120		New pavement areas assumed to be excavated at average depth of 2' plus 3' x 3' x length of stormwater length x 3' length of curb and gutter x 5 for truck apro
Borrow Excavation	CY 100	\$ 40.00 \$	4.000	140	19.5	Regular Excavation less volume of Proposed Pavement
Unsuitable Materials	CY 200	\$ 20.00 \$	4 000			100% of Regular Evravation (assumed all unsuitable solid)
FARTHWORK/MATERIALS SUB-TOT	200	¢ 20.00 \$	13,000			Tota a urbanit exertation fazonice on anoniconic soli?
Sound Wall Items	· ·	,	13,000			
SOUND WALL SUB-TOTA	1	4				
Other Items		•				
OTHER SUB-TOTA	AL .	5				
MAJOR ITEMS SUBTOTA	1	4	149 000			
Construction Totals		,	_45,000			
Unaccounted for Items (20%)	LS 1	\$ 21,000 \$	21.000	20% of all items less me	bilization and MOT	
Construction Contract Total		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	170,000			
Construction Contingency (25%)	LS 1	\$ 43,000 \$	43.000	25% of Construction Co	ntract Subtotal	
Contract Contingency (5%)	LS 1	\$ 9,000 \$	9,000	5% of Construction Cr	ntract Subtotal	
Construction Engineering & Inspection (20%)	LS 1	\$ 34.000 \$	34,000	20% of Construction Co	ntract Subtotal	
	Tot	al Construction Phase (in FY2020 Dollars) \$	256,000			